Attachment B



# **NYISO Capacity Market Assessment**

**ICAP Working Group** 

August 28, 2014



- The NYISO is evaluating potential wholesale market changes to address fuel assurance, resource diversity/ performance, investment incentives
- Options under review include changes to the capacity market structure
  - Forward capacity procurement
  - Advanced retirement notification
  - Multi-year price lock-in
- NYISO also considering other market rule changes focused on incentives for performance, fuel assurance

### **Overview**



- Analysis Group (AG) has been asked to assess the potential changes to the <u>capacity</u> market through a qualitative and quantitative analysis
- AG will evaluate possible market changes through qualitative review and (where possible) quantitative impact analysis
  - Qualitative review will summarize potential benefits and drawbacks of changes to the market
  - Impact analysis will compare new market design option(s) versus "but-for" world (assuming no changes)
    - Assess differences in clearing prices, revenues to generators, costs to load
    - Review implications for resource/fuel mix and performance, reliability, environmental policy
    - Include assessment of impact of design changes on investment costs/incentives
  - Assessment will also include an estimate differences in costs and resources required to implement alternative capacity market design



- Changes under consideration by NYISO
- Context for AG workproduct
- Metrics of modeling/analysis
- AG's initial thoughts on modeling approach
- Testing of variability and uncertainty through scenarios/sensitivities
- Data needs
- Schedule and workproduct



#### **Changes Under Consideration**



- Uncertainty of revenues for investment in new system capacity resources
  - Short-term capacity markets
  - Short-notice retirements, possible growth in need to sustain uneconomic capacity needed for reliability
  - Declining sales (e.g., due to increases in energy efficiency, gridconnected renewable resources, distributed generation)
  - Lower margins (e.g., due to lower natural gas prices)
- Potential retirements of existing capacity
- Fuel assurance
  - Increased reliance on gas-fired capacity
  - Potential impacts of natural gas delivery system constraints
  - Oil availability, deliverability under stressed winter conditions
- Uncertainty in siting transmission projects





- Improve reliability from resource adequacy and system security perspectives:
  - Provide sufficient advanced notice of system needs (new generation, transmission)
  - Allow for orderly exit of uneconomic capacity, reduce/avoid need for contracts
  - Improve stability of financial incentives for new investment
  - Enhance incentives for resources to be available and perform when needed (operational performance, fuel certainty)



### Forward Capacity Market\*\*

- Voluntary auctions Y-5, Y-4
- Residual auction (if needed) Y-3
- Reconfiguration auctions (Y-2, Y-1, monthly?)
- Exit notification at Y-3
- 7-year "lock-in" of market price for new resources
- Other changes may be considered to provide incentives for performance
  - (Not reviewed in AG's analysis)

(\*\*based primarily on NYISO's 2009 FCM design discussions with its stakeholders)



### **Impact Modeling**

- All metrics evaluated as *differences* between the potential capacity market changes described above, and the status quo
- Purpose inform NYISO & stakeholder deliberations
  - Review challenges facing region, rationale for considering market changes
  - Qualitatively assess how changes could affect market and resource outcomes
  - Review potential benefits and drawbacks associated with changes
  - Quantify the magnitude of impacts where possible, discuss others directionally/qualitatively
  - Provide conclusions, recommendations based on research and analysis

### **Evaluation Metrics**



- Some metrics <u>quantitative</u>, from model outcomes, supplemental analysis measured as *differences* in:
  - Capacity market prices
  - Costs to load, revenue to generators
  - Resource mix, fuel mix, emissions
  - System average performance
  - Initial cost to implement changes and change in annual costs to administer market
- Others are <u>qualitative</u>, flow from interpretation of quantitative results and/or supplemental analysis
  - System reliability, resistance to fuel-supply disruption
  - Climate for new investment, economic retirement
  - Stability, predictability of energy and capacity market prices
  - Ability to manage increased variability in load from growth in grid-scale and behind-the-meter generation

## **Modeling Method**



#### A comparison of two futures, all else equal

#### Status quo

- Current capacity market structure
- Potential alternative capacity market structure, including:
  - Forward capacity market
  - Forward retirement notice
  - Price lock-in

### What changes?

- Unit net going-forward costs, affected by differences in cost of capital, assessment of risk
- To the extent that the potential alternative structure leads to differences in a unit's net going-forward costs, it would change the unit's offer in the capacity market, relative to the status quo



- End result two different capacity market supply curves, *possibly* leading to different capacity market outcomes
  - Clearing prices, quantities
  - Cost to load, revenues to resources
  - Resources that clear, do not clear
  - Fuel and resource mix
  - System average performance
- Secondary analyses, observations, conclusions flow from these results

 Scope – not a market forecast; rather, static model of possible *differences* in capacity market outcomes in a future year (2020), under various assumed conditions

### Scenarios

- Test sensitivity to variations in load, fuel prices, resource addition/attrition, industry/policy context
- Test sensitivity of results to variations in key modeling assumptions
- Will need to select a manageable number of scenarios that capture potential range of results

#### • Key data to be used

- Estimates of unit variable costs
- Estimates of unit fixed costs and investment costs (CONE for new units, expected upgrades or compliance investments for existing)
- Expected operations and market revenues



- Workproduct expected: Report, supporting summary materials
- Schedule
  - August, September
    - Finalize modeling approach, collect needed data, establish modeling inputs
    - Finish model construct, identify scenarios and sensitivities
  - September
    - Interview ISO-NE, PJM to gather information on cost to administer various capacity market designs
  - October
    - Generate results, prepare report



#### Paul J. Hibbard

Vice President, Analysis Group Inc. 111 Huntington Avenue, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 20199 phibbard@analysisgroup.com 617-425-8171