Attachment CC – Joint Operating Agreement Among and Between New York Independent System Operator Inc. and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

This Joint Operating Agreement ("Agreement") dated this ____day of May 2007, is entered into among and between the following parties:

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. ("PJM") a Delaware limited liability company having a place of business at 955 Jefferson Avenue, Valley Forge Corporate Center, Norristown, Pennsylvania 19403

New York Independent System Operator Inc. ("NYISO") a not-for-profit corporation established under the laws of New York State having a place of business at 10 Krey Boulevard, Rensselaer, New York 12144.

Effective Date: 6/30/2010 - Page 1

35.1 Recitals

- 35.1.1 PJM is the regional transmission organization that provides operating and reliability functions in portions of the mid-Atlantic and Midwest States. PJM also administers an open access tariff for transmission and related services on its grid, and independently operates markets for day-ahead, real-time energy, capacity, ancillary services and financially firm transmission rights;
- 35.1.2 NYISO is a not-for-profit corporation established pursuant to the ISO

 Agreement, responsible for providing transmission service, maintaining the reliability of the electric power system and facilitating efficient markets for capacity, energy and ancillary services in the New York Control Area in accordance with its filed Tariffs;
- 35.1.3 In accordance with good utility practice, the Parties seek to establish or confirm other arrangements and protocols in furtherance of the reliability of their systems and efficient market operations, as provided under the terms and conditions of this Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration including the Parties' mutual reliance upon the covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

35.2 Abbreviations, Acronyms, Definitions and Rules of Construction

In this Agreement, the following words and terms shall have the meanings (such meanings to be equally applicable to both the singular and plural forms) ascribed to them in this Section 35.2. Any undefined, capitalized terms used in this Agreement shall have the meaning given under industry custom and, where applicable, in accordance with Good Utility Practices or the meaning given to those terms in the tariffs of PJM and NYISO on file at FERC.

35.2.1 Abbreviations, Acronyms and Definitions

- **"3500 PAR"** shall mean the 3500 phase angle regulator at the Ramapo station connected to the 5018 Hopatcong-Ramapo 500 kV line.
- **"4500 PAR"** shall mean the 4500 phase angle regulator at the Ramapo station connected to the 5018 Hopatcong-Ramapo 500 kV line.
- "A PAR" shall mean the phase angle regulator located a the Goethals station connected to the A2253 Linden-Goethals 230 kV line.
- "ABC Interface" shall mean the transfer path comprised of the A2253 Linden-Goethals, B3402 Hudson-Farragut and C3403 Marion-Farragut tie lines between PJM and NYISO.
- "ABC PARs" shall mean the A PAR, B PAR and C PAR that control flow on the ABC Interface.
- "AC" shall mean alternating current.
- "Affected Party" shall mean the electric system of the Party other than the Party to which a request for interconnection or long-term firm delivery service is made and that may be affected by the proposed service.
- "Agreement" shall mean this document, as amended from time to time, including all attachments, appendices, and schedules.
- "Area Control Error" or "ACE" shall mean the instantaneous difference between a Balancing Authority's net actual and scheduled interchange, taking into account the effects of Frequency Bias and correction for meter error.

- "Available PAR" shall mean, for purposes of Section 8.3.1 of Schedule D to this Agreement, a NY-NJ PAR that is not subject to any of the following circumstances:
 - (1) a PAR that is not operational and is unable to be moved;
 - (2) a PAR that is technically "in-service" but is being operated in an outage configuration and is only capable of feeding radial load;
 - (3) a PAR that is tapped-out in a particular direction is not available in the tapped-out direction;
 - (4) if the maximum of 400 taps/PAR/month is exceeded at an ABC PAR, Ramapo PAR or a Waldwick PAR, and the relevant asset owner restricts the RTOs from taking further taps on the affected PAR, then the affected PAR shall not be available until NYISO and PJM agree to and implement an increased bandwidth in accordance with Section 7.2 of Schedule D to this Agreement;
 - (5) PJM is permitted to reserve up to three taps at each end of the PAR tap range of each Waldwick PAR to secure the facilities on a post contingency basis, a Waldwick PAR shall not be considered available if a tap move would require the use of a reserved PAR tap; or
 - (6) NYISO is permitted to reserve up to two taps at each end of the tap range of each ABC PAR and Ramapo PAR to secure the facilities on a post contingency basis, an ABC or Ramapo PAR shall not be considered available if a tap move would require the use of a reserved PAR tap.

PJM or NYISO may choose to use PAR taps they are permitted to reserve to perform M2M coordination, but they are not required to do so.

- "Available Flowgate Capability" or "AFC" shall mean the rating of the applicable Flowgate less the projected loading across the applicable Flowgate less TRM and CBM. The firm AFC is calculated with only the appropriate Firm Transmission Service reservations (or interchange schedules) in the model, including recognition of all roll-over Transmission Service rights. Non-firm AFC is determined with appropriate firm and non-firm reservations (or interchange schedules) modeled.
- "Available Transfer Capability" or "ATC" shall mean a measure of the transfer capability remaining in the physical transmission network for further commercial activity over and above already committed uses.
- **"B PAR"** shall mean the phase angle regulator located at the Farragut station connected to the B3402 Hudson-Farragut 345 kV line.
- "Balancing Authority" or "BA" shall mean the responsible entity that integrates resource plans ahead of time, maintains load-interchange-generation balance within a Balancing Authority Area, and supports interconnection frequency in real-time.

- "Balancing Authority Area" or "BAA" shall mean the collection of generation, transmission, and loads within the metered boundaries of the Balancing Authority. The Balancing Authority maintains load-resource balance within this area.
- **"Bulk Electric System"** shall have the meaning provided for in the NERC Glossary of Terms used in Reliability Standards, as it may be amended, supplemented, or restated from time to time.
- "C PAR" shall mean the phase angle regulator located at the Farragut station connected to the C3403 Marion-Farragut 345 kV line.
- "Capacity Benefit Margin" or "CBM" shall mean the amount of firm transmission transfer capability preserved by the transmission provider for Load-Serving Entities ("LSEs"), whose loads are located on that Transmission Service Provider's system, to enable access by the LSEs to generation from interconnected systems to meet generation reliability requirements. Preservation of CBM for an LSE allows that entity to reduce its installed generating capacity below that which may otherwise have been necessary without interconnections to meet its generation reliability requirements. The transmission transfer capability preserved as CBM is intended to be used by the LSE only in times of emergency generation deficiencies.
- "CIM" shall mean Common Infrastructure Model.
- "Coordination Event" shall mean the period when both Parties are operating under M2M as defined and set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement.
- "Confidential Information" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.8.1.
- "Control Area(s)" shall mean an electric power system or combination of electric power systems to which a common automatic generation control scheme is applied.
- "Control Performance Standard" or "CPS" shall mean the reliability standard that sets the limits of a Balancing Authority's Area Control Error over a specified time period.
- "Coordinated Transaction Scheduling" or "CTS" shall mean the market rules that allow transactions to be scheduled based on a bidder's willingness to purchase energy from a source in either the NYISO or PJM Control Area and sell it at a sink in the other Control Area if the forecasted price at the sink minus the forecasted price at the corresponding source is greater than or equal to the dollar value specified in the bid.
- "Coordination Committee" shall mean the jointly constituted PJM and NYISO committee established to administer the terms and provisions of this Agreement pursuant to Section 35.3.2.
- "CTS Interface Bid" shall mean: (1) in PJM, a unified real-time bid to simultaneously purchase and sell energy on either side of a CTS Enabled Interface in accordance with the procedures of

- Section 1.13 of Schedule 1 of the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of PJM, L.L.C.; and (2) in NYISO, a real-time bid provided by an entity engaged in an external transaction at a CTS Enabled Interface, as more fully described in NYISO Services Tariff Section 2.3.
- "Delivery Point" shall mean each of the points of direct Interconnection between PJM and the NYISO Balancing Authority Areas. Such Delivery Point(s) shall include the Interconnection Facilities between the PJM and the New York Balancing Authority Areas.
- "DC" shall mean direct current.
- "Disclosing Party" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.8.7.
- "Dispute" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.15.
- "Disturbance Control Standard" or "DCS" shall mean the reliability standard that sets the time limit following a disturbance within which a balancing authority must return its Area Control Error to within a specified range.
- "E PAR" shall mean the phase angle regulator located at the Waldwick station on the E-2257 Waldwick-Hawthorne 230 kV line.
- **"Economic Dispatch"** shall mean the sending of dispatch instructions to generation units to minimize the cost of reliably meeting load demands.
- "Effective Date" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.19.1.
- "Emergency" shall mean any abnormal system condition that requires remedial action to prevent or limit loss of transmission or generation facilities that could adversely affect the reliability of the electricity system.
- **"Emergency Energy"** shall mean energy supplied from Operating Reserve or electrical generation available for sale in New York or PJM or available from another Balancing Authority Area. Emergency Energy may be provided in cases of sudden and unforeseen outages of generating units, transmission lines or other equipment, or to meet other sudden and unforeseen circumstances such as forecast errors, or to provide sufficient Operating Reserve. Emergency Energy is provided pursuant to this Agreement and the Inter Control Area Transactions Agreement dated May 1, 2000 and priced according to Section 35.6.4 of this Agreement and said Inter Control Area Transactions Agreement.
- **"EMS"** shall mean the respective Energy Management Systems utilized by the Parties to manage the flow of energy within their Regions.
- **"External Capacity Resource"** shall mean: (1) for NYISO, (a) an entity (e.g., Supplier, Transmission Customer) or facility (e.g., Generator, Interface) located outside the NYCA with

the capability to generate or transmit electrical power, or the ability to control demand at the direction of the NYISO, measured in megawatts or (b) a set of Resources owned or controlled by an entity within a Control Area, not the NYCA, that also is the operator of such Control Area; and (2) for PJM, a generation resource located outside the metered boundaries of the PJM Region (as defined in the PJM Tariff) that meets the definition of Capacity Resource in the PJM Tariff or PJM's governing agreements filed with the Commission.

- "F PAR" shall mean the phase angle regulator located at the Waldwick station on the F-2258 Waldwick-Hillsdale 230 kV line.
- "FERC" or "Commission" shall mean the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or any successor agency thereto.
- **"Flowgate"** shall mean a representative modeling of facilities or groups of facilities that may act as potential constraint points. When used herein, Flowgate shall mean M2M Redispatch Flowgate, NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgate, and Other Coordinated Flowgate.
- "Force Majeure" shall mean an event of force majeure as described in Section 35. 20.1.
- "Generator to Load Distribution Factor" or "GLDF" shall mean a generator's impact on a Flowgate while serving load in that generator's Balancing Authority Area.
- "Good Utility Practice" shall mean any of the practices, methods and acts engaged in or approved by a significant portion of the North American electric utility industry during the relevant time period, or any of the practices, methods and acts which, in the exercise of reasonable judgment in light of the facts known at the time the decision was made, could have been expected to accomplish the desired result consistent with good business practices, reliability, safety and expedition. Good Utility Practice is not intended to be limited to the optimum practice, method, or act to the exclusion of all others, but rather to be acceptable practices, methods, or acts generally accepted by NERC.
- "Governmental Authority" shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power.
- "ICCP", "ISN" and "ICCP/ISN" shall mean those common communication protocols adopted to standardize information exchange.

- "IDC" shall mean the NERC Interchange Distribution Calculator used for identifying and requesting congestion management relief.
- "Indemnifying Party" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.20.3.
- "Indemnitee" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.20.3
- "Intellectual Property" shall mean (i) ideas, designs, concepts, techniques, inventions, discoveries, or improvements, regardless of patentability, but including without limitation patents, patent applications, mask works, trade secrets, and know-how; (ii) works of authorship, regardless of copyright ability, including copyrights and any moral rights recognized by law; and (iii) any other similar rights, in each case on a worldwide basis.
- "Intentional Wrongdoing" shall mean an act or omission taken or omitted by a Party with knowledge or intent that injury or damage could reasonably be expected to result.
- "Interconnected Reliability Operating Limit" or "IROL" shall mean the value (such as MW, MVAR, Amperes, Frequency, or Volts) derived from, or a subset of, the System Operating Limits, which if exceeded, could expose a widespread area of the bulk electrical system to instability, uncontrolled separation(s) or cascading outages.
- "Interconnection" shall mean a connection between two or more individual Transmission Systems that normally operate in synchronism and have interconnecting intertie(s).
- "Interconnection Facilities" shall mean the Interconnection facilities described in Schedule A.
- "Intermediate Term Security Constrained Economic Dispatch" shall mean PJM's algorithm that performs various functions, including but not limited to forecasting dispatch and LMP solutions based on current and projected system conditions for up to several hours into the future.
- "ISO" shall mean Independent System Operator.
- **"JK Interface"** shall mean the transfer path comprised of the JK Ramapo-South Mahwah-Waldwick tie lines between PJM and NYISO.
- "kV" shall mean kilovolt of electric potential.
- "LEC Adjusted Market Flow" shall mean the real-time Market Flow incorporating the observed operation of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border.
- "Locational Marginal Price" or "LMP" shall mean the market clearing price for energy at a given location in a Party's RC Area, and "Locational Marginal Pricing" shall mean the processes related to the determination of the LMP.

- "Losses" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.20.3.
- "M2M" shall mean the market-to-market coordination process set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement.
- "M2M Entitlement" shall mean a Non-Monitoring RTO's share of a M2M Redispatch Flowgate's total capability to be used for settlement purposes that is calculated pursuant to Section 6 of Schedule D to this Agreement.
- "M2M Redispatch Flowgate" shall mean Flowgates where constraints are jointly monitored and coordinated as defined and set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement.
- "Market Flows" shall mean the calculated energy flows on a specified Flowgate as a result of dispatch of generating resources serving load within an RTO's market.
- "Market Participant" shall mean an entity that, for its own account, produces, transmits, sells, and/or purchases for its own consumption or resale capacity, energy, energy derivatives and ancillary services in the wholesale power markets. Market Participants include transmission service customers, power exchanges, Transmission Owners, load serving entities, loads, holders of energy derivatives, generators and other power suppliers and their designated agents.
- "Metered Quantity" shall mean apparent power, reactive power, active power, with associated time tagging and any other quantity that may be measured by a Party's Metering Equipment and that is reasonably required by either Party for Security reasons or revenue requirements.
- "Metering Equipment" shall mean the potential transformers, current transformers, meters, interconnecting wiring and recorders used to meter any Metered Quantity.
- "Monitoring RTO" shall mean the Party that has operational control of a Flowgate.
- "Multiregional Modeling Working Group" or "MMWG" shall mean the NERC working group that is charged with multi-regional modeling.
- "Mutual Benefits" shall mean the transient and steady-state support that the integrated generation and Transmission Systems in PJM and New York provide to each other inherently by virtue of being interconnected as described in Section 35.4 of this Agreement.
- "MVAR" shall mean megavolt ampere of reactive power.
- "MW" shall mean megawatt of capacity.
- "NAESB" shall mean North American Energy Standards Board or its successor organization.

- "NERC" shall mean the North American Electricity Reliability Corporation or its successor organization.
- "Network Resource" shall have the meaning as provided in the NYISO OATT, for such resources located in New York, and the meaning as provided in the PJM OATT, for such resources located in PJM.
- "New Year Market Flow" shall mean the Market Flow incorporating the transmission topology that includes all pre-existing Transmission Facilities and all new or upgraded Transmission Facilities whose impact on M2M Entitlements has been previously evaluated and incorporated, and all new or upgraded Transmission Facilities whose impact on M2M Entitlements is being evaluated in the current evaluation step.
- "Non-Monitoring RTO" shall mean the Party that does not have operational control of a Flowgate.
- "Notice" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35. 20.22.
- "NPCC" shall mean the Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc., including the NPCC Cross Border Regional Entity ("CBRE"), or their successor organizations.
- "NY-NJ PARs" shall mean, individually and/or collectively, the ABC PARs, the Ramapo PARs, and the Waldwick PARs, all of which are components of the NYISO PJM interface.
- "NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgate" shall mean Flowgates where constraints, impacted by the NY-NJ PARs, are jointly monitored and coordinated as defined and set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement.
- "NYISO" shall have the meaning stated in the preamble of this Agreement.
- "NYISO Code of Conduct" shall mean the rules, procedures and restrictions concerning the conduct of the ISO directors and employees, contained in Attachment F to the NYISO OATT.
- "NYISO Market Monitoring Plan" shall refer to Attachment O to the NYISO Services Tariff.
- "NYISO Tariffs" shall mean the NYISO OATT and the NYISO Market Administration and Control Area Services Tariff ("Services Tariff"), collectively.
- "NYSRC" shall mean the New York State Reliability Council.
- "NYSRC Reliability Rules" shall mean the rules applicable to the operation of the New York Transmission System. These rules are based on Reliability Standards adopted by NERC and

NPCC, but also include more specific and more stringent rules to reflect the particular requirements of the New York Transmission System.

"O PAR" shall mean the phase angle regulator located at the Waldwick station on the O-2267 Waldwick-Fairlawn 230kV line.

"OASIS" shall mean the Open Access Same-Time Information System required by FERC for the posting of market and transmission data on the Internet websites of PJM and NYISO.

"OATT" shall mean the applicable Open Access Transmission Tariffs on file with FERC for PJM and NYISO.

"Operating Entity" shall mean an entity that operates and controls a portion of the bulk transmission system with the goal of ensuring reliable energy interchange between generators, loads, and other operating entities.

"Operating Instructions" shall mean the operating procedures, steps, and instructions for the operation of the Interconnection Facilities established from time to time by the Coordination Committee or the PJM and NYISO individual procedures and processes and includes changes from time to time by the Coordination Committee to such established procedures, steps and instructions exclusive of the individual procedures.

"Operational Base Flow" or "OBF" shall mean an equal and opposite MW offset of power flows over the Waldwick PARs and ABC PARs to account for natural system flows over the JK Interface and the ABC Interface in order to facilitate the reliable operation of the NYISO and/or PJM transmission systems. The OBF is not a firm transmission service on either the NYISO transmission system or on the PJM transmission system. The OBF shall not result in charges from one Party to the other Party, or from one Party to the other Party's Market Participants, except for the settlements described in the Real-Time Energy Market Coordination and Settlements provisions set forth in Sections 7 and 8 of Schedule D to this Agreement. In particular, the NYISO and its Market Participants shall not be subjected to PJM Regional Transmission Expansion Plan ("RTEP") cost allocations as a result of the OBF.

"Operating Reserve" shall mean generation capacity or load reduction capacity which can be called upon on short notice by either Party to replace scheduled energy supply which is unavailable as a result of an unexpected outage or to augment scheduled energy as a result of unexpected demand or other contingencies.

"Operational Control" shall mean Security monitoring, adjustment of generation and transmission resources, coordinating and approval of changes in transmission status for maintenance, determination of changes in transmission status for reliability, coordination with other Balancing Authority Areas and Reliability Coordinators, voltage reductions and load

shedding, except that each legal owner of generation and transmission resources continues to physically operate and maintain its own facilities.

- "OTDF" shall mean the electric PTDF with one or more system facilities removed from service (*i.e.*, outaged) in the post-contingency configuration of a system under study.
- "Other Coordinated Flowgate" shall mean a Flowgate where constraints are jointly monitored and coordinated as defined and set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement. No re-dispatch settlement will occur on Other Coordinated Flowgates.
- "Outages" shall mean the planned unavailability of transmission and/or generation facilities dispatched by PJM or the NYISO, as described in Section 35.9 of this Agreement.
- "PAR" shall mean phase angle regulator.
- "PAR Shift Factor" or "PSF", shall mean the PAR's impact on a Flowgate measured as the ratio of Flowgate flow change in MW to PAR schedule change in MW.
- "Party" or "Parties" refers to each party to this Agreement or both, as applicable.
- "PJM" has the meaning stated in the preamble of this Agreement.
- **"PJM Code of Conduct"** shall mean the code of ethical standards, guidelines and expectations for PJM's employees, officers and Board Members in their transactions and business dealings on behalf of PJM as posted on the PJM website and as may be amended from time to time.
- **"PJM Tariffs"** shall mean the PJM OATT and the PJM Amended and Restated Operating Agreement, collectively.
- "Power Transfer Distribution Factor" or "PTDF" shall mean a measure of the responsiveness or change in electrical loadings on Transmission Facilities due to a change in electric power transfer from one area to another, expressed in percent (up to 100%) of the change in power transfer in the pre-contingency configuration of a system under study.
- "Qualified Resource" shall mean a generator that can be effectively committed, decommitted and/or redispatched to relieve a M2M Redispatch Flowgate or Other Coordinated Flowgate. Generators that cannot or do not follow commitment or dispatch instructions, including but not limited to generators with no difference between their historically offered minimum and maximum operating limits and generators with intermittent fuel sources, are not considered Qualified Resources.
- **"Ramapo Interface"** shall mean the transfer path comprised of the 5018 Hopatcong-Ramapo 500 kV tie line between PJM and NYISO.

- "Ramapo PARs" shall mean the 3500 PAR and 4500 PAR that control flow on the Ramapo Interface.
- "Real-Time Commitment" shall mean NYISO's multi-period security constrained unit commitment and dispatch model, as defined in the NYISO Tariffs.
- "Reference Year Market Flow" shall mean the Market Flow based on a transmission topology that includes all pre-existing Transmission Facilities and all new or upgraded Transmission Facilities whose impact on M2M Entitlements has been previously evaluated and incorporated.
- **"Region"** shall mean the Control Areas and Transmission Facilities with respect to which a Party serves as RTO or Reliability Coordinator under NERC policies and procedures.
- "Regulatory Body" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.20.21.
- "Reliability Coordinator" or "RC" shall mean the entity that is the highest level of authority who is responsible for the reliable operation of the Bulk Electric System, has the wide area view of the Bulk Electric System, and has the operating tools, processes and procedures, including the authority to prevent or mitigate emergency operating situations in both next day analysis and real-time operations. The Reliability Coordinator has the purview that is broad enough to enable the calculation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits, which may be based on the operating parameters of transmission systems beyond any Transmission Operator's vision.
- "Reliability Coordinator Area" shall mean that portion of the Bulk Electric System under the purview of the Reliability Coordinator.
- "Reliability Standards" shall mean the criteria, standards, rules and requirements relating to reliability established by a Standards Authority.
- "RFC" shall mean ReliabilityFirst Corporation.
- **"RTO"** shall mean Regional Transmission Organization. For ease of reference, the New York Independent System Operator, Inc., may be referred to as an RTO in this Agreement and the NYISO and PJM may be referred to collectively as the "RTOs" or the "participating RTOs."
- "Schedule" shall mean a schedule attached to this Agreement and all amendments, supplements, replacements and additions hereto.
- "SDX System" shall mean the system used by NERC to exchange system data.
- "Security" shall mean the ability of the electric system to withstand sudden disturbances including, without limitation, electric short circuits or unanticipated loss of system elements.

- "Security Limits" shall mean operating electricity system voltage limits, stability limits and thermal ratings.
- "SERC" shall mean SERC Reliability Corporation or its successor organization.
- "Shadow Price" shall mean the marginal value of relieving a particular constraint which is determined by the reduction in system cost that would result from an incremental relaxation of that constraint.
- "Standards Authority" shall mean NERC, and the NERC regional entities with governance over PJM and NYISO, any successor thereof, or any other agency with authority over the Parties regarding standards or criteria to either Party relating to the reliability of Transmission Systems.
- "Standards Authority Standards" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.5.2.
- "State Estimator" shall mean a computer model that computes the state (voltage magnitudes and angles) of the Transmission System using the network model and real-time measurements. Line flows, transformer flows, and injections at the busses are calculated from the known state and the transmission line parameters. The State Estimator has the capability to detect and identify bad measurements.
- "Storm Watch" shall mean actual or anticipated severe weather conditions under which regionspecific portions of the New York State Transmission System are operated in a more conservative manner by reducing transmission transfer limits.
- "Supplying Party" shall have the meaning stated in Section 35.8.2.
- "System Operating Limit" or "SOL" shall mean the value (such as MW, MVAR, Amperes, Frequency, or Volts) that satisfies the most limiting of the prescribed operating criteria for a specified system configuration to ensure operation within acceptable reliability criteria.
- "Target Value" shall have the meaning stated in Section 7.2 of Schedule D to this Agreement.
- "Third Party" refers to any entity other than a Party to this Agreement.
- "TLR" shall mean the NERC Transmission Loading Relief Procedures used in the Eastern Interconnection as specified in NERC Operating Policies.
- "Transmission Adjusted Market Flow" shall mean the result of applying the M2M Entitlement Transmission Adjusted Market Flow Calculation to the New Year Market Flow. The resulting Transmission Adjusted Market Flow is then used as the Reference Year Market Flow in all subsequent, iterative, evaluations.

- "Transmission Operator" shall mean the entity responsible for the reliability of its "local" Transmission System, and that operates or directs the operations of the Transmission Facilities.
- "Transmission Owner" shall mean an entity that owns Transmission Facilities.
- "Transmission System" shall mean the facilities controlled or operated by PJM or NYISO as designated by each in their respective OATTs.
- "Transmission Facility" shall mean a facility for transmitting electricity, and includes any structures, equipment or other facilities used for that purpose as defined in the Parties respective OATTs.
- "Transmission Reliability Margin" or "TRM" shall mean the amount of transmission transfer capability necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the interconnected transmission network will be secure. TRM accounts for the inherent uncertainty in system conditions and the need for operating flexibility to ensure reliable system operation as system conditions change.
- "Total Transfer Capability" or "TTC" shall mean the amount of electric power that can be moved or transferred reliably from one area to another area of the interconnected Transmission Systems by way of all transmission lines (or paths) between those areas under specified system conditions.
- "Voltage and Reactive Power Coordination Procedures" are the procedures under Section 35.11 for coordination of voltage control and reactive power requirements.
- "Waldwick PARs" shall mean the E PAR, F PAR and O PAR that control flow on the JK Interface.

35.2. 2 Rules of Construction.

35.2. 2.1 No Interpretation Against Drafter.

In addition to their roles as RTOs/ISOs and Reliability Coordinators, and the functions and responsibilities associated therewith, the Parties agree that each Party participated in the drafting of this Agreement and was represented therein by competent legal counsel. No rule of construction or interpretation against the drafter shall be applied to the construction or in the interpretation of this Agreement.

35.2. 2.2 Incorporation of Preamble and Recitals.

The Preamble and Recitals of this Agreement are incorporated into the terms and conditions of this Agreement and made a part thereof.

35.2. 2.3 Meanings of Certain Common Words.

The word "including" shall be understood to mean "including, but not limited to." The word "Section" refers to the applicable section of this Agreement and, unless otherwise stated, includes all subsections thereof. The word "Article" refers to articles of this Agreement.

35.2. 2.4 Standards Authority Standards, Policies, and Procedures.

All activities under this Agreement will meet or exceed the applicable Standards
Authority standards, policies, or procedures as revised from time to time.

35.2. 2.5 Scope of Application.

Each Party will perform this Agreement in accordance with its terms and conditions with respect to each Control Area for which it serves as ISO or RTO and, in addition, each Control Area for which it serves as Reliability Coordinator.

35.3 Overview, Administration, and Relationship With Other Agreements

35.3.1 Purpose of This Agreement

This Agreement provides for the reliable operation of the interconnected PJM and NYISO Transmission Systems in accordance with the requirements of the Standards Authority and efficient market operations through M2M coordination. This Agreement establishes a structure and framework for the following functions related to the reliability of interconnected operations between the Parties and efficient joint market operations:

- 35.3.1.1 Developing and issuing Operating Instructions and Security Limits;
- 35.3.1.2 Coordinating operation of their respective Transmission Systems;
- 35.3.1.3 Developing and adopting operating criteria and standards;
- 35.3.1.4 Conducting operating performance reviews of the Interconnection Facilities;
- 35.3.1.5 Implementing each Party's respective Standards Authority requirements with regard to the PJM and NYISO Transmission Systems;
- 35.3.1.6 Exchanging information and coordination regarding system planning;
- 35.3.1.7 Providing mutual assistance in an Emergency and during system restoration;
- 35.3.1.9 Performance of certain other arrangements among the Parties for coordination of their systems, including, but not limited to performance consistent with the arrangements set forth in the existing agreements listed in Section 35.21 and the M2M transmission congestion coordination process that is set forth in the attached Market-to-Market Coordination Schedule and Section 35.12 below; and
- 35.3.1.9 Performance of certain other arrangements among the Parties for administration of this Agreement.

The Parties shall, consistent with Standards Authority requirements and the Parties' respective tariffs, rules and standards, including with respect to the NYISO, the NYSRC Reliability Rules, to the maximum extent consistent with the safe and proper operation of their respective Reliability Coordinator Area and Balancing Authority Area and necessary coordination with other interconnected systems, operate their systems in accordance with the procedures and principles set forth in this Agreement.

35.3.2 Establishment and Functions of Coordination Committee

To administer the arrangements under this Agreement, the Parties shall establish a Coordination Committee. The Coordination Committee shall undertake to jointly develop and authorize Operating Instructions to implement the intent of this Agreement with respect to reliable Transmission System operations.

35.3.2.1 The Coordination Committee shall have the following duties and responsibilities:

- 35.3.2.1.1 Determine the date(s) for implementing the various parts of this Agreement and undertake to jointly develop and authorize Operating Instructions to implement the intent of this Agreement;
- 35.3.2.1.2 Meet periodically to address any issues associated with this Agreement that a Party may raise and to determine whether any changes to this Agreement, or procedures employed under this Agreement, would enhance reliability, efficiency or economy;
- 35.3.2.1.3 The matters to be addressed at all meetings shall be specified in an agenda, which shall contain items specified by either Party in advance of the meeting

and sent to the representatives of the other Party. All decisions of the Coordination Committee must be unanimous;

- 35.3.2.1.4 Conduct additional meetings upon Notice given by any Party, provided that the Notice specifies the reason(s) for requesting the meeting;
- 35.3.2.1.5 Initiate process reviews at the request of any Party for activities undertaken in the performance of this Agreement; and
- 35.3.2.1.6 In its discretion, take other actions, including the establishment of subcommittees and/or task forces, to address any issues that the Coordination Committee deems necessary consistent with this Agreement.

35.3.2.2 Coordination Committee Representatives

Within 30 days of the Effective Date, each Party shall designate a primary and alternate representative to the Coordination Committee and shall inform the other Parties of its designated representatives by Notice. A Party may change its designated Coordination Committee representatives at any time, provided that timely Notice is given to the other Parties. Each designated Coordination Committee representative shall have the authority to make decisions on issues that arise during the performance of this Agreement. The costs and expenses associated with each Party's designated Coordination Committee representatives shall be the responsibility of the designating Party.

35.3.2.3 Limitations Upon Authority of Coordination Committee

The Coordination Committee is not authorized to modify or amend any of the terms of this Agreement. The Coordination Committee is also not authorized to excuse any obligations under this Agreement or waive any rights pertaining to this Agreement. The Coordination

Committee has no authority to commit either Party to any expenditure that is beyond those expenses described in this Agreement.

35.3.3 Ongoing Review and Revisions

As set forth in Section 35.7, the Parties have agreed to the coordination and exchange of data and information under this Agreement to enhance system reliability and efficient market operations as systems exist and are contemplated as of the Effective Date. The Parties expect that these systems and the technology applicable to these systems and to the collection and exchange of data will change from time to time throughout the term of this Agreement. The Parties agree that the objectives of this Agreement can be fulfilled efficiently and economically only if the Parties, from time to time, review and, as appropriate, revise the requirements stated herein in response to such changes, including deleting, adding, or revising requirements and protocols. Each Party will negotiate in good faith in response to such revisions the other Party may propose from time to time. Nothing in this Agreement, however, shall require any Party to reach agreement with respect to any such changes, or to purchase, install, or otherwise implement new equipment, software, or devices, or functions, except as required to perform this Agreement.

35.4 Mutual Benefits

35.4.1 No Charge for Mutual Benefits of Interconnection.

The PJM Transmission System and the New York Transmission System, by virtue of being connected with a much larger Interconnection, share Mutual Benefits such as transient and steady-state support. PJM and NYISO shall not charge one another for such Mutual Benefits.

35.4.2 Maintenance of Mutual Benefits.

The Parties shall endeavor to operate or direct the operation of the Interconnection Facilities to realize the Mutual Benefits. The Parties recognize circumstances beyond their control, such as a result of operating configurations, contingencies, maintenance, or actions by third parties, may result in a reduction of Mutual Benefits.

35.5 Interconnected Operation

35.5.1 Obligation to Remain Interconnected

The Parties shall at all times during the term of this Agreement operate or direct the operation of their respective Transmission Systems so that they remain interconnected except:

- 35.5.1.1 During the occurrence of an event of Force Majeure which renders a Party unable to remain interconnected;
- 35.5.1.2 When an Interconnection is opened in accordance with the terms of an Operating Instruction or, if the Operating Instruction does not anticipate a particular circumstance where there is an imminent risk of equipment failure, or of danger to personnel or the public, or a risk to the environment, or a risk to system Security or reliability of a Transmission System, which cannot be avoided through Good Utility Practice; or
- During planned maintenance where notice has been given in accordance with outage procedures as implemented by the Coordination Committee.

35.5.2 Adherence to Standards Authority Standards, Policies and Procedures

The Parties are participants in multiple Standards Authorities and are required to comply with specified standards, criteria, guides and procedures ("Standards Authority Standards").

Such Standards Authority Standards detail the many coordinating functions carried out by the parties, and this Agreement is intended to enhance those arrangements. Such Standards Authority Standards include, and the Parties agree to, the provision of "maximum reasonable assistance" to a neighboring Balancing Authority Area. Such maximum reasonable assistance will not normally require the shedding of firm load.

35.5.3 Notification of Circumstances

In the event that an Interconnection Facility is opened or if the Interconnection Facility transfer capability is changed, or if a Party plans to initiate the opening of an Interconnection Facility, or to change the transfer capability of the Interconnection Facilities, such Party shall immediately provide the other Party with notification indicating the circumstances of the opening or transfer capability change and expected restoration time, in accordance with procedures implemented by the Coordination Committee.

35.5.4 Compliance with Decisions of the Coordination Committee Direction

PJM shall direct the operation of the PJM Transmission System and the NYISO shall direct the operation of the NYISO Transmission System in accordance with the obligations of their respective tariffs, rules and standards and applicable directions of the Coordination Committee that conform with their respective tariffs, rules and standards, except where prevented by Force Majeure. The Coordination Committee's scope includes making decisions and jointly developing and approving Operating Instructions for many expected circumstances within the provisions of the Parties' respective tariffs, rules and standards. If decisions of the Coordination Committee do not anticipate a particular circumstance, the Parties shall act in accordance with Good Utility Practice.

35.5.5 Control and Monitoring

Each Party shall provide or arrange for 24-hour control and monitoring of their portion of the Interconnection Facilities.

35.5.6 Reactive Transfer and Voltage Control

The Parties agree to determine reactive transfers and control voltages in accordance with the provisions of their respective Standards Authority Standards. Real and reactive power will be transferred over the Interconnection Facilities as described in Section 35.11.

35.5.7 Inadvertent Exchanges

Inadvertent power transfers on all Interconnection Facilities shall be controlled and accounted for in accordance with the standards and procedures developed by the Standards Authorities and the system operators of each Party to this Agreement.

35.5.8 Adoption of Standards

The Parties hereby agree to adopt, enforce and comply with all applicable requirements and standards that will safeguard the reliability of the interconnected Transmission Systems.

Such reliability requirements and Reliability Standards shall be:

- 35.5.8.1 Adopted and enforced for the purpose of providing reliable service;
- 35.5.8.2 Not unduly discriminatory in substance or application;
- 35.5.8.3 Applied consistently to both Parties with the exception of subsection 35.5.8.5 below;
- 35.5.8.4 Consistent with the Parties' respective obligations to applicable Standards
 Authorities including, without limitation, any relevant requirements or
 guidelines from each of NERC, or its Regional Councils' or any other
 Standards Authority or regional transmission group to which either of the
 Parties is required to adhere; and
- 35.5.8.5 With respect to the NYISO, consistent with the NYSRC Reliability Rules.

35.5.9 New York - PJM IROL Interface (s)

The Parties will adhere to IROLs that each Party develops in accordance with its respective procedures.¹ IROLs will be determined as needed to maintain acceptable steady-state and transient performance of the NYISO and PJM Transmission Systems. Each Party will specify in its procedures the list of interfaces to which IROLs apply.² Each Party will monitor these limits for their respective IROLs in accordance with this Agreement and independently determine the applicable import and export transfer limits. Each Party agrees to operate the NYISO – PJM interface to the most conservative limits developed in real-time and the day-ahead planning process. These operating limits shall be determined in accordance with Standards Authority Standards. Both Parties will take coordinated corrective actions, when requested by either Party, to avoid an exceedance of any IROL identified. If an exceedance occurs, actions will be taken to clear the exceedance as soon as possible, and in accordance with Standards Authority Standards.

35.5.10 Coordination and Exchange of Information Regarding System Planning

The Parties shall exchange information and coordinate regarding system planning and inter-regional planning activities in a manner consistent with Standards Authority Standards and consistent with the requirements of confidentiality agreements or rules binding upon either of the Parties.

¹ NYISO procedures are defined as ISO Procedures in the NYISO OATT. See, NYISO Emergency Operations Manual, available at https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/2923301/em_op_mnl.pdf/99ef389d-4bca-fc0e-f12e-d91c0763cdca. PJM's procedures are set forth in PJM Manual 37, section 3, SOL and IROL Limits available at: https://www.pjm.com/-/media/documents/manuals/m37.ashx

² See, NYISO Emergency Operations Manual Table A-6 and PJM Manual 37, section 3, SOL and IROL Limits available at: https://www.pjm.com/-/media/documents/manuals/m37.ashx.

35.6 Emergency Assistance

35.6.1 Emergency Assistance

Both Parties shall exercise due diligence to avoid or mitigate an Emergency to the extent practical in accordance with applicable requirements imposed by the Standards Authority or contained in the PJM Tariffs and NYISO Tariffs. In avoiding or mitigating an Emergency, both Parties shall strive to allow for commercial remedies, but if commercial remedies are not successful or practical, the Parties agree to be the suppliers of last resort to maintain reliability on the system. For each hour during which Emergency conditions exist in a Party's Balancing Authority Area, that Party (while still ensuring operations within applicable Reliability Standards) shall determine what commercial remedies are available and make use of those that are practical and needed to avoid or mitigate the Emergency before any Emergency Energy is scheduled in that hour.

35.6.2 Emergency Operating Guides

The Parties agree to jointly develop, maintain, and share operating guides to address credible Emergency conditions.

35.6.3 Emergency Energy

Each Party shall, to the maximum extent it deems consistent with the safe and proper operation of its respective Transmission System, provide Emergency Energy to the other Party in accordance with the provisions of the Inter Control Area Transactions Agreement.

35.6.4 Costs of Compliance

Each Party shall bear its own costs of compliance with this Article except that the cost of Emergency Energy purchased by one Party at the request of the other Party shall be reimbursed

in accordance with the Inter Control Area Transaction Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall require a Party to purchase Emergency Energy if the Party cannot recover the costs under an OATT or other agreement or lawful arrangement.

35.6.5 Emergency Conditions

If an emergency condition exists in either the NYCA or PJM, the NYISO operator or PJM dispatcher may request that the NY/PJM Interconnection Facilities be adjusted to assist directing power flows between the NYCA and PJM to alleviate the emergency condition. The taps on the ABC PARs, Ramapo PARs, and Waldwick PARs may be moved either in tandem or individually as needed to mitigate the emergency condition.

The NYISO and/or PJM shall implement the appropriate emergency procedures of either the NYISO or PJM, as appropriate, during system emergencies experienced on either the NYISO or PJM system. The NYISO and PJM shall have the authority to implement their respective emergency procedures in any order required to ensure overall system reliability.

35.7 Exchange of Information

35.7.1 Exchange of Operating Data

PJM and NYISO agree to exchange and share such information as may be required from time to time for the Parties to perform their duties and fulfill their obligations under this Agreement, subject to the requirements of existing confidentiality agreements or rules binding upon either of the Parties, including the NYISO Code of Conduct as set forth in Attachment F to the NYISO OATT, Article 6 of the NYISO Services Tariff, the PJM Code of Conduct and PJM Data Confidentiality Regional Stakeholder Group. Such information may consist of the following:

- 35.7.1.1 Information required to develop Operating Instructions;
- 35.7.1.2 Transmission System facility specifications and modeling data required to perform Security analysis;
- 35.7.1.2.1 The Parties will exchange their detailed EMS models in CIM format or another mutually agreed upon electronic format, and include the ICCP/ISN mapping files, identification of individual bus loads, seasonal equipment ratings and one-line drawings to expedite the model conversion process, upon request. The Parties will also exchange updates that represent the incremental changes that have occurred to the EMS model since the most recent update in an agreed upon electronic format;
- 35.7.1.3 Functional descriptions and schematic diagrams of Transmission System protective devices and communication facilities;
- 35.7.1.4 Ratings data and associated ratings methodologies for the Interconnection Facilities;

- 35.7.1.5 Telemetry points, equipment alarms and status points required for real-time monitoring of Security dispatch;
- Data required to reconcile accounts for inadvertent energy, and for Emergency Energy transactions;
- 35.7.1.7 Transmission System information that is consistent with the information sharing requirements imposed by the Standards Authority;
- 35.7.1.8 Such other information as may be required for the Parties to maintain the reliable operation of their interconnected Transmission Systems and fulfill their obligations under this Agreement and to any Standards Authority of which either Party is a member, provided, however, that this other information will be exchanged only if that can be done in accordance with applicable restrictions on the disclosure of information to any Market Participant;
- 35.7.1.9 Additional information required for the Parties to administer the M2M coordination process set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement, including:
 - a. actual flows on Flowgates;
 - b. actual limits for Flowgates;
 - c. ex ante Shadow Prices on constrained Flowgates;
 - d. requested relief during a Coordination Event;
 - e. Market Flow calculation data (generator shift factors, load shift factors, interchange PTDFs, phase angle regulator OTDFs, generator output, load, net interchange);
 - f. Market Flows on M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other Coordinated Flowgates; and

g. binding constraint thresholds (the shift factor thresholds used to identify the resource(s) available to relieve a transmission constraint).

35.7.1.10 Additional information required for the Parties to administer CTS, including:

- a. interchange transaction offer attributes (frequency of scheduling, offer type, source and sink);
- b. forecasted interchange schedules;
- c. forecasted prices; and
- d. CTS interface limits.

35.7.2 Confidentiality

The Party receiving information pursuant to this Section 35.7 shall treat such information as confidential subject to the terms and conditions of set forth in Section 35.8 of this Agreement. The obligation of each Party under this Section 35.7.2 continues and survives the termination of this Agreement by seven (7) years.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, EMS models and the data used for EMS modeling exchanged pursuant to Section 35.7.1 may be released by the receiving Party to its Transmission Owners for operational and reliability compliance purposes. The respective Party's Transmission Owners shall be required to maintain the EMS models and the data as confidential in a manner consistent with or superior to the terms and conditions contained herein.

35.7.3 Data Exchange Contact

To facilitate the exchange of all such data, each Party will designate to the other Party's Vice President of Operations a contact to be available twenty-four (24) hours each day, seven (7) days per week, and an alternate contact to act in the absence or unavailability of the primary

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. - NYISO Tariffs - NYISO OATT Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) - NYISO OATT 35 OATT Attachment CC - Joint Operating Agreement Among And - NYISO OATT 35.7 OATT Att CC Exchange Of Information

contact, to respond to any inquiries. With respect to each contact and alternate, each Party shall provide the name, telephone number, e-mail address, and fax number. Each Party may change a designee from time to time by Notice to the other Party's Vice President of Operations.

The Parties agree to exchange data in a timely manner consistent with existing defined formats or such other formats to which the Parties may agree. Each Party shall provide notification to the other Party thirty (30) days prior to modifying an established data exchange format.

35.7.4 Cost of Data and Information Exchange

Each Party shall bear its own cost of providing information to the other Party.

35.7.5 Other Data

The Parties may share other data not listed in this Section 35.7 as mutually agreed upon by the Parties.

35.8 Confidential Information

35.8.1 **Definition**

The term "Confidential Information" shall mean: (a) all information, whether furnished before or after the mutual execution of this Agreement, whether oral, written or recorded/electronic, and regardless of the manner in which it is furnished, that is marked "confidential" or "proprietary" or which under all of the circumstances should be treated as confidential or proprietary; (b) any data or information deemed confidential under some other form of confidentiality agreement or tariff provided to a Party by a generator; (c) all reports, summaries, compilations, analyses, notes or other information of a Party hereto which are based on, contain or reflect any Confidential Information; (d) applicable material deemed Confidential Information pursuant to the PJM Data Confidentiality Regional Stakeholder Group, the PJM Code of Conduct, the NYISO Code of Conduct, or Article 6 of the NYISO's Services Tariff; (e) Protected Information under the NYISO Market Monitoring Plan; and (f) any information which, if disclosed by a transmission function employee of a utility regulated by the FERC to a market function employee of the same utility system, other than by public posting, would violate the FERC's Standards of Conduct set forth in 18 C.F.R. § 37 et. seq. and the Parties' Standards of Conduct on file with the FERC.

35.8.2 Protection

During the course of the Parties' performance under this Agreement, a Party may receive or become exposed to Confidential Information. Except as set forth herein, the Parties agree to keep in confidence and not to copy, disclose, or distribute any Confidential Information or any part thereof, without the prior written permission of the Party supplying such Confidential Information ("Supplying Party"). In addition, each Party shall require that its employees, its

subcontractors and its subcontractors' employees and agents to whom Confidential Information is exposed agree to be bound by the terms and conditions contained herein. Each Party shall be responsible for any breach of this section by its employees, its subcontractors and its subcontractors' employees and agents.

35.8.3 Treatment of Confidential Information

The Party receiving the Confidential Information shall treat the information in the same confidential manner as its governing documents require it to treat the confidential information of its own members and Market Participants.

35.8.4 Statute of Limitations

The receiving Party shall not release the Supplying Party's Confidential Information until expiration of the time period controlling the Supplying Party's disclosure of the same information, as such period is described in the Supplying Party's governing documents from time to time. As of the Effective Date, this period is three (3) months with respect to bid or pricing data and seven (7) calendar days for transmission data after the event ends. The obligation of each Party under this Section 35.8 continues and survives the termination of this Agreement by seven (7) years.

35.8.5 Scope

This obligation of confidentiality shall not extend to data and information that, at no fault of a recipient Party, is or was: (a) in the public domain or generally available or known to the public; (b) disclosed to a recipient by a non-Party who had a legal right to do so; (c) independently developed by a Party or known to such Party prior to its disclosure hereunder; and

(d) which is required to be disclosed by subpoena, law, or other directive of a Governmental Authority.

35.8.6 Standard of Care

Each Party shall protect Confidential Information from disclosure, dissemination, or publication. Each Party agrees to restrict access to all Confidential Information to only those persons authorized to view such information: (a) by the FERC's Standards of Conduct, (b) OASIS posting requirements in 18 C.F.R. § § 37.1-37.8 and, (c) if more restrictive, by such Party's board resolutions, tariff provisions, or other internal policies governing access to, and the sharing of, energy market or Transmission System information.

35.8.7 Required Disclosure

If a Governmental Authority requests or requires a Party to disclose any Confidential Information ("Disclosing Party"), such Disclosing Party shall provide the Supplying Party with prompt written notice of such request or requirement and will assist any efforts by the Supplying Party to contest disclosure, or seek an appropriate protective order or other appropriate remedy. The Supplying Party may also choose to waive compliance with the provisions of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the presence or absence of a protective order or a waiver, a Disclosing Party shall disclose only such Confidential Information as it is legally required to disclose. Each Party shall use reasonable efforts to obtain reliable assurances that confidential treatment will be accorded to Confidential Information required to be disclosed.

If a Disclosing Party is required to disclose any Confidential Information under this section, a Supplying Party shall have the right to immediately suspend supplying such Confidential Information to the Disclosing Party. In that event, the Parties shall meet as soon as practicable in an effort to resolve any and all issues associated with the required disclosure of

such Confidential Information, and the likelihood of additional disclosures of such Confidential Information.

35.8.8 Return of Confidential Information

All Confidential Information provided by the Supplying Party shall be returned by the receiving Party to the Supplying Party promptly upon request. Upon termination or expiration of this Agreement, a Party shall use reasonable efforts to destroy, erase, delete or return to the Supplying Party any and all written or electronic Confidential Information. In no event shall a receiving Party retain copies of any Confidential Information provided by a Supplying Party.

35.8.9 Equitable Relief

Each Party acknowledges that remedies at law are inadequate to protect against breach of the covenants and agreements in this Article, and hereby in advance agrees, without prejudice to any rights to judicial relief that it may otherwise have, to the granting of equitable relief, including injunction, in the Supplying Party's favor without proof of actual damages. In addition to the equitable relief referred to in this section, a Supplying Party shall only be entitled to recover from a receiving Party any and all gains wrongfully acquired, directly or indirectly, from a receiving Party's unauthorized disclosure of Confidential Information.

35.8.10 Existing Confidential Information Obligations

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, the parties shall have no obligation to disclose Confidential Information or data to the extent such disclosure of information or data would be a violation of or inconsistent with the terms and conditions of the PJM or NYISO Amended and Restated Operating Agreement, either Party's OATT, any other

agreement, or applicable state or federal regulation or law. The obligation of each Party under this section continues and survives the termination of this Agreement by seven (7) years.

35.9 Coordination of Scheduled Outages

35.9.1 Coordinating Outages Operating Protocols

The Parties will jointly develop protocols for coordinating transmission and generation Outages to maintain reliability. The Parties agree to the following with respect to transmission and generation Outage coordination.

35.9.1.1 Exchange of Transmission and Generation Outage Schedule Data

Upon a Party's request, the projected status of generation and transmission availability will be communicated between the Parties, subject to data confidentiality agreements. The Parties shall exchange the most current information on proposed Outage information and provide a timely response on potential impacts of proposed Outages. The Parties shall select a mutually agreeable common format for the exchange of this information.

35.9.1.2 Evaluation and Coordination of Transmission and Generation Outages

The Parties analyze planned critical facility maintenance to determine its effects on the reliability of the Transmission System. The Parties will work together to resolve Outage conflicts and work with the facility owner(s), as necessary, to provide remedial steps.

The Parties will notify each other of emergency maintenance and forced outages as soon as possible after these conditions are known. The Parties will evaluate the impact of emergency and forced outages on the Parties' systems to develop remedial steps as necessary.

Unforeseen changes in scheduled outages may require additional review. Each Party will consider the impact of these changes on the other Party's system reliability in addition to its own. The Parties will contact each other as soon as possible if these changes result in unacceptable system conditions to develop remedial steps as necessary.

35.10 Coordination of Transmission Planning Studies

35.10.1 Scope of Activities:

Transmission planning activities will be coordinated in accordance with the Amended and Restated Northeast ISO/RTO Planning Coordination Protocol ("Protocol"), between and among PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. and ISO New England Inc., effective as of December 12, 2004 as amended on July 10, 2013.

35.10.2 Allocation of Costs of Approved Interregional Transmission Projects

The costs of Interregional Transmission Projects, as defined in the Protocol, evaluated under the Protocol and selected by PJM and NYISO (the "Regions") in their regional transmission plans for purposes of cost allocation under their respective tariffs shall, when applicable, be allocated to the PJM Region and the NYISO Region in accordance with the cost allocation principles of FERC Order No. 1000, as follows:

- (a) To be eligible for interregional cost allocation pursuant to this Section 35.10.2, an Interregional Transmission Project must be selected in both the PJM and NYISO regional transmission plans for purposes of cost allocation pursuant to agreements and tariffs on file at FERC for each Region, and must be planned for construction in both the PJM region and the NYISO Region.
- (b) The share of the costs of an Interregional Transmission Project allocated to a

 Region will be determined by the ratio of the present value of the estimated costs

 of such Region's displaced regional transmission project or projects to the total of
 the present values of the estimated costs of the displaced regional transmission
 projects in the Regions that have selected the Interregional Transmission Project
 in their regional transmission plans.

- (c) The present values of the estimated costs of each Region's displaced regional transmission project shall be based on a common base date that will be the beginning of the calendar month of the cost allocation analysis for the subject Interregional Transmission Project (the "Base Date").
- (d) In order to perform the analysis in Section 35.10.2(b) above, the estimated cost of the displaced regional transmission projects shall specify the year's dollars in which those estimates are provided.
- (e) The present value analysis for all displaced regional transmission projects shall use a common discount rate. PJM and NYISO, in consultation with their respective transmission owners, and NYISO in consultation with other stakeholders, shall agree on the discount rate to be used for the present value analysis.
- (f) PJM and NYISO, in consultation with the transmission owners in their respective regions, and NYISO in consultation with other stakeholders, shall review and determine that the cost estimates of the displaced regional transmission projects have been determined in a comparable manner prior to applying this cost allocation.
- (g) No cost shall be allocated to a Region that has not selected the Interregional Transmission Project in its regional transmission plan.
- (h) When a portion of an Interregional Transmission Project evaluated under the Protocol is included by a region (Region 1) in its regional transmission plan but there is no regional need or displaced regional transmission project in Region 1 and the neighboring region (Region 2) has a regional need or displaced regional

project for the Interregional Transmission Project and selects the Interregional Transmission Project in its regional transmission plan, all of the costs of the Interregional Transmission Project shall be allocated to Region 2 in accordance with the methodology in this Section 35.10.2 and none of the costs shall be allocated to Region 1.

- (i) The portion of the costs allocated to a region pursuant to this Section 35.10.2 shall be further allocated to the transmission customers within such Region pursuant to the applicable provisions of the region's tariffs and, if applicable, agreements on file with FERC.
- (j) The following example illustrates the cost allocation for such an Interregional Transmission Project:
- A cost allocation analysis of the costs of Interregional Transmission Project Z is to be performed during a given month establishing the beginning of that month as the Base Date.
- Region A has identified a reliability need in its region and has selected a transmission project (Project X) as the preferred solution in its regional plan. The estimated cost of Project X is: Cost (X), provided in a given year's dollars. The number of years from the Base Date to the year associated with the cost estimate of Project (X) is: N(X).
- Region B has identified a reliability need in its region and has selected a
 transmission project (Project Y) as the preferred solution in its Regional Plan.
 The estimated cost of Project Y is: Cost (Y), provided in a given year's dollars.
 The number of years from the Base Date to the year associated with the cost

estimate of Project (Y) is: N(Y).

- Regions A and B, through the interregional planning process have determined that an Interregional Transmission Project (Project Z) will address the reliability needs in both regions more efficiently and cost-effectively than the separate regional projects. The estimated cost of Project Z is: Cost (Z). Regions A and B have each determined that Interregional Transmission Project Z is the preferred solution to their reliability needs and have adopted that Interregional Transmission Project in their respective regional plans in lieu of Projects X and Y, respectively. If Regions A and B have agreed to bear the costs of upgrades in other affected transmission planning regions, these costs will be considered part of Cost (Z).
- The discount rate used for all displaced regional transmission projects is: D
- Based on the foregoing assumptions, the following formulas will be used:
 - Present Value of Cost (X) = PV Cost (X) = Cost (X) / $(1+D)^{N(X)}$
 - Present Value of Cost $(Y) = PV Cost(Y) = Cost(Y) / (1+D)^{N(Y)}$
 - Cost Allocation to Region A = Cost (Z) x PV Cost (X)/[PV Cost (X) + PV Cost (Y)]
 - Cost Allocation to Region B = Cost (Z) x PV Cost (Y)/[PV Cost (X) + PV Cost (Y)]
- Applying those formulas, if:

Cost
$$(X) = $60$$
 Million and $N(X) = 8.25$ years

Cost
$$(Y) = $40$$
 Million and $N(Y) = 4.50$ years

Cost(Z) = \$80 Million

D = 7.5% per year

Then:

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. - NYISO Tariffs - NYISO OATT Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) - NYISO OATT 35 OATT Attachment CC - Joint Operating Agreement Among And - NYISO OATT 35.10 OATT Att CC Coordination Of Transmission Planning Stud

PV Cost
$$(X) = 60/(1+0.075)^{8.25} = 33.039$$
 Million

PV Cost
$$(Y) = 40/(1+0.075)^{4.50} = 28.888$$
 Million

Cost Allocation to Region A = $\$80 \times 33.039/(33.039 + 28.888) = \42.681 Million

Cost Allocation to Region B = $\$80 \times 28.888/(33.039 + 28.888) = \37.319 Million

35.10.3 Other Cost Allocation Arrangements

- (a) Except as provided in this Section 35.10.3(b), the methodology in Section 35.10.2 is the exclusive means by which any costs of an Interregional Transmission

 Project may be allocated between or among PJM and NYISO.
- (b) Subject to the filing rights described in Section 35.10.4 and any stakeholder processes required prior to the exercise of such filing rights, transmission owners and transmission developers in PJM and the NYISO and the Parties may enter into a separate agreement to allocate the cost of an Interregional Transmission Project, and other transmission projects identified pursuant to Section 6 of the Protocol in a manner other than as set forth in Section 35.10.2, provided that any such agreement is filed with and accepted by FERC in accordance with the filing rights set forth in Section 35.10.4, and such agreement shall apply only to the share of the costs of such Interregional Transmission Project or such other transmission projects allocated to the PJM Region and the NYISO Region.

35.10.4 Filing Rights with Respect to Approved Interregional Transmission Projects

Solely with respect to Interregional Transmission Projects evaluated under the Protocol and selected by PJM and NYISO in their regional transmission plans for purposes of cost allocation under their respective tariffs as set forth in Section 35.10.2, PJM and NYISO agree as follows:

- (a) Nothing in Sections 35.10.2 through 35.10.6 of this Agreement or in the Protocol will convey, expand, limit or otherwise alter any rights of the Parties, transmission owners, transmission developers, other market participants, or other entities in PJM or NYISO to submit filings under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act regarding cost allocation or any other matter.
- (b) As applicable, the Parties have been authorized by entities that have cost allocation rights for their respective regions, but are not parties to this Agreement, to enter into and file the cost allocation provisions set forth in Sections 35.10.2 through 35.10.6 of this Agreement. Such cost allocation provisions shall not be modified without the mutual consent of the holders of Section 205 rights and the Long Island Power Authority and the New York Power Authority with respect to interregional cost allocation in the PJM Region and the NYISO Region.
- (c) With respect to PJM:
- (i) The provisions in Sections 35.10.2 through 35.10.6 have been approved by the PJM Transmission Owners acting through the Consolidated Transmission Owners Agreement ("CTOA") pursuant to Section 9.1 of the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff ("PJM Tariff") and Article 7 of the CTOA, and any amendment to the provisions of Sections 35.10.2 through 35.10.6 or any other provision of this Agreement allocating the costs of Interregional Transmission Projects, shall require approval by the PJM Transmission Owners acting through the CTOA pursuant to Section 9.1 of the PJM Tariff and Article 7 of the CTOA and shall be filed pursuant Section 205 of the Federal Power Act in accordance with the PJM Tariff and Article 7 of the CTOA.

(ii) Nothing in Sections 35.10.2 through 35.10.6 of this Agreement shall limit or alter the rights of the PJM Transmission Owners set forth in the PJM Tariff and CTOA to submit filings under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act.

35.10.5 Merchant Transmission and Individual Transmission Owner Projects

Nothing in this Agreement shall preclude the development of Interregional Transmission Projects that are funded solely by merchant transmission developers or by individual transmission owners.

35.10.6 Consequences to Other Regions from Regional or Interregional Transmission Projects

Except as provided herein in sections 35.10.2 and 35.10.3 of this Agreement, or where cost responsibility is expressly assumed by NYISO or PJM in other documents, agreements or tariffs on file with FERC, neither the NYISO Region nor the PJM Region shall be responsible for compensating another region or each other for required upgrades or for any other consequences in another planning region associated with regional or interregional transmission facilities, including but not limited to, transmission projects identified pursuant to Section 6 of the Protocol and Interregional Transmission Projects identified pursuant to Section 7 of the Protocol.

35.10.7 Coordination of Transmission Planning Studies Regarding Reliability Transmission Projects Located Entirely Within One Region

This section addresses the process through which PJM and NYISO will coordinate the study of reliability transmission projects located entirely within one Region. The Regions agree to share information and data that arise in the performance of each Region's respective planning activities as necessary or appropriate for effective coordination between the Regions, including the timely identification and notification of proposed reliability transmission projects to meet the

Region's reliability needs, according to the process set forth herein. For purposes of this section 35.10.7, the Region proposing a reliability transmission project to meet such Region's regional reliability needs is referred to as the "proposing Region" and the Region adjacent to the "proposing Region" that may potentially be impacted by such proposal is referred to as the "potentially impacted Region."

- 35.10.7.1 The Regions shall share their respective baseline reliability analysis undertaken as part of their regional reliability planning process no later than the time it is initially provided to the proposing Region's stakeholders through the appropriate committee.
- 35.10.7.2 Based on its review of the proposing Region's proposed reliability transmission project, the potentially impacted Region shall identify the potential violations, based upon planning or reliability criteria, including applicable transmission owner criteria then in effect, that, depending on how solved, including through the use of proposed regional transmission projects, could negatively impact reliability on the potentially impacted Region's system.
- 35.10.7.3 The Regions shall discuss identified impacts and coordinate any special studies that need to be undertaken to analyze such impacts.
- (a) Each Region shall be responsible for performing studies of potential impacts on its system. The Regions may agree on the most efficient way to perform the special studies on a case-specific basis, including which Region will conduct which study(ies).
- (b) The Regions will provide to each other all of the technical information on their respective systems that is needed for each to perform the necessary studies.

- (c) The Regions will coordinate the timing and conduct of such studies.
- (d) Each Region will be responsible for all of its respective study costs related to the studies conducted under this coordinated study process.
- 35.10.7.4 Results of studies of impacts on the potentially impacted Region's system will be submitted to the proposing Region no later than at the time the proposed reliability transmission project(s) are presented to the proposing Region's stakeholders for final review and prior to submitting to the Board. The Regions shall discuss with each other potential alternative solutions, including changes to operating protocols, and the mitigation of impacts on the potentially impacted Region's system. The Regions' agreed-to mitigation shall be presented to the proposing Region's stakeholders as part of the overall solution to the identified reliability need.
- 35.10.7.5 Other than agreed-to mitigation or operational alternatives, each Region is responsible for the costs of addressing impacts to its own system.

35.11 Voltage Control and Reactive Power Coordination

35.11.1 Specific Voltage and Reactive Power Coordination Procedures

The Parties will utilize the following procedures to coordinate the use of voltage control equipment to maintain a reliable bulk power Transmission System voltage profile on their respective systems.

- 35.11.1.1 Under normal conditions, each Party shall provide for the supply and control of the reactive regulation requirements in its own area, including reactive reserve, so that applicable emergency voltage levels can be maintained following any of the set of contingencies that are observed under normal conditions.
- 35.11.1.2 Under normal conditions, each Party will anticipate voltage trends and initiate corrective action in advance of critical periods of heavy and light loads.
- 35.11.1.3 Under an abnormal condition, either Party experiencing rapid voltage decay will immediately implement all possible actions, including the shedding of firm load, to correct the problem until such time that the decay has been corrected.

35.12 M2M Coordination Processes and Coordinated Transaction Scheduling

35.12.1 M2M Coordination Processes

The fundamental philosophy of the M2M coordination processes that are set forth in the attached Market-to-Market Coordination Schedule is to allow any transmission constraints that are significantly impacted by generation dispatch changes in both the NYISO and PJM markets or by the operation of the NY-NJ PARs to be jointly managed in the real-time security-constrained economic dispatch models of both Parties. This joint real-time management of transmission constraints near the market borders will provide a more efficient and lower cost transmission congestion management solution and coordinated pricing at the market boundaries.

Under normal system operating conditions, the Parties utilize the M2M coordination processes on defined Flowgates that experience congestion. The goal of redispatch coordination at M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other Coordinated Flowgates is to utilize the more cost effective generation between the two markets to manage the congestion in accordance with Section 7.1 of the attached Market-to-Market Coordination Schedule. The goal of NY-NJ PAR coordination is to operate the NY-NJ PARs to efficiently manage the congestion in accordance with Section 7.2 of the attached Market-to-Market Coordination Schedule. NY-NJ PAR coordination can occur at any Flowgate and need not be formally invoked by either Party. It is ordinarily in effect.

The M2M coordination process include settlement rules that apply when M2M coordination is occurring.

35.12.2 Coordinated Transaction Scheduling

Coordinated Transaction Scheduling or "CTS" are real time market rules implemented by NYISO and PJM that allow transactions to be scheduled based on a bidder's willingness to purchase energy at a source (in the PJM Control Area or the NYISO Control Area) and sell it at a sink (in the other Control Area) if the forecasted price at the sink minus the forecasted price at the corresponding source is greater than or equal to the dollar value specified in the bid.

CTS transactions are ordinarily evaluated on a 15-minute basis consistent with forecasted real-time prices from NYISO's Real-Time Commitment run and the forecasted price information from PJM's Intermediate Term Security Constrained Economic Dispatch solution. Coordinated optimization with CTS improves interregional scheduling efficiency by: (i) better ensuring that scheduling decisions take into account relative price differences between the regions; and (ii) moving the evaluation of bids and offers closer to the time scheduling decisions are implemented.

NYISO and PJM may suspend the scheduling of CTS transactions when NYISO or PJM are not able to adequately implement schedules as expected due to: (1) a failure or outage of the data link between NYISO and PJM prevents the exchange of accurate or timely data necessary to implement the CTS transactions; (2) a failure or outage of any computational or data systems preventing the actual or accurate calculation of data necessary to implement the CTS transactions; or (3) when necessary to ensure or preserve system reliability.

35.13 Joint Checkout Procedures

35.13.1 Scheduling Checkout Protocols

- 35.13.1.1 Both Parties shall require all transaction schedules to be tagged in accord with the NERC tagging standard. For reserve sharing and other emergency schedules that are not tagged, the Parties will enter manual schedules after the fact into their respective scheduling systems.
- When there is a transaction scheduling conflict, the Parties will work to modify the schedule as soon as practical.
- 35.13.1.3 The Parties will perform the following types of checkouts. Checkouts will be consistent with 35.13.1.1 and 35.13.1.2.
- (a) Day-ahead checkout shall be performed daily on the day before the transaction is to flow. Day-ahead checkout includes the verification of import and export totals and individual transaction schedules.
- (b) Real-time checkout shall be performed hourly during the hour before the transaction is to flow. Real-time checkout includes the verification of import and export totals and individual transaction schedules.
- (c) After-the-fact checkout of transactions shall be performed the next business day following the day of the transactions.
- (d) After-the-fact reporting of hourly scheduled energy interchanged and hourly actual energy interchanged shall be updated by each Party each day and exchanged with the other Party. Each day, month to date data shall be exchanged. Parties shall resolve discrepancies within ten (10) business days of the end of each month.

35.14 TTC/ATC/AFC Calculations

35.14.1 TTC/ATC/AFC Protocols

In accordance with Section 35.9, the Parties will exchange scheduled Outages of all interconnections and other Transmission Facilities.

35.14.1.1 Scheduled Outages of Transmission Resources

Each Party will provide the projected status of scheduled Outages of Transmission Facilities for a minimum of eighteen (18) months or more if available.

35.14.1.2 Transmission Interchange Schedules

Each Party will make available its interchange schedules to permit accurate calculation of TTC and ATC/AFC values.

35.14.2 Configuration/Facility Changes

Transmission configuration changes and generation additions (or retirements) shall be communicated via the NERC MMWG process.

35.14. 3 Transmission System Impacts

- 35.14.3.1 The Parties shall coordinate with each other as needed and with other Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Generator Operators as needed to develop and implement action plans to mitigate potential or actual SOL, IROL, CPS, or DCS violations.
- 35.14.3.2 Each Party shall operate to prevent the likelihood that a disturbance, action, or non-action in its area will result in a SOL or IROL violation for the

- other Party. In instances where there is a difference in derived limits, Parties shall respect the most limiting parameter.
- 35.14.3.3 A Party who foresees a transmission problem (such as an SOL or IROL violation, loss of reactive reserves, etc.) that impacts the other Party shall issue an alert to the other Party without unreasonable delay.
- 35.14.3.4 Each Party shall confirm reliability assessment results and determine the effects within its own and the other Party's areas. The Parties shall discuss options to mitigate potential or actual SOL or IROL violations and take actions as necessary to always act in the best interests of the Interconnection at all times.

35.15 Dispute Resolution Procedures

35.15.1 Good Faith Negotiation

The Parties shall attempt in good faith to achieve consensus with respect to all matters arising under this Agreement and to use reasonable efforts through good faith discussion and negotiation to avoid and resolve disputes that could delay or impede a Party from receiving the benefits of this Agreement. These dispute resolution procedures apply to any dispute that arises from either Party's performance of, or failure to perform, in compliance with this Agreement and which the Parties are unable to resolve prior to invocation of these procedures.

35.15.2 Dispute Resolution

In the event of a Dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement that is not resolved by the representatives of the Parties who have been designated under Section 35.3.2.2 of this Agreement within 7 days of the reference to such representatives of such Dispute, each Party shall, within 14 days' written notice by either Party to the other, designate a senior officer with authority and responsibility to resolve the Dispute and refer the Dispute to them. The senior officer designated by each Party shall have authority to make decisions on its behalf with respect to that Party's rights and obligations under this Agreement. The senior officers, once designated, shall promptly begin discussions in a good faith effort to agree upon a resolution of the Dispute. If the senior officers do not agree upon a resolution of the Dispute within 14 days of its referral to them, or within such longer period as the senior officers mutually agree to in writing, or do not within the same 14 day period agree to refer the matter to some individual or organization for alternate Dispute resolution, then the Parties shall request that FERC's Dispute Resolution Service mediate their efforts to resolve the Dispute. Upon a Party's determination, at any point in the mediation process, that mediation has failed to resolve the Dispute, either Party may seek

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. - NYISO Tariffs - NYISO OATT Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) - NYISO OATT 35 OATT Attachment CC - Joint Operating Agreement Among And - NYISO OATT 35.15 OATT Att CC Dispute Resolution Procedures

formal resolution by initiating a proceeding before the FERC. If the FERC is not willing or able to consider or resolve a Dispute, then either Party shall have the right to pursue any and all remedies available to it at law or in equity.

Neither the giving of notice of a Dispute, nor the pendency of any Dispute resolution process as described in this section shall relieve a Party of its obligations under this Agreement, extend any notice period described in this Agreement or extend any period in which a Party must act as described in this Agreement. Notwithstanding the requirements of this section, either Party may terminate this Agreement in accordance with its provisions, or pursuant to an action at equity. The issue of whether such a termination is proper shall not be considered a Dispute hereunder.

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. - NYISO Tariffs - NYISO OATT Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) - NYISO OATT 35 OATT Attachment CC - Joint Operating Agreement Among And - NYISO OATT 35.16 OATT Att CC Interconnection Revenue Metering

35.16 Interconnection Revenue Metering

35.16.1 Obligation to Provide Inadvertent Energy Accounting Metering

The Parties shall require appropriate electric metering devices to be installed as required to measure electric power quantities for determining Interconnection Facilities inadvertent energy accounting.

35.16.2 Standards for Metering Equipment

The parties shall cause any Metering Equipment used to meter Metered Quantities for inadvertent energy accounting to be designed, verified, sealed and maintained in accordance with the Party's respective metering standards or as otherwise agreed upon by the Coordination Committee.

35.16.3 Meter Compensation to the Point of Interconnection

The metering compensation for transmission line losses to the Interconnection Facilities

Delivery Point shall be determined by the Party's respective standards or otherwise agreed to by
the Coordination Committee.

35.16.4 Metering Readings

The Parties shall require that integrated meter readings are provided at least once each hour for Interconnection Facilities accounting purposes and meter registers are read at least monthly, as close as practical to the last hour of the month. An appropriate adjustment shall be made to register readings not taken on the last hour of the month.

35.17 Retained Rights of Parties

35.17.1 Parties Entitled to Act Separately

This Agreement does not create or establish, and shall not be construed to create or establish, any partnership or joint venture between or among any of the Parties. This Agreement establishes terms and conditions solely of a contractual relationship, among independent entities, to facilitate the achievement of the joint objectives described in the Agreement. The contractual relationship established hereunder implies no duties or obligations among the Parties except as specified expressly herein.

35.18 Representations

35.18.1 Good Standing

Each Party represents and warrants that it is duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the state or province in which it is organized, formed, or incorporated, as applicable.

35.18.2 Authority to enter Into Agreement

Each Party represents and warrants that it has the right, power, and authority to enter into this Agreement, to become a Party hereto and to perform its obligations hereunder. This Agreement is a legal, valid and binding obligation of such Party, enforceable against such Party in accordance with its terms.

35.18.3 Organizational Formation Documents

Each Party represents and warrants that the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement does not violate or conflict with its organizational or formation documents.

35.18.4 Regulatory Authorizations

Each Party represents and warrants that it has, or applied for, all regulatory authorizations necessary for it to perform its obligations under this Agreement.

35.19 Effective Date, Implementation, Term and Termination

35.19.1 Effective Date; Implementation

This Agreement shall become effective as of the date that all of the following have occurred: (i) upon the execution hereof by both Parties, and (ii) acceptance or approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Commencing with the Effective Date, the Parties shall commence and continue efforts to implement other provisions of this Agreement on dates determined by the Coordination Committee, which dates shall be the earliest dates reasonably feasible for both Parties.

35.19.2 Term

This Agreement shall continue in full force and effect unless terminated in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement.

35.19.3 Right of a Party to Terminate

- 35.19.3.1 NYISO may terminate this Agreement at any time upon not less than twelve (12) months' Notice to PJM.
- 35.19.3.2 PJM may terminate this Agreement at any time upon not less than twelve (12) months' Notice to NYISO.
- 35.19.3.3 This Agreement may be terminated at anytime by mutual agreement in writing.

35.19.4 Survival

The applicable provisions of this Agreement shall continue in effect after any termination of this Agreement to provide for adjustments and payments under Section 35.15, dispute resolution, determination and enforcement of liability, and indemnification, arising from acts or

events that occurred during the period this Agreement was in effect. In addition, Sections 35.8.4 and 35.8.10 of this Agreement provides that the obligation to safeguard Confidential Information continues in effect for a period of seven years after any termination of this Agreement.

35.19.5 Post-Termination Cooperation

Following any termination of this Agreement, all Parties shall thereafter cooperate fully and work diligently in good faith to achieve an orderly resolution of all matters resulting from such termination.

35.20 Additional Provisions

35.20.1 Force Majeure

A Party shall not be considered to be in default or breach of this Agreement, and shall be excused from performance or liability for damages to any other party, if and to the extent it shall be delayed in or prevented from performing or carrying out any of the provisions of this Agreement, arising out of or from any act, omission, or circumstance by or in consequence of any act of God, labor disturbance, sabotage, failure of suppliers of materials, act of the public enemy, war, invasion, insurrection, riot, fire, storm, flood, ice, earthquake, explosion, epidemic, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment or any other cause or causes beyond such Party's reasonable control, including any curtailment, order, regulation, or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or by making of repairs necessitated by an emergency circumstance not limited to those listed above upon the property or equipment of the Party or property or equipment of others which is deemed under the Operational Control of the Party. A Force Majeure event does not include an act of negligence or Intentional Wrongdoing by a Party. Any Party claiming a Force Majeure event shall use reasonable diligence to remove the condition that prevents performance and shall not be entitled to suspend performance of its obligations in any greater scope or for any longer duration than is required by the Force Majeure event. Each Party shall use its best efforts to mitigate the effects of such Force Majeure event, remedy its inability to perform, and resume full performance of its obligations hereunder.

35.20.2 Force Majeure Notification

A Party suffering a Force Majeure event ("Affected Party") shall notify the other Party ("Non-Affected Party") in writing ("Notice of Force Majeure Event") as soon as reasonably

practicable specifying the cause of the event, the scope of commitments under the Agreement affected by the event, and a good faith estimate of the time required to restore full performance. Except for those commitments identified in the Notice of Force Majeure Event, the Affected Party shall not be relieved of its responsibility to fully perform as to all other commitments in the Agreement. If the Force Majeure Event continues for a period of more than 90 days from the date of the Notice of Force Majeure Event, the Non-Affected Party shall be entitled, at its sole discretion, to terminate the Agreement.

35.20.3 Indemnification

"Indemnifying Party" means a Party who holds an indemnification obligation hereunder.

An "Indemnitee" means a Party entitled to receive indemnification under this Agreement as to any Third Party claim. Each Party will defend, indemnify, and hold the other Party harmless from all actual losses, damages, liabilities, claims, expenses, causes of action, and judgments (collectively, "Losses"), brought or obtained by any Third Party against such other Party, only to the extent that such Losses arise directly from:

- (a) Gross negligence, recklessness, or willful misconduct of the Indemnifying Party or any of its agents or employees, in the performance of this Agreement, except to the extent the Losses arise (i) from gross negligence, recklessness, willful misconduct or breach of contract or law by the Indemnitee or such Indemnitee's agents or employees, or (ii) as a consequence of strict liability imposed as a matter of law upon the Indemnitee, or such Indemnitee's agents or employees;
 - (b) Any claim arising from the transfer of Intellectual Property in violation of Section 35.20.8; or

- (c) Any claim that such Indemnitee caused bodily injury to an employee of Third

 Party due to gross negligence, recklessness, or willful conduct of the

 Indemnifying Party.
- (d) The Indemnitee shall give Notice to the Indemnifying Party as soon as reasonably practicable after the Indemnitee becomes aware of the Indemnifiable Loss or any claim, action or proceeding that may give rise to an indemnification. Such notice shall describe the nature of the loss or proceeding in reasonable detail and shall indicate, if practicable, the estimated amount of the loss that has been sustained by the Indemnitee. A delay or failure of the Indemnitee to provide the required notice shall release the Indemnifying Party (a) from any indemnification obligation to the extent that such delay or failure materially and adversely affects the Indemnifying Party's ability to defend such claim or materially and adversely increases the amount of the Indemnifiable Loss, and (b) from any responsibility for any costs or expenses of the Indemnitee in the defense of the claim during such period of delay or failure.
- (e) The indemnification by either Party shall be limited to the extent that the liability of a Party seeking indemnification would be limited by any applicable law and arises from a claim by a Party acting within the scope of this Agreement as to obligations of the other Party under this Agreement.

35.20.4 Headings

The headings used for the Articles and Sections of this Agreement are for convenience and reference purposes only, and shall not be construed to modify, expand, limit, or restrict the provisions of this Agreement.

35.20.5 Liability to Non-Parties

Nothing in this Agreement, whether express or implied, is intended to confer any rights or remedies under or by reason of this Agreement on any person or entity that is not a Party or a permitted successor or assign.

35.20.6 Liability Between Parties

The Parties' duties and standard of care with respect to each other, and the benefits and rights conferred on each other shall be no greater than as expressly stated herein. Neither Party, its directors, officers, trustees, employees or agents, shall be liable to the other Party for any loss, damage, claim, cost, charge or expense, whether direct, indirect, incidental, punitive, special, exemplary or consequential, arising from the other Party's performance or nonperformance under this Agreement, except to the extent that a Party, is found liable for gross negligence or willful misconduct, in which case the Party responsible shall be liable only for direct and ordinary damages and not for any lost goodwill, incidental, consequential, punitive, special, exemplary or indirect damage.

This section shall not limit amounts required to be paid under this Agreement, including any of the appendices, schedules or attachments to this Agreement. This section shall not apply to adjustments or corrections for errors in invoiced amounts due under this Agreement, including any of the appendices, schedules or attachments to this Agreement.

35.20.7 Limitation on Claims

No claim seeking an adjustment in the billing for any service, transaction, or charge under this Agreement, including any of the appendices, schedules or attachments to this Agreement, may be asserted with respect to a week or month, if more than one year has elapsed (a) since the first date upon which an invoice was rendered for that week or month, or (b) since

the date upon which a changed or modified invoice was rendered for that week or month. The Party responsible for issuing an invoice may not, of its own initiative, issue a changed or modified invoice if more than one year has elapsed since the first date upon which an invoice was rendered for a week or month. A changed or modified invoice may be issued more than one year after the first date upon which an invoice was rendered for a week or month in order to correct for or address a timely-raised claim seeking an adjustment in the billing for any service, transaction, or charge under this Agreement.

35.20.8 Unauthorized Transfer of Third-Party Intellectual Property

In the performance of this Agreement, no party shall transfer to another party any Intellectual Property, the use of which by another Party would constitute an infringement of the rights of any Third Party. In the event such transfer occurs, whether or not inadvertent, the transferring Party shall, promptly upon learning of the transfer, provide Notice to the receiving Party and upon receipt of such Notice the receiving Party shall take reasonable steps to avoid claims and mitigate losses.

35.20.9 Intellectual Property Developed Under This Agreement

If during the term of this Agreement, the Parties mutually develop any new Intellectual Property that is reduced to writing or any tangible form, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith concerning the ownership and licensing of such Intellectual Property.

35.20.10 Governing Law

This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware without giving effect to the State of Delaware's conflict of law principles.

35.20.11 License and Authorization

The agreements and obligations expressed herein are subject to such initial and continuing governmental permission and authorization as may be required. Each Party shall be responsible for securing and paying for any approvals required by it from any regulatory agency of competent jurisdiction relating to its participation in this Agreement and will reasonably cooperate with the other Party in seeking such approvals.

35.20.12 Assignment

This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of, and be binding upon and may be performed by, the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto respectively, but shall not be assignable by either Party without the written consent of the other.

35.20.13 Amendment

35.20.13.1 Authorized Representatives

No amendment of this Agreement shall be effective unless by written instrument duly executed by the Parties' authorized representatives. For the purposes of this section, an authorized person refers to individuals designated as such by Parties in their respective corporate by-laws.

35.20.13.2 Review of Agreement

The terms of this Agreement are subject to review for potential amendment at the request of either Party. If, after such review, the Parties agree that any of the provisions hereof, or the practices or conduct of either Party impose an inequity, hardship or undue burden upon the other Party, or if the Parties agree that any of the provisions of this Agreement have become obsolete or inconsistent with changes related to the Interconnection Facilities, the Parties shall endeavor

in good faith to amend or supplement this Agreement in such a manner as will remove such inequity, hardship or undue burden, or otherwise appropriately address the cause for such change.

35.20.13.3 Mutual Agreement

The Parties may amend this Agreement at any time by mutual agreement in accordance with Section 35.20.13.1 above.

35.20.14 Performance

The failure of a Party to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this Agreement will not be considered a waiver of any right held by such Party. Any waiver on any specific occasion by either Party shall not be deemed a continuing waiver of such right, nor shall it be deemed a waiver of any other right under this Agreement.

35.20.15 Rights, Remedies or Benefits

This Agreement is not intended to and does not create any rights, remedies, or benefits of any kind whatsoever in favor of any entities other than the Parties, their principals and, where permitted, their assigns.

35.20.16 Agreement

This Agreement, including all Attachments attached hereto, is the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement.

35.20.17 Governmental Authorizations

This Agreement, including its future amendments is subject to the initial and continuing governmental authorizations, including approval of the FERC, required to establish, operate and maintain the Interconnection Facilities as herein specified. Each Party shall take all actions necessary and reasonably within its control to maintain all governmental rights and approvals required to perform its respective obligations under this Agreement.

35.20.18 Unenforceable Provisions

If any provision of this Agreement is deemed unenforceable, the rest of the Agreement shall remain in effect and the Parties shall negotiate in good faith and seek to agree upon a substitute provision that will achieve the original intent of the Parties.

35.20.19 Execution

This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be considered an original instrument, but all of which shall be considered one and the same Agreement, and shall become binding when all counterparts have been signed by each of the Parties and delivered to each Party hereto. Delivery of an executed signature page counterpart by telecopier or e-mail shall be as effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart.

35.20.20 Billing and Payment

35.20.20.1 General Billing and Payment Rules

This Section 35.20.20.1 of the Agreement sets forth the billing and payment rules that apply to all charges arising under this Agreement except for charges resulting from the M2M coordination process set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement.

- **35.20.20.1.1 Invoicing.** When charges arise under this Agreement, the billing RTO shall submit an invoice to the other RTO within five (5) business days after the first day of the month indicating the net amount owed by that RTO for the previous month.
- **35.20.20.1.2 Payments.** Payments under this Agreement will be effected in immediately available funds of the United States of America.

The RTO owing payments on net in the invoice shall make those payments within five (5) business days after the receipt of the invoice.

In the event of a billing and payment dispute between the Parties, the dispute resolution procedures and limitation of the claims section contained in this Agreement shall apply to the review, challenge, and correction of invoices.

- amounts placed in escrow) shall be calculated in accordance with the method specified for interest on refunds in the Commission's regulations at 18 C.F.R. § 35.19a (a)(2)(iii). Interest on unpaid amounts shall be calculated from the due date of the bill to the date of payment. Invoices shall be considered as having been paid on the date of receipt of payment.
- 35.20.20.1.4 RTO Bills and Payments to their Respective Customers. Bills or payments that either RTO is authorized to issue directly to its customer shall be invoiced, paid and/or processed in accordance with the relevant RTO's billing and payment tariff rules.

35.20.20.2 Billing and Payment for the M2M Coordination Process set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement

For the limited purposes of these billing and payment rules that apply to the M2M coordination process, PJM shall be considered a "Customer" as that term is used in Section 7 of the NYISO Services Tariff where the NYISO Services Tariff applies and NYISO shall be considered a "Transmission Customer" as that term is used in Section 7 of the PJM OATT where the PJM OATT applies.

35.20.20.2.1 Invoicing and Settlement Information. NYISO shall provide invoice and settlement information to PJM consistent with Section 7.2.1 (*Invoices and Settlement Information*), 7.2.3.1 (*Weekly Invoice*), and 7.2.3.2 (*Monthly Invoice*) of the NYISO Services Tariff or any successor NYISO Services Tariff provision(s).

NYISO may use estimates for invoicing consistent with Section 7.2.4 (*Use of Estimated Data and Meter Data*) of the NYISO Services Tariff or any successor NYISO Services Tariff provision(s).

35.20.20.2.2 Payments. Unless otherwise indicated in writing by the Parties, all payments due under this Agreement will be effected in immediately available funds of the United States of America.

Payments shall be due and payable in accordance with the terms and conditions set herein and notwithstanding any invoicing disputes. In the event of a billing and payment dispute between the Parties under this Agreement, the dispute resolution procedures and limitation of the claims section contained in this Agreement shall apply to the review, challenge, and correction of invoices.

PJM shall make payments to the NYISO's Clearing Account consistent with Sections 7.2.3.3 (*Payment by the Customer*) and 7.2.5 (*Method of Payment*) of the NYISO Services Tariff or any successor NYISO Services Tariff provision(s). NYISO shall make payments, from the NYISO's Clearing Account, to PJM consistent with Section 7.1A(a) (*Payments: Monthly Bills*), 7.1A(b) (*Payments: Weekly Bills*), 7.1A(c) (*Payments: Form of Payments*), and 7.1A(e) (*Payments: Payment Calendar*) of the PJM OATT or any successor PJM OATT provision(s).

- 35.20.20.2.3 Interest on Unpaid Balances. Interest on any unpaid amount whether owed to PJM or to NYISO (including amounts placed in escrow) shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology specified for interest on refunds in the Commission's regulations at 18 C.F.R. § 35.19a (a)(2)(iii). Interest on unpaid amounts shall be calculated from the due date of the bill to the date of payment. Invoices shall be considered as having been paid on the date of receipt of payment.
- 35.20.20.2.4 Payment Obligation. The RTOs each assume responsibility for ensuring that their respective payment obligations resulting from the M2M coordination process set forth in Schedule D to this Agreement are satisfied without regard for their ability to collect such payments from their respective customers.

35.20.21 Regulatory Authority

If any regulatory authority having jurisdiction (or any successor boards or agencies), a court of competent jurisdiction or other Governmental Authority with the appropriate jurisdiction (collectively, the "Regulatory Body") issues a rule, regulation, law or order that has the effect of cancelling, changing or superseding any term or provision of this Agreement (the "Regulatory

Requirement"), then this Agreement will be deemed modified to the extent necessary to comply with the Regulatory Requirement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a Regulatory Body materially modifies the terms and conditions of this Agreement and such modification(s) materially affect the benefits flowing to one or both of the Parties, as determined by either of the Parties within twenty (20) business days of the receipt of the Agreement as materially modified, the Parties agree to attempt in good faith to negotiate an amendment or amendments to this Agreement or take other appropriate action(s) so as to put each Party in effectively the same position in which the Parties would have been had such modification not been made. In the event that, within sixty (60) days or some other time period mutually agreed upon by the Parties after such modification has been made, the Parties are unable to reach agreement as to what, if any, amendments are necessary and fail to take other appropriate action to put each Party in effectively the same position in which the Parties would have been had such modification not been made, then either Party shall have the right to unilaterally terminate this Agreement forthwith.

35.20.22 Notices

Except as otherwise agreed from time to time, any Notice, invoice or other communication which is required by this Agreement to be given in writing, shall be sufficiently given at the earlier of the time of receipt or deemed time of receipt if delivered personally to a senior official of the Party for whom it is intended or electronically transferred or sent by registered mail, addressed as follows:

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. - NYISO Tariffs - NYISO OATT Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) - NYISO OATT 35 OATT Attachment CC - Joint Operating Agreement Among And - NYISO OATT 35.20 OATT Att CC Additional Provisions

PJM:

PJM Interconnection L.L.C.

2750 Monroe BoulevardAudubon, PA 19403

Attn: President & CEO

NYISO: New York Independent System Operator

10 Krey Boulevard

Rensselaer, New York 12144

Attn: President & CEO

or delivered to such other person or electronically transferred or sent by registered mail to such other address as either Party may designate for itself by Notice given in accordance with this section or delivered by any other means agreed to by the Parties hereto.

Any Notice, or communication so mailed shall be deemed to have been received on the third business day following the day of mailing, or if electronically transferred shall be deemed to have been received on the same business day as the date of the electronic transfer, or if delivered personally shall be deemed to have been received on the date of delivery or if delivered by some other means shall be deemed to have been received as agreed to by the Parties hereto.

The use of a signed facsimile of future Notices and correspondence between the Parties related to this Agreement shall be accepted as proof of the matters therein set out. Follow-up with hard copy by mail will not be required unless agreed to by the Coordination Committee.

A Party may change its designated recipient of Notices, or its address, from time to time by giving Notice of such change.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the signatories hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized officers.

PJM INTERCONNECTION, L.L.C.				
By: Michael E. Bryson, Vice President – Operations				
Date:				
NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.				
By: Wesley J. Yeomans, Vice President – Operations				

Date:

New York Independent System Operator, Inc. - NYISO Tariffs - NYISO OATT Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) - NYISO OATT 35 OATT Attachment CC - Joint Operating Agreement Among And - NYISO OATT 35.20 OATT Att CC Additional Provisions

35.21 Schedules A and B

Schedule A - Description Of Interconnection Facilities

The NYISO – PJM Joint Operating Agreement covers the PJM – NYISO *Interconnection Facilities* under the *Operational Control* of the NYISO and PJM.

NYISO and PJM shall jointly develop and maintain a 'PJM – NYISO Interconnection Facilities List' (including a description of the facilities and metering points) and post the most current mutually agreed upon list on their respective public websites. The Parties may jointly revise the list by mutual written agreement. After the Parties mutually agree to changes, NYISO and PJM shall post an updated list on their respective websites. Neither Party shall knowingly post a list that includes changes that are not the product of mutual agreement.

The PJM-NYISO *Interconnection* contains twenty-five (25) alternating current ("AC") *Interconnection Facilities*, seven (7) of which form one (1) AC pseudo-tie³; and further contains two (2) HVDC *Interconnection Facilities* as well as one (1) *Variable Frequency Transformer (VFT)*.

For *Operational Control* purposes, the point of demarcation for each of the *Interconnection Facilities* is the point at which the *Interconnection Facility* crosses the PJM-New York State boundary, except as otherwise noted in the PJM – NYISO Interconnection Facilities List posting.

The following table identifies NY/PJM Interfaces at which NYISO and PJM are Authorized to Consider CTS Interface Bids:

PJM Interface Name	PNODE ID	Corresponding NYISO Proxy Generator Buses ⁴	PTID
NYIS	5413134	PJM_GEN_KEYSTONE	24065
NYIS	5413134	PJM_LOAD_KEYSTONE	55857
LindenVFT	81436855	PJM_GEN_VFT_PROXY	323633
LindenVFT	81436855	PJM_LOAD_VFT_PROXY	355723
Neptune	56958967	PJM_GEN_NEPTUNE_PROXY	323594
Neptune	56958967	PJM_LOAD_NEPTUNE_PROXY	355615

³ WEQ-007 "Inadvertent Interchange Payback Standards," North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB), online at www.naesb.org.

⁴ See NYISO Market Administration and Control Area Services Tariff Section 4.4.4 for additional information.

HudsonTP	1124361945	PJM_HTP_GEN	323702
HudsonTP	1124361945	HUDSONTP_345KV_HTP_LOAD	355839

Schedule B - Other Existing Agreements:

- 1.0 Lake Erie Emergency Redispatch (LEER)
- 2.0 RAMAPO PHASE ANGLE REGULATOR OPERATING PROCEDURE prepared by the NYPP/PJM Circulation Study Operating Committee.
- 3.0 Northeastern ISO/RTO Coordination of Planning Protocol
- 4.0 Inter Control Area Transaction Agreement.
- 5.0 Procedures to Protect for Loss of Phase II Imports (effective January 16, 2007, pursuant to Order issued January 12, 2007, in FERC Docket No. ER07-231-000).
- 6.0 Joint Emergency Operating Protocol dated September 10, 2009, among PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., New York Independent System Operator, Inc., and Linden VFT, LLC (Filed by PJM on October 1, 2009, in FERC Docket No. ER09-996-000).

35.22 Reserved for future use.

35.23 Schedule D – Market-to-Market Coordination Process – Version 1.0

NYISO & PJM Market-to-Market Coordination Schedule Table of Contents

1	Overv	iew of the Market-to-Market Coordination Processes
2	Flowg	rates
3	Flowg	ate Studies
4	Remo	val of Flowgates from M2M Coordination Processes
5	Marke	et Flow Determination
	5.1	Determine Shift Factors for M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other Coordinated Flowgates
	5.2	Compute RTO Load Served by RTO Generation
	5.3	Compute RTO Generation Serving RTO Load
	5.4	Compute the RTO GTL for all Flowgates
	5.5	Compute the RTO Interchange Scheduling Impacts for all Flowgates
	5.6	Compute the PAR Effects for all Flowgates
	5.7	Compute the RTO Aggregate Market Flow for all Flowgates
6	M2M	Entitlement Determination Method
	6.1	M2M Entitlement Topology Model and Impact Calculation
	6.2	M2M Entitlement Calculation
7	Real-T	Time Energy Market Coordination
	7.1	Real-Time Redispatch Coordination Procedures
	7.2	Real-Time NY-NJ PAR Coordination
8	Real-T	Time Energy Market Settlements

Information Used to Calculate M2M Settlements

8.1

- 8.2 Real-Time Redispatch Settlement
- 8.3 NY-NJ PAR Settlements
- 8.4 Calculating a Combined M2M Settlement
- 9 When One of the RTOs Does Not Have Sufficient Redispatch
- 10 Appropriate Use of the M2M Coordination Process
 - 10.1 Qualifying Conditions for M2M Settlement
 - 10.2 After-the-Fact Review to Determine M2M Settlement
 - 10.3 Access to Data to Verify Market Flow Calculations
- 11 M2M Change Management Process
 - 11.1 Notice
 - 11.2 Opportunity to Request Additional Information
 - 11.3 Objection to Change
 - 11.4 Implementation of Change

1 Overview of the Market-to-Market Coordination Processes

The purpose of the M2M coordination processes are to set forth the rules that apply to M2M coordination between PJM and NYISO and the associated settlements processes.

The fundamental philosophy of the PJM/NYISO M2M coordination processes are to set up procedures to allow any transmission constraints that are significantly impacted by generation dispatch changes and/or Phase Angle Regulator ("PAR") control actions in both markets to be jointly managed in the security-constrained economic dispatch models of both RTOs. This joint management of transmission constraints near the market borders will provide the more efficient and lower cost transmission congestion management solution, while providing coordinated pricing at the market boundaries.

The M2M coordination processes focuses on real-time market coordination to manage transmission limitations that occur on the Flowgates in a more cost effective manner. Coordination between NYISO and PJM will include not only joint redispatch, but will also incorporate coordinated operation of the NY-NJ PARs that are located at the NYISO – PJM interface. This real-time coordination will result in a more efficient economic dispatch solution across both markets to manage the real-time transmission constraints that impact both markets, focusing on the actual flows in real-time to manage constraints. Under this approach, the flow entitlements on the M2M Redispatch Flowgates do not impact the physical dispatch; the flow entitlements are used in market settlements to ensure appropriate compensation based on comparison of the actual Market Flows to the flow entitlements.

Flowgates

Only a subset of all transmission constraints that exist in either market will require coordinated congestion management. This subset of transmission constraints will be identified as Flowgates. For the purposes of the M2M coordination process (in addition to the studies described in Section 3 of this Schedule D) the following will be used in determining Flowgates.

- 2.1 NYISO and PJM will only be performing redispatch or NY-NJ PAR coordination on Flowgates that are under the operational control of NYISO or PJM. NYISO and PJM will not be performing redispatch or NY-NJ PAR coordination on Flowgates that are owned and controlled by third party entities.
- 2.2 The Parties will make reasonable efforts to lower their generator binding threshold to match the lower generator binding threshold utilized by the other Party. The generator and NY-NJ PAR binding thresholds (the shift factor thresholds used to identify the resource(s) available to relieve a transmission constraint), will not be set below 3%, except by mutual consent. This requirement is not an additional criterion for determination of Flowgates.

- 2.3 For the purpose of determining whether a monitored element Flowgate is eligible for redispatch or NY-NJ PAR coordination, a threshold for determining a significant GLDF or NY-NJ PARs PSF will take into account the number of monitored elements. Implementation of Flowgates will ordinarily occur through mutual agreement.
- 2.4 M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other Coordinated Flowgates that are eligible for redispatch coordination are also eligible for coordinated operation of the NY-NJ PARs. Flowgates that are eligible for coordinated operation of the NY-NJ PARs are not necessarily also eligible for redispatch coordination.
- 2.5 The NYISO shall post a list of all of the Flowgates located in the New York Control Area ("NYCA") on its web site. PJM shall post a list of all of the Flowgates located in its Control Area on its web site.

3 Flowgate Studies

To identify Flowgates the Parties will perform an off-line study to determine if there is a significant GLDF for at least one generator within the Non-Monitoring RTO, or significant PSF for at least one NY-NJ PAR, on a potential Flowgate within the Monitoring RTO that is greater than or equal to the thresholds as described below. The study shall be based on an up-to-date power flow model representation of the Eastern Interconnection, with all normally closed Transmission Facilities in-service. The transmission modeling assumptions used in the Flowgate studies will be based on the same assumptions used for determining M2M Entitlements in Section 6 of this Schedule D.

- 3.1 Either Party may propose that a new Flowgate be added at any time. The Parties will work together to perform the necessary studies within a reasonable timeframe.
- 3.2 The GLDF thresholds for a Other Coordinated Flowgate with one or more monitored elements are defined as:
 - i. Single monitored element, 5% GLDF on any resource;
 - ii. Two monitored elements, 7.5% GLDF on any resource; and
 - iii. Three or more monitored elements, 10% GLDF on any resource.

For potential Other Coordinated Flowgates that pass the above GLDF criteria, the Parties must still mutually agree to add each Flowgate for NY-NJ PAR and redispatch coordination.

3.3 The GLDF thresholds for a M2M Redispatch Flowgate with one or more monitored elements are defined as:

- i. Single monitored element, 5% GLDF on any Qualified Resource;
- ii. Two monitored elements, 7.5% GLDF on any Qualified Resource; and
- iii. Three or more monitored elements, 10% GLDF on any Qualified Resource.

For potential M2M Redispatch Flowgates that pass the above GLDF criteria, the Parties must still mutually agree to add each Flowgate for NY-NJ PAR and redispatch coordination.

- 3.4 The NY-NJ PARs PSF thresholds for NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgates with one or more monitored elements are defined as:
 - 1. Single monitored element, 5% NY-NJ PARs PSF;
 - 2. Two monitored elements, 7.5% NY-NJ PARs PSF; and
 - 3. Three or more monitored elements, 10% NY-NJ PARs PSF.

For potential Flowgates that pass the above NY-NJ PARs PSF criteria, the Parties must still mutually agree to add each Flowgate for coordinated operation of the NY-NJ PARs.

3.5 The Parties can also mutually agree to add a Flowgate that does not satisfy the above GLDF or PSF criteria.

4 Removal of Flowgates from M2M Coordination Processes

Removal of Flowgates from the systems may be necessary under certain conditions including the following:

- 4.1 A Flowgate is no longer valid when (a) a change is implemented that affects either Party's generation impacts causing the Flowgate to no longer pass the Flowgate Studies, or (b) a change is implemented that affects the impacts from coordinated operation of the NY-NJ PARs causing the Flowgate to no longer pass the Flowgate Studies. The Parties must still mutually agree to remove a Flowgate, such agreement not to be unreasonably withheld. Once a Flowgate has been removed, it will no longer be eligible for M2M settlement.
- 4.2 A M2M Redispatch Flowgate that does not satisfy the criteria set forth in Section 3.3 above, but that is created based on the mutual agreement of the Parties pursuant to Section 3.5 above, shall be removed two weeks after either Party provides a Notice to the other Party that it withdraws its agreement to the M2M Redispatch Flowgate, or at a later or earlier date that the Parties mutually agree upon. The Notice must include an explanation of the reason(s) why the agreement to the M2M Redispatch Flowgate was withdrawn.

- 4.3 A Other Coordinated Flowgate shall be removed two weeks after either Party provides a Notice to the other party that it withdraws its agreement to the Other Coordinated Flowgate, or at a later or earlier date that the Parties mutually agree upon. The Notice must include an explanation of the reason(s) why the agreement to the Other Coordinated Flowgate was withdrawn.
- 4.4 The Parties can mutually agree to remove a Flowgate whether or not it passes the coordination tests. A Flowgate should be removed when the Parties agree that the relevant coordination processes are not, or will not be, an effective mechanism to manage congestion on that Flowgate.

5 Market Flow Determination

Each RTO will independently calculate its Market Flow for all M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other Coordinated Flowgates using the equations set forth in this Section. The Market Flow calculation is broken down into the following steps:

- Determine Shift Factors for M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other Coordinated Flowgates
- Compute RTO Load and Losses (less imports)
- Compute RTO Generation (less exports)
- Compute RTO Generation to Load impacts on the Market Flow
- Compute RTO interchange scheduling impacts on the Market Flow
- Compute PAR impacts on the Market Flow
- Compute Market Flow

5.1 <u>Determine Shift Factors for M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other</u> <u>Coordinated Flowgates</u>

The first step to determining the Market Flow on a Flowgate is to calculate generator, load and PAR shift factors for the each of the Flowgates. For real-time coordination, the shift factors will be based on the real-time transmission system topology.

5.2 Compute RTO Load Served by RTO Generation

Using area load and losses for each load zone, compute the RTO Load, in MWs, by summing the load and losses for each load zone to determine the total zonal load for each RTO load zone. Twenty percent of RECo load shall be included in the Market Flow calculation as PJM load. *See* Section 6.2, of this Schedule D.

 $Zonal_Total_Load_{zone} = Load_{zone} + Losses_{zone}$, for each RTO load zone

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

Zonal Total Load_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses for the

zone;

Load_{zone} = the load within the zone; and

Losses_{zone} = the transmission losses for transfers through the zone.

Next, reduce the Zonal Loads by the scheduled line real-time import transaction schedules that sink in that particular load zone:

$$Zonal_Reduced_Load_{zone} = Zonal_Total_Load_{zone} - \sum_{scheduled_lines = 1}^{all} Import_Schedules_{scheduled_line,zone}$$

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

scheduled line = each of the Transmission Facilities identified in Table 1

below;

Zonal Reduced Load_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses in a

zone reduced by the sum of import schedules over

scheduled lines to the zone;

Zonal Total Load_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses for the

zone; and

Import_Schedules_{scheduled line,zone} = import schedules over a scheduled line to a zone.

The real-time import schedules over scheduled lines will only reduce the load in the sink load zones identified in Table 1 below:

Table 1. List of Scheduled Lines

Scheduled Line	NYISO Load Zone	PJM Load Zone	
Dennison Scheduled	North	Not Applicable	
Line			

Cross-Sound Scheduled	Long Island	Not Applicable
Line		
HTP Scheduled Line	New York City	Mid-Atlantic Control
		Zone
Linden VFT Scheduled	New York City	Mid-Atlantic Control
Line		Zone
Neptune Scheduled Line	Long Island	Mid-Atlantic Control
		Zone
Northport – Norwalk	Long Island	Not Applicable
Scheduled Line		

Once import schedules over scheduled lines have been accounted for, it is then appropriate to reduce the net RTO Load by the remaining real-time import schedules at the proxies identified in Table 2 below:

Table 2. List of Proxies*

Proxy	Balancing Authorities Responsible
PJM shall post and maintain a list of its	PJM
proxies on its OASIS website. PJM shall	
provide to NYISO notice of any new or	
deleted proxies prior to implementing such	
changes in its M2M software.	
NYISO proxies are the Proxy Generator	NYISO
Buses that are not identified as Scheduled	
Lines in the table that is set forth in Section	
4.4.4 of the NYISO's Market Services	
Tariff. The NYISO shall provide to PJM	
notice of any new of deleted proxies prior	
to implementing such changes in its M2M	
software.	

^{*}Scheduled lines and proxies are mutually exclusive. Transmission Facilities that are components of a scheduled line are not also components of a proxy (and vice-versa).

$$RTO_Net_Load = \sum_{zone=1}^{all} Zonal_Reduced_Load_{zone}$$

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

RTO_Net_Load = the sum of load and transmission losses for the entire RTO footprint reduced by the sum of import schedules over all scheduled lines; and

Zonal Reduced Load_{zone} =

the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses in a zone reduced by the sum of import schedules over scheduled lines to the zone.

$$RTO_Final_Load = RTO_Net_Load - \sum_{proxy=1}^{all} Import_Schedules_{proxy}$$

Where:

proxy = representations of defined sets of Transmission Facilities

that (i) interconnect neighboring Balancing Authorities, (ii) are collectively scheduled, and (iii) are identified in

Table 2 above;

RTO Final Load = the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses for the

entire RTO footprint, sequentially reduced by (i) the sum of import schedules over all scheduled lines, and (ii) the sum

of all proxy import schedules;

RTO Net Load = the sum of load and transmission losses for the entire RTO

footprint reduced by the sum of import schedules over all

scheduled lines; and

Import_Schedules_{proxy} = the sum of import schedules at a given proxy.

Next, calculate the Zonal Load weighting factor for each RTO load zone:

$$Zonal_Weighting_{zone} = \left(\frac{Zonal_Reduced_Load_{zone}}{RTO_Net_Load}\right)$$

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

Zonal Weighting_{zone} = the percentage of the RTO's load contained within the

zone;

RTO Net Load = the sum of load and transmission losses for the entire RTO

footprint reduced by the sum of import schedules over all

scheduled lines; and

Zonal_Reduced_Load_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses in a

zone reduced by the sum of import schedules over

scheduled lines to the zone.

Using the Zonal Weighting Factor compute the zonal load reduced by RTO imports for each load zone:

 $Zonal_Final_Load_{zone} = Zonal_Weighting_{zone} \times RTO_Final_Load$

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

Zonal Final Load_{zone} = the final RTO load served by internal RTO generation in

the zone;

Zonal Weighting_{zone} = the percentage of the RTO's load contained within the

zone; and

RTO Final Load = the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses for the

entire RTO footprint, sequentially reduced by (i) the sum of import schedules over all scheduled lines, and (ii) the sum

of all proxy import schedules.

Using the Load Shift Factors ("LSFs") calculated above, compute the weighted RTOLSF for each Flowgate as:

$$RTO_LSF_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{zone=1}^{all} \left(LSF_{(zone,Flowgate-m)} \times \left(\frac{Zonal_Final_Load_{zone}}{RTO_Final_Load} \right) \right)$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

RTO $LSF_{Flowgate-m}$ = the load shift factor for the entire RTO footprint on

Flowgate m;

 $LSF_{(zone,Flowgate-m)} =$ the load shift factor for the RTO zone on Flowgate m;

Zonal Final Load_{zone} = the final RTO load served by internal RTO generation in

the zone; and

RTO Final Load = the sum of the RTO's load and transmission losses for the

entire RTO footprint, sequentially reduced by (i) the sum of

import schedules over all scheduled lines, and (ii) the sum of all proxy import schedules.

5.3 <u>Compute RTO Generation Serving RTO Load</u>

Using the real-time generation output in MWs, compute the Generation serving RTO Load. Sum the output of RTO generation within each load zone:

 $RTO_Gen_{zone} = \sum_{unit=1}^{all} Gen_{unit.zone}$, for each RTO load zone

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

unit = the relevant generator;

RTO_Gen_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's generation in a zone; and

 $Gen_{unit,zone} =$ the real-time output of the unit in a given zone.

Next, reduce the RTO generation located within a load zone by the scheduled line real-time export transaction schedules that source from that particular load zone:

$$RTO_Reduced_Gen_{zone} = RTO_Gen_{zone} - \sum_{scheduled_line=1}^{all} Export_Schedules_{scheduled_line,zone}$$

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

scheduled line = each of the Transmission Facilities identified in Table 1

above;

RTO Reduced Gen_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's generation in a zone reduced by the

sum of export schedules over scheduled lines from the

zone;

RTO Gen_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's generation in a zone; and

Export Schedules_{scheduled line.zone} = export schedules from a zone over a scheduled line.

The real-time export schedules over scheduled lines will only reduce the generation in the source zones identified in Table 1 above. The resulting generator output based on this reduction is defined below.

$$Reduced\ Gen_{unit} = \ Gen_{unit,\ zone} \left(\frac{RTO_Reduced_Gen_{zone}}{RTO_Gen_{zone}} \right)$$

Where:

unit = the relevant generator;

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

Gen_{unit zone} = the real-time output of the unit in a given zone;

Reduced Gen_{unit} = each unit's real-time output after reducing the

RTO Net Gen by the real-time export schedules over

scheduled lines;

RTO Reduced Gen_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's generation in a zone reduced by the

sum of export schedules over scheduled lines from the

zone; and

RTO Gen_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's generation in a zone.

Once export schedules over scheduled lines are accounted for, it is then appropriate to reduce the net RTO generation by the remaining real-time export schedules at the proxies identified in Table 2 above.

$$RTO_Net_Gen = \sum_{zone=1}^{all} RTO_Reduced_Gen_{zone}$$

Where:

zone = the relevant RTO load zone;

RTO Net Gen = the sum of the RTO's generation reduced by the sum of

export schedules over all scheduled lines; and

RTO Reduced Gen_{zone} = the sum of the RTO's generation in a zone reduced by the

sum of export schedules over scheduled lines from the

zone.

$$RTO_Final_Gen = RTO_Net_Gen - \sum_{proxy=1}^{all} Export_Schedules_{proxy}$$

Where:

proxy = representation of defined sets of Transmission Facilities

that (i) interconnect neighboring Balancing Authorities, (ii) are collectively scheduled, and (iii) are identified in

Table 2 above;

RTO Final Gen = the sum of the RTO's generation output for the entire RTO

footprint, sequentially reduced by (i) the sum of export schedules over all scheduled lines, and (ii) the sum of all

proxy export schedules;

RTO Net Gen = the sum of the RTO's generation reduced by the sum of

export schedules over all scheduled lines; and

Export_Schedules $_{proxy}$ = the sum of export schedules at a given proxy.

Finally, weight each generator's output by the reduced RTO generation:

$$Gen_Final_{unit} = Reduced\ Gen_{unit} \times \frac{RTO_Final_Gen}{RTO_Net_Gen}$$

Where:

unit = the relevant generator;

Gen Final_{unit} = the portion of each unit's output that is serving the RTO

Net Load;

Reduced Gen_{unit} = each unit's real-time output after reducing the

RTO Net Gen by the real-time export schedules over

scheduled lines;

RTO Final Gen = the sum of the RTO's generation output for the entire RTO

footprint, sequentially reduced by (i) the sum of export schedules over all scheduled lines, and (ii) the sum of all

proxy export schedules; and

RTO Net Gen = the sum of the RTO's generation reduced by the sum of

export schedules over all scheduled lines.

5.4 <u>Compute the RTO GTL for all Flowgates</u>

The generation-to-load flow for a particular Flowgate, in MWs, will be determined as:

$$RTO_GTL_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{unit=1}^{all} \frac{Gen_Final_{unit} \times}{\left(GSF_{(unit,Flowgate-m)} - RTO_LSF_{Flowgate-m}\right)}$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

unit = the relevant generator;

 $RTO_GTL_{Flowgate-m} =$ the generation to load flow for the entire RTO footprint on

Flowgate m;

Gen Final_{unit} = the portion of each unit's output that is serving RTO Net

Load;

GSF_(unit,Flowgate-m) = the generator shift factor for each unit on Flowgate m; and

RTO_LSF_{Flowgate-m} = the load shift factor for the entire RTO footprint on

Flowgate m.

5.5 <u>Compute the RTO Interchange Scheduling Impacts for all Flowgates</u>

For each scheduling point that the participating RTO is responsible for, determine the net interchange schedule in MWs. Table 3 below identifies both the participating RTO that is responsible for each listed scheduling point, and the "type" assigned to each listed scheduling point.

Table 3. List of Scheduling Points

Tuble of Elist of Scheduling I office				
Scheduling Point	Scheduling Point Type	Participating RTO(s) Responsible		
NYISO-PJM	common	NYISO and PJM		
HTP Scheduled Line	common	NYISO and PJM		
Linden VFT Scheduled Line	common	NYISO and PJM		
Neptune Scheduled Line	common	NYISO and PJM		
PJM shall post and maintain a list of its non-common scheduling points on its OASIS website. PJM shall provide to NYISO notice of any new or deleted non-common scheduling points prior to implementing such changes in its M2M software.	non-common	PJM		
NYISO non-common scheduling points include all Proxy Generator Buses and Scheduled Lines listed in the table that is	non-common	NYISO		

set forth in Section 4.4.4 of the NYISO's	
Market Services Tariff that are not	
identified in this Table 3 as common	
scheduling points. The NYISO shall	
provide to PJM notice of any new or	
deleted non-common scheduling points	
prior to implementing such changes in its	
M2M software.	

 $RTO_Transfers_{sched_pt} = Imports_{sched_pt} + WheelsIn_{sched_pt} - Exports_{sched_pt} - WheelsOut_{sched_pt}$

Where:

sched_pt = the relevant scheduling point. A scheduling point can be

either a proxy or a scheduled line;

RTO Transfers_{sched pt} = the net interchange schedule at a scheduling point;

 $Imports_{sched_pt} =$ the import component of the interchange schedule at a

scheduling point;

Wheels In_{sched_pt} = the injection of wheels-through component of the

interchange schedule at a scheduling point;

Exports_{sched pt} = the export component of the interchange schedule at a

scheduling point; and

WheelsOut_{sched pt} = the withdrawal of wheels-through component of the

interchange schedule at a scheduling point.

The equation below applies to all non-common scheduling points that only one of the participating RTOs is responsible for. *Parallel_Transfers* are applied to the Market Flow of the responsible participating RTO. For example, the *Parallel_Transfers* computed for the IESO-NYISO non-common scheduling point are applied to the NYISO Market Flow.

$$\textit{Parallel_Transfers}_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{\substack{\text{nc_sched_pt}=1}}^{\textit{all}} \textit{RTO_Transfers}_{nc_sched_pt} \times \textit{PTDF}_{(nc_sched_pt, Flowgate-m)}$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

nc_sched_pt =	the relevant non-common scheduling point. A non-common scheduling point can be either a proxy or a scheduled line. Non-common scheduling points are identified in Table 3, above;
$Parallel_Transfers_{Flowgate-m} =$	the flow on Flowgate m due to the net interchange schedule at the non-common scheduling point;
$RTO_Transfers_{nc_sched_pt} =$	the net interchange schedule at the non-common scheduling point, where a positive number indicates the import direction; and
$PTDF_{(nc_sched_pt,\ Flowgate-m)} =$	the power transfer distribution factor of the non-common scheduling point on Flowgate m. For NYISO, the PTDF will equal the generator shift factor of the non-common

The equation below applies to common scheduling points that directly interconnect the participating RTOs. *Shared_Transfers* are applied to the Monitoring RTO's Market Flow only. NYISO to PJM transfers would be considered part of NYISO's Market Flow for NYISO-monitored Flowgates and part of PJM's Market Flow for PJM-monitored Flowgates.

scheduling point.

$$Shared_Transfers_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{cmn_sched_pt=1}^{all} RTO_Transfers_{cmn_sched_pt} \times PTDF_{(cmn_sched_pt, Flowgate-m)}$$

Where:

wnere:	
Flowgate-m =	the relevant flowgate;
cmn_sched_pt =	the relevant common scheduling point. A common scheduling point can be either a proxy or a scheduled line. Common scheduling points are identified in Table 3, above;
$Shared_Transfers_{Flowgate-m} =$	the flow on Flowgate m due to interchange schedules on the common scheduling point;
$RTO_Transfers_{cmn_sched_pt} =$	the net interchange schedule at a common scheduling point, where a positive number indicates the import direction; and
$PTDF_{(cmn_sched_pt,Flowgate-m)} =$	the generation shift factor of the common scheduling point on Flowgate m. For NYISO, the PTDF will equal the generator shift factor of the common scheduling point.

5.6 Compute the PAR Effects for all Flowgates

For the PARs listed in Table 4 below, the RTOs will determine the generation-to-load flows and interchange schedules, in MWs, that each PAR is impacting.

Table 4. List of Phase Angle Regulators

PAR	Description	PAR Type	Actual Schedule	Target Schedule	Responsible Participating RTO(s)
1	RAMAPO PAR3500	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
2	RAMAPO PAR4500	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
3	FARRAGUT TR11	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
4	FARRAGUT TR12	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
5	GOETHSLN BK_1N	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
6	WALDWICK 02267	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
7	WALDWICK F2258	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
8	WALDWICK E2257	common	From telemetry	From telemetry*	NYISO and PJM
9	STLAWRNC PS_33	non- common	From telemetry	0	NYISO
10	STLAWRNC PS_34	non- common	From telemetry	0	NYISO

^{*}Pursuant to the rules for implementing the M2M coordination process over the NY-NJ PARs that are set forth in this M2M Schedule.

Compute the PAR control as the actual flow less the target flow across each PAR:

$$PAR_Control_{par} = Actual_MW_{par} - Target_MW_{par}$$

Where:

par = each of the phase angle regulators listed in Table 4, above;

PAR_Control_{par} = the flow deviation on each of the PARs;

Actual MW_{par} = the actual flow on each of the PARs, determined consistent

with Table 4 above; and

Target_MW_{par} = the target flow that each of the PARs should be achieving,

determined in accordance with Table 4 above.

When the Actual_MW and Target_MW are both set to "From telemetry" in Table 4 above, the *PAR_Control* will equal zero.

Common PARs

In the equations below, the Non-Monitoring RTO is credited for or responsible for *PAR_Impact* resulting from the common PAR effect on the Monitoring RTO's Flowgates. The common PAR impact calculation only applies to the common PARs identified in Table 4 above.

Compute control deviation for all common PARs on Flowgate m based on the PAR_Control_{par} MWs calculated above:

$$\textit{Cmn_PAR_Control}_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{cmn_par=1}^{all} \left(\textit{PSF}_{(cmn_par,Flowgate-m)} \times \textit{PAR_Control}_{cmn_par} \right)$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

cmn par = each of the common phase angle regulators, modeled as

Flowgates, identified in Table 4, above;

Cmn_PAR_Control_{Flowgate-m} = the sum of flow on Flowgate m after accounting for the

operation of common PARs;

 $PSF_{(cmn par, Flowgate-m)} =$ the PSF of each of the common PARs on Flowgate m; and

PAR_Control_{cmn par} = the flow deviation on each of the common PARs.

Compute the impact of generation-to-load and interchange schedules across all common PARs on Flowgate m as the Market Flow across each common PAR multiplied by that PAR's shift factor on Flowgate m:

$$Cmn_PAR_MF_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{cmn_par=1}^{all} \binom{\left(PSF_{(cmn_par,Flowgate-m)}\right) \times \\ \left(RTO_GTL_{cmn_par} + Parallel_Transfers_{cmn_par}\right)$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

cmn par =	the set of common phase	se angle regulators, modeled	as
Cimi pai	the set of common pha	ise ungle regulators, intoacted t	ao.

Flowgates, identified in Table 4 above;

Cmn_PAR_MF_{Flowgate-m} = the sum of flow on Flowgate m due to the generation to

load flows and interchange schedules on the common

PARs;

 $PSF_{(cmn par, Flowgate-m)} =$ the PSF of each of the common PARs on Flowgate m;

 $RTO_GTL_{cmn par} =$ the generation to load flow for each common par, computed

in the same manner as the generation to load flow is computed for Flowgates in Section 5.4 above; and

Parallel Transfers_{cmn par} = the flow on each of the common PARs caused by

interchange schedules at non-common scheduling points.

Next, compute the impact of the common PAR effect for Flowgate m as:

 $Cmn_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m} = Cmn_PAR_MF_{Flowgate-m} - Cmn_PAR_Control_{Flowgate-m}$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

Cmn PAR Impact_{Flowgate-m} = potential flow on Flowgate m that is affected by the

operation of the common PARs;

Cmn PAR $MF_{Flowgate-m}$ = the sum of flow on Flowgate m due to the generation to

load and interchange schedules on the common PARs; and

Cmn PAR_Control_{Flowgate-m} = the flow deviation on each of the common PARs.

Non-Common PARs

For the equations below, the NYISO will be credited or responsible for *PAR_Impact* on all Flowgates because the NYISO is the participating RTO that has input into the operation of these devices. The non-common PAR impact calculation only applies to the non-common PARs identified in Table 4 above.

Compute control deviation for all non-common PARs on Flowgate m based on the PAR control MW above:

$$NC_PAR_Control_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{nc}^{all} PSF_{(nc_par,Flowgate-m)} \times PAR_Control_{nc_par}$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

nc par = each of the non-common phase angle regulators, modeled

as Flowgates, identified in Table 4 above;

NC_PAR_Control_{Flowgate-m} = the sum of flow on Flowgate m after accounting for the

operation of non-common PARs;

 $PSF_{(nc par, Flowgate-m)} =$ the PSF of each of the non-common PARs on Flowgate m;

and

PAR Control_{nc par} = the flow deviation on each of the non-common PARs.

Compute the impact of generation-to-load and interchange schedules across all non-common PARs on Flowgate m as the Market Flow across each PAR multiplied by that PAR's shift factor on Flowgate m:

$$NC_PAR_MF_{Flowgate-m} = \sum_{nc\ par=1}^{all} \binom{\left(PSF_{nc_par,Flowgate-m}\right) \times \left(RTO_GTL_{nc_par} + Parallel_Transfers_{nc_par}\right)}{\left(RTO_GTL_{nc_par} + Parallel_Transfers_{nc_par}\right)}$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

nc par = the set of non-common phase angle regulators, modeled as

Flowgates, identified in Table 4 above;

NC PAR $MF_{Floweate-m}$ = the sum of flow on Flowgate m due to the generation to

load flows and interchange schedules on the non-common

PARs;

 $PSF_{(nc par, Flowgate-m)} =$ the outage transfer distribution factor of each of the non-

common PARs on Flowgate m;

RTO $GTL_{nc par} =$ the generation to load flow for each non-common par,

computed in the same manner as the generation to load flow is computed for Flowgates in Section 5.4 above; and

new is computed for the ugases in Section 21, acc 15, and

Parallel_Transfers_{nc par} = the flow, as computed above where the Flowgate m is one

of the non-common PARs, on each of the non-common

PARs caused by interchange schedules at non-common scheduling points.

Next, compute the non-common PAR impact for Flowgate m as:

 $NC_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m} = NC_PAR_MF_{Flowgate-m} - NC_PAR_Control_{Flowgate-m}$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

NC PAR Impact_{Flowgate-m} = the potential flow on Flowgate m that is affected by the

operation of non-common PARs;

NC PAR $MF_{Flowgate-m}$ = the sum of flow on Flowgate m due to the generation to

load and interchange schedules on the non-common PARs;

and

NC PAR Control_{Flowgate-m} = the sum of flow on Flowgate m after accounting for the

operation of non-common PARs.

Aggregate all PAR Effects for Each Flowgate

The total impacts from the PAR effects for Flowgate m is:

 $PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m} = Cmn_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m} + NC_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m}$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

PAR Impact_{Flowgate-m} = the flow on Flowgate m that is affected after accounting for

the operation of both common and non-common PARs;

Cmn_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m} = potential flow on Flowgate m that is affected by the

operation of the common PARs; and

NC PAR Impact_{Flowgate-m} = the potential flow on Flowgate m that is affected by the

operation of non-common PARs.

5.7 Compute the RTO Aggregate Market Flow for all Flowgates

With the RTO_GTL and PAR_IMPACT known, we can now compute the RTO_MF for all Flowgates as:

 $RTO_MF_{Flowgate-m} \\ = RTO_GTL_{Flowgate-m} + Parallel_Transfers_{Flowgate-m} + Shared_Transfers_{Flowgate-m} \\ - PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m}$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

RTO_MF_{Flowgate-m} = the Market Flow caused by RTO generation dispatch and

transaction scheduling on Flowgate m after accounting for the operation of both the common and non-common PARs;

 $RTO_GTL_{Flowgate-m} =$ the generation to load flow for the entire RTO footprint on Flowgate m;

Parallel_Transfers_{Flowgate-m} = the flow on Flowgate m caused by interchange schedules

that are not jointly scheduled by the participating RTOs;

Shared Transfers_{Flowgate-m} = the flow on Flowgate m caused by interchange schedules

that are jointly scheduled by the participating RTOs; and

PAR Impact_{Flowgate-m} = the flow on Flowgate m that is affected after accounting for

the operation of both the common and non-common PARs.

6 M2M Entitlement Determination Method

M2M Entitlements are the equivalent of financial rights for the Non-Monitoring RTO to use the Monitoring RTO's transmission system within the confines of the M2M redispatch process. The Parties worked together to develop the M2M Entitlement determination method set forth below.

Each Party shall calculate a M2M Entitlement on each M2M Redispatch Flowgate and compare the results at least once a year on a mutually agreed upon schedule. This frequency ensures that the impact of upgrades on both parties systems are incorporated into the M2M Entitlement calculation. The parties may mutually agree to not recalculate M2M Entitlements in a given year.

6.1 M2M Entitlement Topology Model and Impact Calculation

The M2M Entitlement calculation shall use both RTOs' static topological models to determine the Non-Monitoring RTO's mutually agreed upon share of a M2M Redispatch Flowgate's total capacity based on historic dispatch patterns. Both RTOs' models must include the following items:

- 1. a static transmission and generation model;
- 2. generator, load, and PAR shift factors;
- 3. generator output, load, and interchange schedules from the most recently completed three calendar years;
- 4. a PAR impact assumption that the PAR control is perfect for all PARs within the transmission models except the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border;
- 5. new or upgraded Transmission Facilities; and
- 6. Transmission Facility retirements.

Each Party shall calculate the GLDFs using a transmission model that contains a mutually agreed upon set of: (1) transmission lines that are modeled as in-service; (2) generators; and (3) loads. Using these GLDFs, generator output data from the three year period agreed to by the Parties, and load data from the three year period agreed to by the Parties, the Parties shall calculate each Party's MW impact on each M2M Redispatch Flowgate for each hour in the three year period agreed to by the Parties.

Using these impacts, the Parties shall create a reference year consisting of twelve periods ("M2M Entitlement Periods") for each M2M Redispatch Flowgate. The M2M Entitlement Periods are as follows:

- 1. M2M Entitlement Period 1: January;
- 2. M2M Entitlement Period 2: February;
- 3. M2M Entitlement Period 3: March;
- 4. M2M Entitlement Period 4: April;
- 5. M2M Entitlement Period 5: May;
- 6. M2M Entitlement Period 6: June;
- 7. M2M Entitlement Period 7: July;
- 8. M2M Entitlement Period 8: August;
- 9. M2M Entitlement Period 9: September;
- 10. M2M Entitlement Period 10: October;
- 11. M2M Entitlement Period 11: November;
- 12. M2M Entitlement Period 12: December;

For each of the M2M Entitlement Periods listed above the Non-Monitoring RTO will calculate its M2M Entitlement on each M2M Redispatch Flowgate for four groups of hours, the grouping is described below.

1. M2M Entitlement Group 1: Hour beginning 0 through hour beginning 5;

- 2. M2M Entitlement Group 2: Hour beginning 9 through hour beginning 14;
- 3. M2M Entitlement Group 3: Hour beginning 15 through hour beginning 20 and;
- 4. M2M Entitlement Group 4: Hour beginning 6 through hour beginning 8 and hour beginning 21 through hour beginning 23.

The M2M Entitlement for each period/group, for each M2M Redispatch Flowgate will be calculated by averaging the Non-Monitoring RTO's Market Flow on an M2M Redispatch Flowgate for each particular period/group. The Non-Monitoring RTO shall use the Market Flow data for all of the like period/groups, in each year contained within the three year period to calculate the Non-Monitoring RTO's average Market Flow on each M2M Redispatch Flowgate. The data within the three year period will be weighted as follows: most recent year 20%, middle year 30%, and oldest year 50%. In addition, the M2M Entitlement values should never extend beyond a facility's rating. If the calculation derives an entitlement that is above the facility's rating the parties will cap the entitlement value to remain within the facility's rating.

If either of the below upgrade scenarios occur the Parties may mutually agree to adjust the M2M Entitlement calculation method to account for the impacts of the upgrade(s):

- 1. If the Non-Monitoring RTO upgrades the Monitoring RTO's system resulting in a rating increase; or
- 2. If the Non-Monitoring RTO's market flow on the Monitoring RTO's system decreases due to a Non-Monitoring RTO upgrade on the Non-Monitoring RTO's system.

M2M Entitlement Calculation

Each Party shall independently calculate the Non-Monitoring RTO's M2M Entitlement for all M2M Redispatch Flowgates using the equations set forth in this Section. The Parties shall mutually agree upon M2M Entitlement calculations. Any disputes that arise in the M2M Entitlement calculations will be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section 35.15 of this Agreement.

Eighty percent of the RECo load shall be excluded from the calculation of Market Flows and M2M Entitlements, and shall instead be reflected as a PJM obligation over the Ramapo PARs in accordance with Sections 7.2.1 and 8.3 of this Schedule D. The remaining twenty percent of RECo load shall be included in the M2M Entitlement and Market Flow calculations as PJM load.

The following assumptions apply to the M2M Entitlement calculation:

1. the Parties shall calculate the values in this Section using the M2M Entitlement Topology Model discussed in Section 6.1 above, unless otherwise stated;

- 2. the impacts from the *Parallel_Transfers* and *Shared_Transfers* terms of the Market Flow calculation (*see* Section 5.5) are excluded from the Market Flow that is used to calculate M2M Entitlements;
- 3. perfect PAR Control exists for all PARs within the transmission models except the PARs at the Ontario/Michigan border; and
- 4. External Capacity Resources may be included in the calculation of M2M Entitlements consistent with Section 6.2.1.1 of this Schedule D.

Once the Reference Year Market Flows have been calculated for each interval to determine the integrated hourly Market Flow for each hour of the relevant three year period agreed to by the Parties, the new M2M Entitlement will be determined for all M2M Entitlement Groups in each M2M Entitlement Period using the method established in Section 6.1 above.

6.2.1 Treatment of Out-of-Area Capacity Resources and Representation of Ontario/Michigan PARs in the M2M Entitlement Calculation Process

6.2.1.1 Modeling of External Capacity Resources

External Capacity Resources may be included in the M2M Entitlement calculation to the extent the Parties mutually agree to their inclusion.

For the initial implementation of this M2M coordination process that will use 2009 through 2011 data to develop M2M Entitlements, PJM will be permitted to include its External Capacity Resources in the M2M Entitlement calculation. NYISO has not requested inclusion of any External Capacity Resources in the M2M Entitlement calculation for the initial implementation of M2M. When the Parties decide to update the data used to determine M2M Entitlements:

- a. PJM will be permitted to include External Capacity Resources that have an equivalent net M2M Entitlement impact to the net M2M Entitlement impact of the PJM External Capacity Resources that were used for the initial implementation of the M2M coordination process. Inclusion of PJM External Capacity Resources that exceed the net M2M Entitlement impact of the PJM External Capacity Resources that were used for the initial implementation of the M2M coordination process must be mutually agreed to by the Parties.
- b. The Parties may mutually agree to permit the NYISO to include External Capacity Resources in the M2M Entitlement calculation.

6.2.1.2 Modeling of the Ontario/Michigan PARs

The Ontario/Michigan PARs will be modeled as not controlling power flows in the M2M Entitlement calculation process. The Parties agree that this modeling treatment is only appropriate when it is paired with the rules for calculating Market Flows and M2M settlements

that are set forth in Sections 5 and 8 of this Agreement. Section 7.1 specifies how the RTOs will adjust Market Flows to account for the impact of the operation of the Ontario/Michigan PARs when the PARs are in service. The referenced Market Flow and M2M settlement rules are necessary because they are designed to ensure that M2M settlement obligations based on M2M Entitlements and Market Flows will not result in compensation for M2M redispatch when no actual M2M redispatch occurs.

7 Real-Time Energy Market Coordination

Operation of the NY-NJ PARs and redispatch are used by the Parties in real-time operations to effectuate this M2M coordination process. Operation of the NY-NJ PARs will permit the Parties to redirect energy to reduce the overall cost of managing transmission congestion and to converge the participating RTOs' cost of managing transmission congestion. Operation of the NY-NJ PARs to manage transmission congestion requires cooperation between the NYISO and PJM. Operation of the NY-NJ PARs shall be coordinated by the RTOs.

When a M2M Redispatch Flowgate or Other Coordinated Flowgate begins binding in the Monitoring RTOs real-time security constrained economic dispatch, the Monitoring RTO will notify the Non-Monitoring RTO of the transmission constraint and will identify the appropriate Flowgate that requires redispatch assistance. The Monitoring and Non-Monitoring RTOs will provide the economic value of the Flowgate constraint (i.e., the Shadow Price) as calculated by their respective dispatch models. Using this information, the security-constrained economic dispatch of the Non-Monitoring RTO will include the Flowgate constraint; the Monitoring RTO will evaluate the actual loading of the Flowgate constraint and request that the Non-Monitoring RTO modify its Market Flow via redispatch if it can do so more efficiently than the Monitoring RTO (i.e., if the Non-Monitoring RTO has a lower Shadow Price for that Flowgate than the Monitoring RTO).

An iterative coordination process will be supported by automated data exchanges in order to ensure the process is manageable in a real-time environment. The process of evaluating the Shadow Prices between the RTOs will continue until the Shadow Prices converge and an efficient redispatch solution is achieved. The continual interactive process over the following dispatch cycles will allow the transmission congestion to be managed in a coordinated, cost-effective manner by the RTOs. A more detailed description of this iterative procedure is discussed in Section 7.1 and the appropriate use of this iterative procedure is described in Section 10.

7.1 Real-Time Redispatch Coordination Procedures

The following procedure will apply for managing redispatch for M2M Redispatch Flowgates and Other Coordinated Flowgates in the real-time Energy market:

7.1.1 Flowgates shall be monitored per each RTO's internal procedures.

- a. When (i) a Flowgate is constrained to a defined limit (actual or contingency flow) by a non-transient constraint, and (ii) Market Flows are such that the Non-Monitoring RTO may be able to provide an appreciable amount of redispatch relief to the Monitoring RTO for a M2M Redispatch Flowgate, or (iii) the Non-Monitoring RTO agrees to initiate and to continue coordination for a M2M Redispatch Flowgate or Other Coordinated Flowgate, then the Monitoring RTO shall reflect the monitored Flowgate as constrained.
- b. Flowgate limits shall be periodically verified and updated.

7.1.2 Testing for an Appreciable Amount of Redispatch Relief and Determining the Settlement Market Flow for M2M Redispatch Flowgates:

When the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border are not in-service, the ability of the Non-Monitoring RTO to provide an appreciable amount of redispatch relief will be determined by comparing the Non-Monitoring RTO's Market Flow to the Non-Monitoring RTO M2M Entitlement for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate. When the Non-Monitoring RTO Market Flow (also the Market Flow used for settlement) is greater than the Non-Monitoring RTO M2M Entitlement for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate, the Monitoring RTO will assume that an appreciable amount of redispatch relief is available from the Non-Monitoring RTO and will engage the redispatch coordination process for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate.

When any of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border are in-service, the ability of the Non-Monitoring RTO to provide an appreciable amount of redispatch relief will be determined by comparing either (i) the Non-Monitoring RTO's unadjusted Market Flow, or (ii) the Non-Monitoring RTO Market Flow adjusted to reflect the expected impact of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border ("LEC Adjusted Market Flow"), to the Non-Monitoring RTO M2M Entitlement for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate. The rules for determining which Market Flow (unadjusted or adjusted) to compare to the Non-Monitoring RTO M2M Entitlement when any of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border are in-service are set forth below.

a. Calculating the Expected Impact of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario Border on Market Flows

The Non-Monitoring RTO's unadjusted Market Flow is determined as *RTO_MF* in accordance with the calculation set forth in Section 5 above. The expected impact of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border is determined as follows:

$$MICH - OH_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m}$$

$$= \sum_{MICH-OH\ Path=1}^{4} \left(\left(PSF_{(MICH-OH\ Path,Flowgate-m)} \right) \times \left(RTO_MF_{MICH-OH\ Path} - LEC/4 \right) \right)$$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant Flowgate;

MICH-OH Path = each of the four PAR paths connecting Michigan to Ontario, Canada;

 $MICH-OH_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m} =$ the expected impact of the operation

of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border on the flow on Flowgate m;

PSF_(MICH-OH Path,Flowgate-m) = the PSF of each of the four Michigan-Ontario PAR paths on Flowgate m;

 $RTO_MF_{MICH-OH\ Path} =$ the Market Flow for each of the four Michigan-

Ontario PAR paths, computed in the same manner as the Market Flow is computed for Flowgates in

Section 5 above; and

LEC = Actual circulation around Lake Erie as measured by each RTO.

The Non-Monitoring RTO's LEC Adjusted Market Flow, reflecting the expected impact of the PARs on the Michigan-Ontario border, can be determined by adjusting the *RTO_MF* from Section 5 to incorporate the *MICH-OH_PAR_Impact* calculated above.

LEC Adjusted Market $Flow_{Flowgate-m}$ = $RTO_MF_{Flowgate-m} - MICH - OH_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m}$

Where:

Flowgate-m = the relevant flowgate;

MICH-OH Path = each of the four PAR paths connecting Michigan to Ontario, Canada;

MICH-OH_PAR_Impact_{Flowgate-m} = the expected impact of the operation of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario

of the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border on the flow on Flowgate m;

 $RTO_MF_{Flowgate-m} =$

the Market Flow caused by RTO generation dispatch and transaction scheduling on Flowgate m after accounting for the operation of both the common and non-common PARs; and

LEC Adjusted Market Flow $_{Flowgate-m}$ = the Market Flow caused by RTO generation dispatch and transaction scheduling on Flowgate m after accounting for the operation of the common PARs, the non-common PARs, and the PARs at the Michigan-Ontario border.

b. Determining Whether to Use Unadjusted Market Flow or LEC Adjusted Market Flow; Determining if Appreciable Redispatch Relief is Available

- 1) When the Non-Monitoring RTO's LEC Adjusted Market Flow equals the Non-Monitoring RTO's unadjusted Market Flow and the Non-Monitoring RTO's Market Flow (also the Market Flow used for settlement) is greater than the Non-Monitoring RTO M2M Entitlement for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate, the Monitoring RTO will assume that an appreciable amount of redispatch relief is available from the Non-Monitoring RTO and will engage the M2M coordination process for the constrained M2M Flowgate.
- 2) When the Non-Monitoring RTO's unadjusted Market Flow is greater than the Non-Monitoring RTO's LEC Adjusted Market Flow, then the following calculation shall be performed to determine if an appreciable amount of redispatch relief is expected to be available:
 - A. Determine the minimum of (a) the Non-Monitoring RTO's unadjusted Market Flow, and (b) the Non-Monitoring RTO's M2M Entitlement, for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate; and
 - B. Determine the maximum of (x) the value from step A above, and (y) the Non-Monitoring RTO's LEC Adjusted Market Flow

When the value from B above (the Market Flow used for settlement), is greater than the Non-Monitoring RTO's M2M Entitlement for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate, the Monitoring RTO will assume

- that an appreciable amount of redispatch relief is available from the Non-Monitoring RTO and will engage the coordination process for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate.
- 3) When the Non-Monitoring RTO's unadjusted Market Flow is less than the Non-Monitoring RTO LEC Adjusted Market Flow, the following calculation shall be performed to determine if an appreciable amount of redispatch relief is expected to be available:
 - A. Determine the maximum of (a) the Non-Monitoring RTO's unadjusted Market Flow, and (b) the Non-Monitoring RTO M2M Entitlement, for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate; and
 - B. Determine the minimum of (x) the value from A above, and (y) the Non-Monitoring RTO's LEC Adjusted Market Flow

When the value from B above (the Market Flow used for settlement), is greater than the Non-Monitoring RTO's M2M Entitlement for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate, the Monitoring RTO will assume that an appreciable amount of redispatch relief is available from the Non-Monitoring RTO and will engage the coordination process for the constrained M2M Redispatch Flowgate.

- 7.1.3 The Monitoring RTO initiates redispatch coordination, notifies the Non-Monitoring RTO of the M2M Redispatch Flowgates or Other Coordinated Flowgates that are subject to coordination and updates required information.
- 7.1.4 The Non-Monitoring RTO shall acknowledge receipt of the notification and one of the following shall occur:
 - a. The Non-Monitoring RTO refuses to activate redispatch coordination:
 - i. The Non-Monitoring RTO notifies the Monitoring RTO of the reason for refusal; and
 - ii. The M2M State is set to "Refused"; or
 - b. The Non-Monitoring RTO agrees to activate redispatch coordination:
 - i. Such an agreement shall be considered an initiation of the redispatch process; and
 - ii. The M2M State is set to "Activated".
 - iii. If the Non-Monitoring RTO later withdraws its agreement to activate redispatch coordination at a Flowgate, then the Non-Monitoring RTO notifies the Monitoring RTO of the reason for its decision and the

Monitoring RTO shall terminate the redispatch coordination process and set the M2M State to "Refused".

- 7.1.5 The Parties have agreed to transmit information required for the administration of this procedure, as per Section 35.7.1 of this Agreement.
- 7.1.6 As Shadow Prices converge and approach zero or the Non-Monitoring RTO's Market Flows and Shadow Prices are such that an appreciable amount of redispatch relief can no longer be provided to the Monitoring RTO, the Monitoring RTO shall be responsible for the continuation or termination of the redispatch process. Current and forecasted future system conditions shall be considered. Termination of redispatch coordination may be requested by either RTO in the event of a system emergency.

When the Monitoring RTO's Shadow Price is not approaching zero the Monitoring RTO can (1) use the procedure called *Testing for an Appreciable Amount of Relief and Determining the Settlement Market Flow* from step 2b above, and (2) compare the Non-Monitoring RTO's Shadow Price to the Monitoring RTO's Shadow Price, to determine whether there is an appreciable amount of market flow relief being provided.

When the *Testing for an Appreciable Amount of Relief and Determining the Settlement Market Flow* procedure indicates there is not an appreciable amount of relief being provided, and the Non-Monitoring RTO Shadow Price is not less than the Monitoring RTO Shadow Price, then the Monitoring RTO may terminate the M2M coordination process.

- 7.1.7 Upon termination of redispatch coordination, the Monitoring RTO shall
 - a. Notify the Non-Monitoring RTO; and
 - b. Transmit data to the Non-Monitoring RTO with the M2M State set to "Closed". The timestamp with this transmission shall be considered termination of the redispatch process for operational and, where applicable, settlement purposes.

7.2 Real-Time NY-NJ PAR Coordination

The NY-NJ PARs will be operated to facilitate interchange schedules while minimizing regional congestion costs. When congestion is not present, the NY-NJ PARs will be operated to achieve the target flows as established below in Section 7.2.1.

PJM and the NYISO have operational control of the NY-NJ PARs and direct the operation of the NY-NJ PARs, while Public Service Electric and Gas Company ("PSE&G") and Consolidated Edison Company of New York ("Con Edison") have physical control of the NY-NJ PARs. The Con Edison dispatcher sets the PAR taps for the ABC PARs and Ramapo PARs at the direction of the NYISO. The PSE&G dispatchers set the PAR taps for the Waldwick PARs at the direction of PJM.

PJM and the NYISO have the responsibility to direct the operation of the NY-NJ PARs to maintain compliance with the requirements of this Agreement. PJM and the NYISO shall make reasonable efforts to minimize movement of the NY-NJ PARs while implementing the NY-NJ PAR target flows and the NY-NJ PAR coordination process. PJM and the NYISO will employ a +/- 50 MW operational bandwidth around each NY-NJ PAR's target flow to limit tap movements and to maintain actual flows at acceptable levels. This operational bandwidth shall not impact or change the NY-NJ PAR Settlement rules in Section 8.3 of this Agreement. The operational bandwidth provides a guideline to assist the RTOs' efforts to avoid unnecessary NY-NJ PAR tap movements.

In order to preserve the long-term availability of the NY-NJ PARs, a maximum number of 20 PAR tap changes per NY-NJ PAR per day, and a maximum number of 400 PAR tap changes per NY_NJ PAR per calendar month will normally be observed. If the number of PAR tap changes exceed these limits, then the operational bandwidth shall be increased in 50 MW increments until the total number of PAR tap changes no longer exceed 400 PAR tap changes per NY-NJ PAR per month, unless PJM and the NYISO mutually agree otherwise.

In order to implement the NY-NJ PAR coordination process, including the establishment and continuation of the initial and any future OBF as defined in this Section and Section 35.2 of this Agreement, on the ABC PARs and the Waldwick PARs, the facilities comprising the ABC Interface and JK Interface shall be functional and operational at all times, consistent with Good Utility Practice, except when they are taken out-of-service to perform maintenance or are subject to a forced outage.

7.2.1 NY-NJ PAR Target Values

A Target Value for flow between the NYISO and PJM shall be determined for each NY-NJ PAR based on the net interchange schedule between the Parties. These Target Values shall be used for settlement purposes as:

 $Target_{PARx} = (InterchangeFactor_{PARx}) + (Operational\ Base\ Flow_{PARx}) + (RECo_Load_{PARx})$

Where:

 $Target_{PARx} =$

Calculated Target Value for the flow on each NY-NJ PAR For purposes of this equation, a positive value* indicates a flow from PJM to the NYISO.

* The sign conventions apply to the formulas used in this Agreement. The Parties may utilize different sign conventions in their market software so long as the software produces results that are consistent with the rules set forth in this Agreement.

 $InterchangeFactor_{PARx} =$

The MW value of the net interchange schedule between PJM and NYISO over the AC tie lines distributed across each in-service NY-NJ PAR calculated as net interchange schedule times the interchange percentage. The interchange percentage for each NY-NJ PAR is listed in Table 5.

If a NY-NJ PAR is out-of-service or is bypassed, or if the RTOs mutually agree that a NY-NJ PAR is incapable of facilitating interchange, the percentage of net interchange normally assigned to that NY-NJ PAR will be transferred over the western AC tie lines between the NYISO and PJM. The remaining in-service NY-NJ PARs will continue to be assigned the interchange percentages specified in Table 5.

OperationalBaseFlow_{PARx} =

The MW value of OBF distributed across each of the inservice ABC PARs and Waldwick PARs.

Either Party may establish a temporary OBF to address a reliability issue until a long-term solution to the identified reliability issue can be implemented. Any temporary OBF that is established shall be at a level that both Parties can reliably support. The Party that establishes the OBF shall: (1) explain the reliability need to the other Party; (2) describe how the OBF addresses the identified reliability need; and (3) identify the expected long-term solution to address the reliability need.

The initial 400 MW OBF, effective on May 1, 2017, is expected to be reduced to zero MW by June 1, 2021.

The Parties may mutually agree to modify an established OBF value that normally applies when all of the ABC PARs and Waldwick PARs are in service. Modification of the normally applied OBF value will be implemented no sooner than two years after mutual agreement on such modification has been reached, unless NYISO and PJM mutually agree to an earlier implementation date.

The NYISO and PJM shall post the OBF values, in MW, normally applied to each ABC PAR and Waldwick PAR

when all of the ABC PARs and Waldwick PARs are in service, on their respective websites. The NYISO and PJM shall also post the methodology used to reduce the OBF under certain outage conditions on their respective websites. The NYISO and PJM shall review the OBF MW value at least annually.

 $RECo_Load_{PARx} =$

The MW value of the telemetered real-time Rockland Electric Company Load to be delivered over a NY-NJ PAR shall be calculated as real-time RECo Load times the RECo Load percentage listed in Table 5. RECo Load is the portion of Orange and Rockland load that is part of PJM. The primary objective of the NY-NJ PARs is the delivery of scheduled interchange. Deliveries to serve RECo Load over the Ramapo PARs will only be permitted to the extent there is unused transfer capability on the Ramapo PARs after accounting for interchange. Subject to the foregoing limitation, when one of the Ramapo PARs is out of service the full RECo Load percentage (80%) will be applied to the in-service Ramapo PAR. The RECo Load percentage ordinarily used for each NY-NJ PAR is listed in Table 5:

Table 5

PAR Name	Description	Interchange Percentage	RECo Load Percentage
3500	RAMAPO PAR3500	16%	40%^
4500	RAMAPO PAR4500	16%	40%^
E	WALDWICK E2257	5%	0%
F	WALDWICK F2258	5%	0%
О	WALDWICK 02267	5%	0%
Α	GOETHSLN BK_1N	7%	0%
В	FARRAGUT TR11	7%	0%
С	FARRAGUT TR12	7%	0%

^ Subject to the foregoing limitation, when one of the Ramapo PARs is out of service the full RECo Load Percentage (80%) will be applied to the in-service Ramapo PAR.

7.2.2 Determination of the Cost of Congestion at each NY-NJ PAR

The incremental cost of congestion relief provided by each NY-NJ PAR shall be determined by each of the Parties. These costs shall be determined by multiplying each Party's Shadow Price on each of its NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgates by the PSF for each NY-NJ PAR for the relevant NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgates.

The incremental cost of congestion relief provided by each NY-NJ PAR shall be determined by the following formula:

 $Congestion\$_{(PARx, RTO)} =$

 $\sum_{NY-NJ\ PAR\ Coordinated\ Flowgates-m\ \in NY-NJ\ PAR\ Coordinated\ Flowgates_{RTO}} \left(PSF_{(NY-NJ\ PAR\ Coordinated\ Flowgate-m\ ,PARx)} \times Shadow\$_{NY-NJ\ PAR\ Coordinated\ Flowgates}\right)$

Where:

$Congestion\$_{(PARx, RTO)} =$	Cost of congestion at each NY-NJ PAR for the relevant participating RTO, where a negative cost of congestion indicates taps in the direction of the relevant participating RTO would alleviate that RTO's congestion;
$NY - NJ \ PAR \ Coordinated \ Flow gates_{RTO} =$	Set of NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgates for the relevant participating RTO;
$PSF_{(NY-NJ\ PAR\ Coordinated\ Flowgate-m,\ PARx)} =$	The PSF for each NY-NJ PAR on NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgate—m; and
$Shadow\$_{NY-NJ\ PAR\ Coordinated\ Flowgate-m} =$	The Shadow Price on the relevant participating RTO's NY-NJ PAR Coordinated Flowgate m.

7.2.3 Desired PAR Changes

Consistent with the congestion cost calculation established in Section 7.2.2 above, if the NYISO congestion costs associated with a NY-NJ PAR are less than the PJM congestion costs associated with the same NY-NJ PAR, then hold or take taps into NYISO.

Similarly, if the PJM congestion costs associated with a NY-NJ PAR are less than NYISO congestion costs associated with the same NY-NJ PAR, then hold or take taps into PJM.

Any action on the NY-NJ PARs will be coordinated between the Parties and taken into consideration other PAR actions.

8 Real-Time Energy Market Settlements

8.1 Information Used to Calculate M2M Settlements

For each Flowgate there are two components of the M2M settlement, a redispatch component and a NY-NJ PAR coordination component. Both M2M settlement components are defined below.

For the redispatch component, market settlements under this M2M Schedule will be calculated based on the following:

- the Non-Monitoring RTO's real-time Market Flow, determined in accordance with Section 7.1 above, on each M2M Redispatch Flowgate compared to its M2M Entitlement for M2M Redispatch Flowgates eligible for redispatch on each M2M Redispatch Flowgate; and
- 2. the *ex-ante* Shadow Price at each M2M Redispatch Flowgate.

When determining M2M settlements for a M2M Redispatch Flowgate, each Party will use the M2M Entitlement that corresponds to the period/group for which the real-time Market Flow is being calculated except for the following scenarios:

- 1. When the Non-Monitoring RTO's M2M Entitlement is negative and the net market flow of the Non-Monitoring RTO is greater than or equal to zero the M2M Entitlement will be set to zero.
- 2. When the Non-Monitoring RTO's M2M Entitlement is negative and the net market flow of the Non-Monitoring RTO is also negative, but exceeds the M2M Entitlement, both the M2M Entitlement and market flow will be set to zero.

Redispatch coordination for Other Coordinated Flowgates is not subject to redispatch settlement under Section 8.2 of this Schedule D. NY-NJ PAR coordination for Other Coordinated Flowgates is subject to NY-NJ PAR coordination settlement under Section 8.3 of this Schedule D.

For the NY-NJ PARs coordination component, Market settlements under this M2M Schedule will be calculated based on the following:

- actual real-time flow on each of the NY-NJ PARs compared to its target flow (Target_{PARx});
- 2. PSF for each NY-NJ PAR onto each M2M Flowgate; and
- 3. the ex-ante Shadow Price at each M2M Flowgate.

Either or both of the Parties shall be excused from paying an *M2MPARSettlement* (described in Section 8.3 of this Schedule D) to the other Party at times when a Storm Watch is in effect in

New York and the operating requirements and other criteria set forth in Section 8.3.1 below are satisfied.

8.2 **Real-Time Redispatch Settlement**

For each M2M Redispatch Flowgate compute the real-time redispatch settlement for each interval as specified below.

When $RT_MktFlow_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} > M2M_Ent_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}$,

 $MonRTO_Payment_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}$

 $= Mon_Shadow\$_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}$ $\times (RT_MktFlow_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} - M2M_Ent_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}) \times {s_i / 3600sec}$

When $RT_MktFlow_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} < M2M_Ent_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}$,

 $Non_MonRTO_Payment_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}$

 $= Non_Mon_Shadow\$_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}$

 $\times (M2M_Ent_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} - RT_MktFlow_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i}) \times \frac{s_i}{3600sec}$

Where:

 $Non_MonRTO_Payment_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} = M2M\ redispatch\ settlement,$ in the form of a

payment to the Non-Monitoring RTO from the Monitoring RTO, for M2M Redispatch Flowgate m

and interval *i*;

 $MonRTO_Payment_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} =$ M2M redispatch settlement, in the form of a

> payment to the Monitoring RTO from the Non-Monitoring RTO, for M2M Redispatch Flowgate m

and interval *i*;

 $RT_MktFlow_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} =$ real-time RTO MF, determined for settlement in

accordance with Section 7.1 above, for M2M

Redispatch Flowgate m and interval *i*;

 $M2M_Ent_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flowgate-m_i} =$ Non-Monitoring RTO M2M Entitlement for M2M

Redispatch Flowgate m and interval i;

 $Mon_Shadow\$_{M2M\;Redispatch\;Flowgate-m_i} =$ Monitoring RTO's Shadow Price for M2M

Redispatch Flowgate m and interval *i*;

 $Non_Mon_Shadow\$_{M2M\ Redispatch\ Flow\ aate-m_i} = Non-Monitoring\ RTO's\ Shadow\ Price\ for\ M2M$

Redispatch Flowgate m and interval i; and

 $s_i =$

number of seconds in interval i.

8.3 **NY-NJ PARs Settlements**

Compute the real-time NY-NJ PARs settlement for each interval as specified below.

When

$$\begin{split} \textit{Actual}_{\textit{PARx}_i} &> \textit{Target}_{\textit{PARx}_i}, \\ \textit{NYImpact}_{\textit{PARx}_i} &= \textit{Max}(\left(\textit{Congestion}\$_{(\textit{PARx}, \textit{NY})_i}\right. \\ &\times \left(\textit{Target}_{\textit{PARx}_i} - \textit{Actual}_{\textit{PARx}_i}\right), 0) \times \frac{\textit{S}_i}{3600 sec} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} PJMImpact_{PARx_{i}} \\ &= \left(Congestion\$_{(PARx, PJM)_{i}} \times \left(Actual_{PARx_{i}} - Target_{PARx_{i}}\right)\right) \\ &\times {s_{i}/3}600sec \end{split}$$

When

 $Actual_{PARx_i} < Target_{PARx_i}$

$$NYImpact_{PARx_{i}} = \left(Congestion\$_{(PARx, NY)_{i}} \times \left(Target_{PARx_{i}} - Actual_{PARx_{i}}\right)\right) \times \frac{S_{i}}{3600 sec}$$

$$\begin{split} PJMImpact_{PARx_{i}} &= Max(\Big(Congestion\$_{(PARx,PJM)_{i}} \\ &\times \Big(Actual_{PARx_{i}} - Target_{PARx_{i}}\Big)\Big), 0) \times {s_{i}/3600sec} \end{split}$$

$$M2MPARSettlement_{i}$$

$$= \left(Min \left(\sum_{All\ NY-NJ\ PARs}^{All\ NY-NJ\ PARs} NYImpact_{PARx_{i}}, 0\right)\right)$$

$$-Min \left(\sum_{All\ NY-NJ\ PARs}^{All\ NY-NJ\ PARs} PJMImpact_{PARx_{i}}, 0\right)\right)$$

Where:

 $Actual_{PARx_i}$ = Measured real-time actual flow on each of the NY-NJ PARs for

interval i. For purposes of this equation, a positive value indicates

a flow from PJM to the NYISO;

 $Target_{PARx_i}$ = Calculated Target Value for the flow on each NY-NJ PAR as

described in Section 7.2.1 above for interval *i*. For purposes of this equation, a positive value indicates a flow from PJM to the

NYISO:

 $PJMImpact_{PARx_i}$ = PJM Impact, defined as the impact that the current NY-NJ

PAR flow relative to target flow is having on PJM's system congestion for interval *i*. For purposes of this equation, a positive value indicates that the PAR flow relative to target flow is reducing PJM's system congestion, whereas a negative value indicates that the PAR flow relative to target

flow is increasing PJM's system congestion.

 $NYImpact_{PARx_i}$ = NYISO Impact, defined as the impact that the current NY-

NJ PAR flow relative to target flow is having on NYISO's system congestion for interval *i*. For purposes of this equation, a positive value indicates that the PAR flow relative to target flow is reducing NYISO's system congestion, whereas a negative value indicates that the PAR flow relative to the target flow is increasing NYISO's

system congestion system.

 $Congestion \$_{(PARx, P/M)i} =$ Cost of congestion at each NY-NJ PAR for PJM, calculated

in accordance with Section 7.2.2 above for interval *i*;

 $Congestion \$_{(PARx.NY)i} =$ Cost of congestion at each NY-NJ PAR for NYISO,

calculated in accordance with Section 7.2.2 above for

interval i, and

 $M2MPARSettlement_i = M2M PAR Settlement across all NY-NJ PARs, defined as a$

payment from NYISO to PJM when the value is positive, and a payment from PJM to NYISO when the value is

negative for interval *i*.

 $s_i =$ number of seconds in interval *i*.

8.3.1 NY-NJ PAR Settlements During Storm Watch Events

PJM shall not be required to pay a M2MPARSettlement (calculated in accordance with Section 8.3 of this Schedule D) to NYISO when a Storm Watch is in effect and PJM has taken the actions required below to assist the NYISO, or when NYISO has not taken the actions

required below to address power flows resulting from the redispatch of generation to address the Storm Watch.

NYISO shall not be required to pay a M2MPARSettlement to PJM when a Storm Watch is in effect and NYISO has taken the actions required of it below to address power flows resulting from the redispatch of generation to address the Storm Watch.

When a Storm Watch is in effect, the RTOs will determine whether PJM and/or NYISO are required to pay a M2MPARSettlement to the other RTO based on three Storm Watch compliance requirements that address the operation of (a) the JK transmission lines and associated Waldwick PARs, (b) the ABC transmission lines and associated ABC PARs, and (c) the 5018 transmission line and associated Ramapo PARs. Compliance shall be determined as follows:

- a. <u>JK Storm Watch compliance</u>: Subject to the exceptions that follow, PJM will be "Compliant" at the JK interface when either of the following two conditions are satisfied, otherwise it will be "Non-compliant":
 - i. Flow on the JK interface was at or above the sum of the Target flows for each Available Waldwick PAR at any point in the trailing (rolling) 15-minutes⁵; or
 - ii. PJM took at least two taps on each Available Waldwick PAR in the direction to reduce flow into PJM at any point in the trailing (rolling) 15-minutes.

If NYISO denies PJM's request to take one or more taps at a Waldwick PAR to reduce flow into PJM and achieve compliance at the JK interface, then PJM shall be considered "Compliant" at the JK interface.

If PJM cannot take a required tap at a Waldwick PAR because the change will result in an overload on PJM's system unless NYISO first takes a tap at an ABC PAR increasing flow into New York, and flow on the ABC interface is not at or above the sum of the Target flows for each Available ABC PAR, then PJM may request that NYISO take a tap at an ABC PAR increasing flow into New York. PJM will be "Compliant" at the JK interface if NYISO does not take the requested tap within five minutes of receiving PJM's request. "Compliant" status achieved pursuant to this paragraph shall continue until NYISO takes the requested PAR tap, or the Parties agree that NYISO not taking the requested PAR tap is no longer preventing PJM from taking the PAR tap(s) (if any) PJM needs to achieve compliance at the JK interface.

Effective Date: 4/7/2020 - Docket #: ER19-2282-001 - Page 117

⁵ For example, if the sum of the Target flows for Available Waldwick PARs is +200 MW, then PJM will be "Compliant" if flow into PJM on JK was at or above +200 MW during any six second measurement interval over the trailing (rolling) 15 minutes.

If PJM cannot take a required tap at a Waldwick PAR because the change will result in an overload on PJM's system unless NYISO first takes a tap at a Ramapo PAR increasing flow into New York, and flow on the 5018 interface is not at or above the sum of the Target flows for each Available Ramapo PAR, then PJM may request that NYISO take a tap at a Ramapo PAR increasing flow into New York. PJM will be "Compliant" at the JK interface if NYISO does not either (i) take the requested tap within five minutes of receiving PJM's request, or (ii) inform PJM that NYISO is unable to take the requested tap at Ramapo because the change would result in an actual or postcontingency overload on the 5018 lines, or on either of the Ramapo PARs (NYISO will be responsible for demonstrating both the occurrence and duration of the condition). "Compliant" status achieved pursuant to this paragraph shall continue until NYISO takes the requested PAR tap, or the Parties agree that NYISO not taking the requested PAR tap is no longer preventing PJM from taking the PAR tap(s) (if any) PJM needs to achieve compliance at the JK interface.

If PJM cannot take a required tap at a Waldwick PAR because the change would result in an actual or post-contingency overload on either or both of the JK lines, or on any of the Waldwick PARs, and the overload cannot be addressed through NYISO taking taps at ABC or Ramapo, then PJM will be considered "Compliant" at the JK interface until the condition is resolved. PJM will be responsible for demonstrating both the occurrence and duration of the condition.

- b. <u>ABC Storm Watch compliance</u>: Subject to the exceptions that follow, NYISO will be "Compliant" at the ABC interface when either of the following two conditions are satisfied, otherwise it will be "Non-compliant":
 - i. Flow on the ABC interface was at or above the sum of the Target values for each Available ABC PAR at any point in the trailing (rolling) 15-minutes⁶; or
 - ii. NYISO took at least two taps on each Available ABC PAR in the direction to increase flow into New York at any point in the trailing (rolling) 15-minutes.

If PJM denies NYISO's request to take one or more taps at an ABC PAR to increase flow into New York and achieve compliance at the ABC interface, then NYISO shall be considered "Compliant" at the ABC interface.

Effective Date: 4/7/2020 - Docket #: ER19-2282-001 - Page 118

⁶ For example, if the sum of the Target values for each Available ABC PAR is +200 MW, then NYISO will be "Compliant" if flow into New York on ABC was at or above +200 MW during any six second measurement interval over the trailing (rolling) 15 minutes.

If NYISO cannot take a required tap at an ABC PAR because the change will result in an overload on NYISO's system unless PJM first takes a tap at a Waldwick PAR reducing flow into PJM, and flow on the JK interface is not at or below the sum of the Target values for each Available Waldwick PAR, then NYISO may request that PJM take a tap at a Waldwick PAR reducing flow into PJM. NYISO will be "Compliant" at the ABC interface if PJM does not take the requested tap within five minutes of receiving NYISO's request. "Compliant" status achieved pursuant to this paragraph shall continue until PJM takes the requested PAR tap, or the Parties agree that PJM not taking the requested PAR tap is no longer preventing NYISO from taking the PAR tap(s) (if any) NYISO needs to achieve compliance at the ABC interface.

If NYISO cannot take a required tap at an ABC PAR because the change would result in an actual or post-contingency overload on one or more of the ABC lines, or on any of the ABC PARs, and the overload cannot be addressed through NYISO taking taps at Ramapo or PJM taking taps at Waldwick, then NYISO will be considered "Compliant" at the ABC interface until the condition is resolved. NYISO will be responsible for demonstrating both the occurrence and duration of the condition.

- c. <u>5018 Storm Watch compliance</u>: Subject to the exceptions that follow, NYISO will be "Compliant" at the 5018 interface when either of the following two conditions are satisfied, otherwise it will be "Non-compliant":
 - i. Flow on the 5018 interface was at or above the sum of the Target values for each Available Ramapo PAR described in Section 7.2.1 of this Schedule D at any point in the trailing (rolling) 15-minutes; or
 - ii. NYISO took at least two taps on each Available Ramapo PAR in the direction to increase flow into New York at any point in the trailing (rolling) 15-minutes.

If PJM denies NYISO's request to take one or more taps at a Ramapo PAR to increase flow into New York and achieve compliance at the 5018 interface, then NYISO shall be considered "Compliant" at the 5018 interface.

If NYISO cannot take a required tap at a Ramapo PAR because it will result in an overload on NYISO's system unless PJM first takes a tap at a Waldwick PAR reducing flow into PJM, and flow on the JK interface is not at or below the sum of the Target values for each Available Waldwick PAR, then NYISO may request that PJM take a tap at a Waldwick PAR reducing flow into PJM. NYISO will be "Compliant" at the 5018 interface if PJM does not take the requested tap within five minutes of receiving NYISO's request. "Compliant" status achieved pursuant to this paragraph shall continue until PJM takes the requested PAR tap, or the Parties agree that PJM not taking the requested

PAR tap is no longer preventing NYISO from taking the PAR tap(s) (if any) NYISO needs to achieve compliance at the Ramapo interface.

If NYISO cannot take a required tap at a Ramapo PAR because the change would result in an actual or post-contingency overload on the 5018 line, or on either of the Ramapo PARs, and the overload cannot be addressed through NYISO taking taps at ABC or PJM taking taps at Waldwick, then NYISO will be considered "Compliant" at the 5018 interface until the condition is resolved. NYISO will be responsible for demonstrating both the occurrence and duration of the condition.

When a Storm Watch is in effect in New York, PJM shall only be required to pay a M2MPARSettlement to NYISO when PJM is "Non-compliant" at the JK interface, while NYISO is "Compliant" at both the ABC and 5018 interfaces. Otherwise, PJM shall not be required to pay a M2MPARSettlement to NYISO at times when a Storm Watch is in effect in New York.

When a Storm Watch is in effect in New York, NYISO shall only be required to pay a M2MPARSettlement to PJM when NYISO is "Non-compliant" at the ABC interface or the 5018 interface, or both of those interfaces. When NYISO is "Compliant" at both the ABC and 5018 interfaces, NYISO shall not be required to pay a M2MPARSettlement to PJM at times when a Storm Watch is in effect in New York.

When all three interfaces (JK, ABC, 5018) are "Compliant," or during the first 15-minutes in which a Storm Watch is in effect, this Section 8.3.1 excuses the Parties from paying a M2MPARSettlement to each other at times when a Storm Watch is in effect in New York.

Compliance and Non-compliance shall be determined for each interval of the NYISO settlement cycle (normally, every 5-minutes) that a Storm Watch is in effect.

8.4 Calculating a Combined M2M Settlement

The M2M settlement shall be the sum of the real-time redispatch settlement for each M2M Flowgate and M2MPARSettlement for each interval

```
 Redispatch \ NY \ Settlement_{i} \\ = \left(\sum_{M2M \ Flowgate \ m}^{all \ NY \ M2M \ Redispatch \ Flowgates} \left(MonRTO \ Payment_{M2M \ Redispatch \ Flowgate \ mi} \right) \right) \\ - Non \ MonRTO \ Payment_{M2M \ Redispatch \ Flowgate \ m_{i}} \right)
```

Where:

Redispatch NY Settlement_i = M2M NYISO settlement, defined as a payment

from PJM to NYISO when the value is positive, and a payment from the NYISO to PJM when the value

is negative for interval *i*;

Redispatch PJM Settlement_i = M2M PJM settlement, defined as a payment from

NYISO to PJM when the value is positive, and a payment from the PJM to NYISO when the value is

negative for interval *i*;

Non MonRTO Payment_{M2M Redispatch Flowgate m_i} = Monitoring RTO payment to Non-

Monitoring RTO for congestion on M2M Redispatch Flowgate m for interval *i*; and

MonRTO Payment_{M2M Redispatch Flowgate mi} = Non-Monitoring RTO payment to Monitoring RTO

for congestion on M2M Redispatch Flowgate m for

interval i.

M2M Settlement_i = Redispatch PJM Settlement_i - Redispatch NY Settlement_i + M2MPARSettlement_i

Where:

M2M Settlement, defined as a payment from the

NYISO to PJM when the value is positive, and a payment from PJM to the NYISO when the value is

negative for interval *i*;

Redispatch NY Settlement_i = M2M NYISO settlement, defined as a payment

from PJM to NYISO when the value is positive, and a payment from the NYISO to PJM when the value

is negative for interval *i*;

Redispatch PJM Settlement_i = M2M PJM settlement, defined as a payment from

NYISO to PJM when the value is positive, and a payment from the PJM to NYISO when the value is

negative for interval *i*;

 $M2MPARSettlement_i = M2M PAR Settlement across all NY-NJ PARs, defined as a payment from NYISO to PJM when the value is positive,$

and a payment from PJM to NYISO when the value is negative for interval *i*.

For the purpose of settlements calculations, each interval will be calculated separately and then integrated to an hourly value:

$$M2M_Settlement_h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M2M_Settlement_i$$

Where:

 $M2M_Settlement_h = M2M$ settlement for hour h; and

n = Number of intervals in hour h.

Section 10.1 of this Schedule D sets forth circumstances under which the M2M coordination process and M2M settlements may be temporarily suspended.

9 When One of the RTOs Does Not Have Sufficient Redispatch

It is possible that sufficient redispatch for a M2M Redispatch Flowgate or Other Coordinated Flowgate may not be available to the Monitoring RTO. In these scenarios, the Monitoring RTO will price the flowgate using rules specific to that RTO's Tariff language.

However, subject to Section 10.1.2 of this Schedule D, if the Non-Monitoring RTO cannot provide sufficient relief to reach the shadow price of the Monitoring RTO, any constraint relaxation logic will be deactivated. The Non-Monitoring RTO will then be able to use the Monitoring RTO's shadow price without limiting the shadow price to the maximum shadow price associated with a physical control action inside the Non-Monitoring RTO. With the M2M Redispatch Flowgate shadow prices being the same in both RTOs, their resulting bus LMPs will converge in a consistent price profile.

Appropriate Use of the M2M Coordination Process

Under normal operating conditions, the Parties will model all M2M Flowgates in their respective real-time EMSs. M2M Flowgates will be controlled using M2M tools for coordinated redispatch and coordinated operation of the NY-NJ PARs, and will be eligible for M2M settlements.

10.1 Qualifying Conditions for M2M Settlement

10.1.1 Purpose of M2M. M2M was established to address regional, not local issues. The intent is to implement the M2M coordination process and settle on such coordination where both Parties have significant impact.

- Minimizing Less than Optimal Dispatch. The Parties agree that, as a general matter, they should minimize financial harm to one RTO that results from the M2M coordination process initiated by the other RTO that produces less than optimal dispatch.
- 10.1.3 Use M2M Whenever Binding a M2M Flowgate. During normal operating conditions, the M2M redispatch process will be initiated by the Monitoring RTO whenever an M2M Flowgate that is eligible for redispatch is constrained and therefore binding in its dispatch. Coordinated operation of the NY-NJ PARs is the default condition and does not require initiation by either Party to occur.
- **Most Limiting Flowgate**. Generally, controlling to the most limiting Flowgate provides the preferable operational and financial outcome. In principle and as much as practicable, the M2M coordination process will take place on the most limiting Flowgate, and to that Flowgate's actual limit (thermal, reactive, stability).

10.1.5 Abnormal Operating Conditions.

- a. A Party that is experiencing system conditions that require the system operators' immediate attention may temporarily delay implementation of the M2M redispatch process or cease an active M2M redispatch event until a reasonable time after the system condition that required the system operators' immediate attention is resolved.
- b. Either Party may temporarily suspend an active M2M coordination process or delay implementation of the M2M coordination process if a Party is experiencing, or acting in good faith suspects it may be experiencing, (1) a failure or outage of the data link between the Parties prevents the exchange of accurate or timely real-time data necessary to implement the M2M coordination process; or (2) a failure or outage of any computational or data systems preventing the actual or accurate calculation of data necessary to implement the M2M coordination process. The Parties shall resolve the issue causing the failure or outage of the data link, computational systems, or data systems as soon as possible in accordance with Good Utility Practice. The Parties shall resume implementation of the M2M coordination process following the successful testing of the data link or relevant system(s) after the failure or outage condition is resolved.
- 10.1.6 Transient System Conditions. A Party that is experiencing intermittent congestion due to transient system conditions including, but not limited to, interchange ramping or transmission switching, is not required to implement the M2M redispatch process unless the congestion continues after the transient condition(s) have concluded.

10.1.7 Temporary Cessation of M2M Coordination Process Pending Review.

If the net charges to a Party resulting from implementation of the M2M coordination process for a market-day exceed five hundred thousand dollars, then the Party that is responsible for paying the charges may (but is not required to) suspend implementation of this M2M coordination process (for a particular M2M Flowgate, or of the entire M2M coordination process) until the Parties are able to complete a review to ensure that both the process and the calculation of settlements resulting from the M2M coordination process are occurring in a manner that is both (a) consistent with this M2M Coordination Schedule, and (b) producing a just and reasonable result. The Party requesting suspension must identify specific concerns that require investigation within one business day of requesting suspension of the M2M coordination process. If, following their investigation, the Parties mutually agree that the M2M coordination process is (i) being implemented in a manner that is consistent with this M2M Coordination Schedule and (ii) producing a just and reasonable result, then the M2M coordination process shall be re-initiated as quickly as practicable. If the Parties are unable to mutually agree that the M2M coordination process was being implemented appropriately, or of the Parties are unable to mutually agree that the M2M coordination process was producing a just and reasonable result, the suspension (for a particular M2M Flowgate, or of the entire M2M coordination process) shall continue while the Parties engage in dispute resolution in accordance with Section 35.15 of this Agreement.

- 10.1.8 Suspension of M2M Settlement when a Request for Taps on NY-NJ PARs to Prevent Overuse is Refused. If a Party requests that taps be taken on any NY-NJ PAR to reduce the requesting Party's overuse of the other Party's transmission system, refusal by the other Party or its Transmission Owner(s) to permit taps to be taken to reduce overuse shall result in the NY-NJ PAR settlement component of M2M (see Section 8.3 above) being suspended until the tap request is granted.
- **Suspension of NY-NJ PAR Settlement due to Transmission Facility Outage(s).** The Parties shall suspend PAR settlements for a NY-NJ PAR when that NY-NJ PAR is out of service, is bypassed, or the RTOs mutually agree that a NY-NJ PAR is incapable of facilitating interchange.

No other Transmission Facility outage(s) will trigger suspension of NY-NJ PAR settlements under this Section 10.1.9.

10.1.10 Suspension of NY-NJ PAR Settlement due to a Stuck PAR

The Parties shall suspend PAR settlements for a NY-NJ PAR when the NY-NJ PAR cannot be adjusted due to physical or SCADA failure and either of the following two conditions occur:

1. The failure is on one of the A, B, C, 3500, or 4500 PARs, the flow on the PAR is below the Target flow for that PAR, or

2. The failure is on one of the E, F or O PARs, the flow on the PAR is above the Target flow for that PAR.

10.2 After-the-Fact Review to Determine M2M Settlement

Based on the communication and data exchange that has occurred in real-time between the Parties, there will be an opportunity to review the use of the M2M coordination process to verify it was an appropriate use of the M2M coordination process and subject to M2M settlement. The Parties will initiate the review as necessary to apply these conditions and settlements adjustments. The Parties will cooperate to review the data exchanged and used to determine M2M settlements and will mutually identify and resolve errors and anomalies in the calculations that determine the M2M settlements.

If the data exchanged for the M2M redispatch process was relied on by the Non-Monitoring RTO's dispatch to determine the shadow cost the Non-Monitoring RTO was dispatching to when providing relief at an M2M Flowgate, the data transmitted by the Monitoring RTO that was used to determine the Non-Monitoring RTO's shadow cost shall not be modified except by mutual agreement prior to calculating M2M settlements. Any necessary corrections to the data exchange shall be made for future M2M coordination.

10.3 Access to Data to Verify Market Flow Calculations

Each Party shall provide the other Party with data to enable the other Party independently to verify the results of the calculations that determine the M2M settlements under this M2M Coordination Schedule. A Party supplying data shall retain that data for two years from the date of the settlement invoice to which the data relates, unless there is a legal or regulatory requirement for a longer retention period. The method of exchange and the type of information to be exchanged pursuant to Section 35.7.1 of this Agreement shall be specified in writing. The Parties will cooperate to review the data and mutually identify or resolve errors and anomalies in the calculations that determine the M2M settlements. If one Party determines that it is required to self report a potential violation to the Commission's Office of Enforcement regarding its compliance with this M2M Coordination Schedule, the reporting Party shall inform, and provide a copy of the self report to, the other Party. Any such report provided by one Party to the other shall be Confidential Information.

11 <u>M2M Change Management Process</u>

11.1 Notice

Prior to changing any process that implements this M2M Schedule, the Party desiring the change shall notify the other Party in writing or via email of the proposed change. The notice shall include a complete and detailed description of the proposed change, the reason for the proposed change, and the impacts the proposed change is expected to have on the

implementation of the M2M coordination process, including M2M settlements under this M2M Schedule.

11.2 Opportunity to Request Additional Information

Following receipt of the Notice described in Section 11.1, the receiving Party may make reasonable requests for additional information/documentation from the other Party. Absent mutual agreement of the Parties, the submission of a request for additional information under this Section shall not delay the obligation to timely note any objection pursuant to Section 11.3, below.

11.3 Objection to Change

Within ten business days after receipt of the Notice described in Section 11.1 (or within such longer period of time as the Parties mutually agree), the receiving Party may notify in writing or via email the other Party of its disagreement with the proposed change. Any such notice must specifically identify and describe the concern(s) that required the receiving Party to object to the described change.

11.4 Implementation of Change

The Party proposing a change to its implementation of the M2M coordination process shall not implement such change until (a) it receives written or email notification from the other Party that the other Party concurs with the change, or (b) the ten business day notice period specified in Section 11.3 expires, or (c) completion of any dispute resolution process initiated pursuant to this Agreement.