

6.4 Schedule 4 - Energy Imbalance Service

Energy Imbalance Service is provided when (1) a difference occurs between the scheduled and the actual delivery of Energy to a Load located within the NYCA over a single hour, or (2) a difference occurs between the scheduled and actual delivery of Energy from a POI within the NYCA to a neighboring control area in a single hour. The ISO must offer this service when the Transmission Service is used to serve Load within the NYCA or for an Export Transaction when the generation source is a Generator located in the NYCA. The Transmission Customer must purchase this service from the ISO. The charges for Energy Imbalance Service are set forth below.

6.4.1 Energy Imbalance Service Charges

For each Transmission Customer that has executed a Service Agreement under the ISO Services Tariff, Energy Imbalance Service is considered to be supplied by the Real-Time Market and will be charged at the Real-Time LBMP price determined pursuant to Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

For each Transmission Customer that is not a Customer under the ISO Services Tariff and is receiving service under Section 3 or 4 of this Tariff, the ISO shall establish a deviation band of +/- 1.5 percent (with a minimum of 2 MW) of the scheduled transaction to be applied hourly to any Energy imbalance that occurs as a result of the Transmission Customer's scheduled transaction(s). Parties should attempt to eliminate Energy imbalances within the limits of the deviation band within thirty (30) days or within such other reasonable period of time as may be established by the ISO that is generally accepted in the region and consistently adhered to by the ISO. If an Energy imbalance is not corrected within thirty (30) days or such other reasonable period of time as may be established by the ISO that is generally accepted in the region and

consistently adhered to by the ISO, the Transmission Customer will compensate the ISO for such service, subject to the charges set forth below. Also, Energy imbalances outside the deviation band will be subject to charges set forth below.

For hours when the Transmission Customer's Actual Energy Withdrawals are greater than that customer's scheduled Energy delivery and applicable tolerance band, the Transmission Customer shall pay to the ISO an amount equal to the greater of 150% of the Real-Time LBMP price at the Point of Delivery or \$100 per MWh. In the event that the Transmission Customer's Actual Energy delivery exceeds that customer's Actual Energy Withdrawals, the Transmission Customer shall not receive payment for such Energy.

Transmission Customers with imbalances may also be subject to charges for Regulation and Frequency Response, as described in Rate Schedule 3.

Energy imbalances resulting from inadvertent interchange between Control Areas will continue to be addressed by the procedures that Control Area operators currently use to address such imbalances. Any increase or decrease in costs resulting from pay back of accumulated inadvertent interchange will be included in the residual costs payment or the residual costs charge as calculated in Section 6.1.8 of Rate Schedule 1 of this ISO OATT..

6.4.2 Inadvertent Energy Management Requirements

For Energy imbalances resulting from inadvertent interchange between Control Areas, the ISO shall: (i) accurately account for inadvertent Energy interchange, through daily schedule verification and the use of reliable metering equipment; (ii) minimize unintentional inadvertent accumulation in accordance with NERC and NPCC policies; and (iii) minimize accumulated inadvertent Energy balances in accordance with NERC and NPCC policies.

The ISO shall reduce accumulated inadvertent Energy balances with other Control Areas by one or both of the following methods: (i) scheduling interchange payback with another Control Area as an interchange schedule between Control Areas; and (ii) unilaterally offsetting the tie-line interchange schedule when such action will assist in correcting an existing time error.

Inadvertent interchange accumulated during On-Peak hours shall be paid back during On-Peak hours. Inadvertent interchange accumulated during Off-Peak hours shall be paid back during Off-Peak hours. In either case, payback is made with Energy “in-kind.”

6.4.3 Monthly Meter Reading Adjustments

6.4.3.1 Facilities Internal to the NYCA

The ISO shall develop rules and procedures to implement adjustments to meter readings to reflect the differences between the integrated instantaneous metering data utilized by the ISO for SCD and actual data for internal facilities as recorded by billing metering.

6.4.3.2 Facilities on Boundaries with Neighboring Control Areas

The correction required for external Inadvertent Energy Accounting facilities on Interfaces between the NYCA and other Control Areas will be done using Inadvertent Energy Accounting techniques to be established by the ISO in accordance with NERC and other established reliability criteria.

6.4.4 Self-Supply

All Inadvertent Energy Accounting services and Energy Imbalance Services shall be purchased from the ISO.

6.4.5 Verification of Adjustments

The ISO shall provide all necessary meter reading adjustment information required by the Transmission Owners to allow them to verify that meter reading adjustments were performed in accordance with ISO Procedures.

6.7 Schedule 7 - Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service

The charges for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service are described below. Section 2.7 of this Tariff contains the billing and settlement terms and identifies which customers are responsible for paying each of the charges. Charges are based on actual transmission use with billing units measured in MWh.

6.7.1 Transmission Usage Charge (“TUC”)

The monthly TUC (in \$) shall be the sum of the hourly values for each hour in the month of (i) the hourly Day-Ahead TUCs for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market, and (ii) the hourly Real-Time TUCs for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service scheduled no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day.

6.7.1.1 The hourly Day-Ahead TUC shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Hourly Day-Ahead TUC} = \text{Scheduled Amount} \times (\text{DALBMP}_{\text{DP}} - \text{DALBMP}_{\text{RP}})$$

Where:

Scheduled Amount is the quantity of MWh scheduled for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service in the Day-Ahead Market by the Transmission Customer for that hour.

DALBMP_{DP} is the Day-Ahead LBMP price of Energy (in \$/MWh) in that hour measured at the Point of Delivery (or withdrawal) as specified in the Transmission Service schedule. The method used to calculate Day-Ahead LBMP is described in Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

DALBMP_{RP} is the Day-Ahead LBMP price of Energy (in \$/MWh) in that hour measured at the Point of Receipt (or injection) as specified in the Transmission Service schedule. The method used to calculate Day-Ahead LBMP is described in Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

6.7.1.2 The hourly Real-Time TUC shall be calculated as follows:

$$TUC \text{ for hour } k \text{ For transaction } j = \frac{1}{3600} \sum_{i=1}^n MW_{ij} * t_i * (LBMP_{ij}^r - LBMP_{ij}^s)$$

where:

MW_{ij} = MW of the transaction for SCD execution interval i, for transaction j

n = Number of SCD intervals in an hour

t_i = Number of seconds in interval I which are part of hour k

$LBMP_{ij}^r$ = LBMP at withdrawal location r for SCD execution interval I, for transaction j

$LBMP_{ij}^s$ = LBMP at injection locations for SCD execution interval I, for transaction j

3600 = number of seconds in each hour

6.7.1.2.1 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is less than the Scheduled Amount, the ISO shall credit that Transmission Customer for the difference at the Real-Time TUC.

6.7.1.2.2 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is greater than

the Scheduled Amount, the ISO shall charge that Transmission Customer for the difference at the Real-Time TUC.

6.7.1.3 Exceptions to the requirement to pay the hourly TUC.

6.7.1.3.1 The hourly TUC shall not apply in any hour in which the ISO physically and financially Curtails the customer's scheduled Transmission Service during the Dispatch Day.

6.7.1.3.2 Transmission Customers with Grandfathered Rights that take Transmission Service in the Day-Ahead Market that corresponds to that customer's Grandfathered Rights shall pay for Marginal Losses associated with the hourly Day-Ahead LBMP in lieu of the TUC in accordance with Attachment K.

6.7.2 Marginal Losses

Payments for Marginal Losses (the "Marginal Losses Cost") shall equal the sum of the Hourly Day-Ahead Marginal Losses Cost and any adjustment to that cost as a result of subsequent schedule changes in the Real-Time Market (the "Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost")

6.7.2.1 Hourly Day-Ahead Marginal Losses Cost is calculated as follows:

Hourly Day-Ahead Marginal Losses Cost = Scheduled Amount x (DAMLC_{DP} - DAMLC_{RP})

Where:

DAMLC_{DP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP measured at the Delivery Point identified in the Transmission Customer's schedule. The Day-Ahead LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

DAMLC_{RP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP measured at the Receipt Point identified in the Transmission Customer's schedule. The Day-Ahead LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

6.7.2.2 Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost is calculated as follows:

Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost = Scheduled Amount x (RTMLC_{DP} - RTMLC_{RP})

Where:

RTMLC_{DP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP measured at the Delivery Point identified in the Transmission Service schedule. The Real-Time LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

RTMLC_{RP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP measured at the Receipt Point identified in the Transmission Service schedule. The Real-Time LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

6.7.2.2.1 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is less than the Scheduled Amount in the Day-Ahead Market, the ISO shall credit that Transmission Customer for the difference in Marginal Losses Cost using the Real-Time LBMP Marginal Losses Component.

6.7.2.2.2 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is greater than the Scheduled Amount in the Day-Ahead Market, the ISO shall charge that Transmission Customer for the difference in Marginal Losses Cost using the Real-Time LBMP Marginal Losses Component.

6.7.3 Wholesale Transmission Service Charge (“WTSC”)

The Wholesale Transmission Service Charge (in \$) is calculated as follows:

6.7.3.1 For Exports and Wheels Through

$$\text{WTSC} = \text{Schedule Amount} \times \text{WTSC Rate}$$

Where:

Scheduled Amount is the quantity of MWh scheduled in each hour for that month for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service by the Transmission Customer.

WTSC Rate is the Wholesale Transmission Service Charge Rate or combination of rates that applies to the Transmission Customer’s Transmission Service as determined in Attachment H.

6.7.3.2 For Imports and Internal Wheels

$$\text{WTSC} = \text{Actual Energy Withdrawals} \times \text{WTSC Rate}$$

Where:

Actual MWh Withdrawal is the quantity of MWh withdrawn at the Point of Delivery identified in the Transmission Customer’s Transmission Service schedule, in an hour.

The amount shall be determined by: (1) measurement with a revenue-quality meter; (2)

assessment in accordance with a Transmission Owner's PSC-approved retail access program or LIPA's lawfully established retail access program where the customer's demand is not measured by a revenue-quality meter; or (3) using a method agreed to by the customer and the applicable Transmission Owner until such time as a revenue-quality meter is available.

6.7.4 Retail Transmission Service Charge ("RTSC")

The rates and charges for retail transmission service are described in Part 5 of this Tariff.

6.7.5 NYPA Transmission Adjustment Charge ("NTAC")

LSEs serving retail access Load will be charged an NTAC consistent with each Transmission Owner's retail access program pursuant to Section 2.7 of this Tariff. The Transmission Customer shall pay to the ISO each month the NTAC. NTAC (in \$) is calculated as follows:

6.7.5.1 For Exports and Wheels Through

$$\text{NTAC} = \text{Scheduled Amount} \times \text{NTAC Rate}$$

Where:

NTAC Rate is the rate listed and described in Attachment H.

Scheduled Amount is the amount of MWh scheduled in each hour for that month for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service by the Transmission Customer.

6.7.5.2 For Imports and Internal Wheels

$$\text{NTAC} = \text{Actual MWh Withdrawals} \times \text{NTAC Rate}$$

Where:

NTAC Rate is the rate listed and described in Attachment H.

Actual MWh Withdrawal is the quantity of MWh withdrawn at the Point of Delivery identified in the Transmission Customer's Transmission Service schedule, in an hour.

The amount shall be determined by: (1) measurement with a revenue-quality meter; (2) assessment in accordance with a Transmission Owner's PSC-approved retail access program or LIPA's lawfully established retail access program where the customer's demand is not measured by a revenue-quality meter; or (3) using a method agreed to by the customer and the applicable Transmission Owner until such time as a revenue-quality meter is available.

6.7.6 Resales

The rates and rules governing charges and discounts stated above shall not apply to resales of transmission service, compensation for which shall be governed by Section 23.1 of the Tariff.

6.8 Schedule 8 - Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service

The charges for Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service are described below.

Section 2.7 of this Tariff contains the billing and settlement terms and identifies which customers are responsible for paying each of the charges. Charges are based on actual transmission use with billing units measured in MWh.

6.8.1 Marginal Losses

Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost} = \text{Scheduled Amount} \times (\text{RTMLC}_{\text{DP}} - \text{RTMLC}_{\text{RP}})$$

Where:

RTMLC_{DP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP measured at the Delivery Point identified in the Transmission Service schedule. The Real-Time LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

RTMLC_{RP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP measured at the Receipt Point identified in the Transmission Service schedule. The Real-Time LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

6.8.2 Wholesale Transmission Service Charge ("WTSC")

The Wholesale Transmission Service Charge (in \$) is calculated as follows:

6.8.2.1 For Exports and Wheels Through

$$\text{WTSC} = \text{Schedule Amount} \times \text{WTSC Rate}$$

Where:

Scheduled Amount is the quantity of MWh scheduled in each hour for that month for Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service by the Transmission Customer.

WTSC Rate is the Wholesale Transmission Service Charge Rate or combination of rates that applies to the Transmission Customer's Transmission Service as determined in Attachment H.

6.8.2.2 For Imports and Internal Wheels

WTSC = Actual Energy Withdrawals x WTSC Rate

Where:

Actual MWh Withdrawal is the quantity of MWh withdrawn at the Point of Delivery identified in the Transmission Customer's Transmission Service schedule, in an hour.

The amount shall be determined by (1) measurement with a revenue-quality meter; (2) assessment in accordance with a Transmission Owner's PSC-approved retail access program or LIPA's lawfully established retail access program where the customer's demand is not measured by a revenue-quality meter; or (3) using a method agreed to by the customer and the applicable Transmission Owner until such time as a revenue-quality meter is available.

6.8.3 Retail Transmission Service Charge ("RTSC")

The rates and charges for retail transmission service are described in Section 5 of this Tariff.

6.8.4 NYPA Transmission Adjustment Charge ("NTAC")

LSEs serving retail access load will be charged an NTAC consistent with each Transmission Owner's retail access program pursuant to Section 2.7 of this Tariff. The

Transmission Customer shall pay to the ISO each month the NTAC. NTAC (in \$) is calculated as follows:

6.8.4.1 For Exports and Wheels Through

$$\text{NTAC} = \text{Scheduled Amount} \times \text{NTAC Rate}$$

Where:

NTAC Rate is the rate listed and described in Attachment H.

Scheduled Amount is the amount of MWh scheduled in each hour for that month for Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service by the Transmission Customer.

6.8.4.2 For Imports and Internals Wheels

$$\text{NTAC} = \text{Actual MWh Withdrawals} \times \text{NTAC Rate}$$

Where:

NTAC Rate is the rate listed and described in Attachment H.

Actual MWh Withdrawal is the quantity of MWh withdrawn at the Point of Delivery identified in the Transmission Customer's Transmission Service schedule, in an hour.

The amount shall be determined by (1) measurement with a revenue-quality real-time meter; (2) assessment in accordance with a Transmission Owner's PSC-approved retail access program or LIPA's lawfully established retail access program where the customer's demand is not measured by a revenue-quality real-time meter; or (3) using a method agreed to by the customer and the applicable Transmission Owner until such time as a revenue-quality real-time meter is available.

6.8.5 Resales

The rates and rules governing charges and discounts stated above shall not apply to resales of transmission service, compensation for which shall be governed by Section 23.1 of the Tariff.

6.9 Schedule 9 - Network Integration Transmission Service

The charges for Network Integration Transmission Service are described below. Article 2.7 of this Tariff contains the billing and settlement terms and identifies which customers are responsible for paying each of the charges. Charges are based on actual transmission use with billing units measured in MWh.

6.9.1 Transmission Usage Charge (“TUC”)

The monthly TUC (in \$) shall be the sum of the hourly values for each hour in the month of (i) the hourly Day-Ahead TUCs for Network Integration Transmission Service scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market, and (ii) the hourly Real-Time TUCs for Network Integration Transmission Service scheduled no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day.

6.9.1.1 The hourly Day-Ahead TUC shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Hourly Day-Ahead TUC} = \text{Scheduled Amount} \times (\text{DALBMP}_{\text{DP}} - \text{DALBMP}_{\text{RP}})$$

Where:

Scheduled Amount is the quantity of MWh scheduled for Network Integration Transmission Service in the Day-Ahead Market by the Transmission Customer for that hour.

DALBMP_{DP} is the Day-Ahead LBMP price of energy (in \$/MWh) in that hour measured at the Point of Delivery (or withdrawal) as specified in the Transmission Service schedule. The method used to calculate Day-Ahead LBMP is described in Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

DALBMP_{RP} is the Day-Ahead LBMP price of energy (in \$/MWh) in that hour measured at the Point of Receipt (or injection) as specified in the Transmission Service schedule. The method used to calculate Day-Ahead LBMP is described in Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

6.9.1.2 The hourly Real-Time TUC shall be calculated as follows:

$$TUC \text{ for hour } k \text{ For transaction } j = \frac{1}{3600} \sum_{i=1}^n MW_{ij} * t_i * (LBMP_{ij}^r - LBMP_{ij}^s)$$

Where:

MW_{ij} = MW of the transaction for SCD execution interval i, for transaction j

n = Number of SCD intervals in an hour

t_i = Number of seconds in interval i which are part of hour k

$LBMP_{ij}^r$ = LBMP at withdrawal location r for SCD execution interval i, for transaction j

$LBMP_{ij}^s$ = LBMP at injection locations for SCD execution interval i, for transaction j

3600 = number of seconds in each hour

6.9.1.2.1 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is less than the Scheduled Amount, the ISO shall credit that Transmission Customer for the difference at the Real-Time TUC.

6.9.1.2.2 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is greater than

the Scheduled Amount, the ISO shall charge that Transmission Customer for the difference at the Real-Time TUC.

6.9.1.3 Exceptions to the requirement to pay the hourly TUC.

6.9.1.3.1 The hourly TUC shall not apply in any hour in which the ISO physically and financially Curtails the customer's scheduled Transmission Service during the Dispatch Day.

6.9.1.3.2 Transmission Customers with Grandfathered Rights that take Transmission Service in the Day-Ahead Market that corresponds to that customer's Grandfathered Rights shall, subject to a Section 205 filing under the Federal Power Act, pay for Marginal Losses associated with the hourly Day-Ahead LBMP in lieu of the TUC.

6.9.2 Marginal Losses

Payments for Marginal Losses (the "Marginal Losses Cost") shall equal the sum of the Hourly Day-Ahead Marginal Losses Cost and any adjustment to that cost as a result of subsequent schedule changes in the Real-Time Market (the "Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost")

6.9.2.1 Hourly Day-Ahead Marginal Losses Cost is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Hourly Day-Ahead Marginal Losses Cost} = \text{Scheduled Amount} \times (\text{DAMLC}_{\text{DP}} - \text{DAMLC}_{\text{RP}})$$

Where:

DAMLC_{DP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP measured at the Delivery Point identified in the Transmission Customer's

schedule. The Day-Ahead LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

DAMLC_{RP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP measured at the Receipt Point identified in the Transmission Customer's schedule. The Day-Ahead LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

6.9.2.2 Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost is calculated as follows:

Hourly Real-Time Marginal Losses Cost = Scheduled Amount x (RTMLC_{DP} - RTMLC_{RP})

Where:

RTMLC_{DP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP measured at the Delivery Point identified in the Transmission Service schedule. The Real-Time LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

RTMLC_{RP} is the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP measured at the Receipt Point identified in the Transmission Service schedule. The Real-Time LBMP is calculated in accordance with Attachment B of the Services Tariff.

6.9.2.2.1 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is less than the Scheduled Amount in the Day-Ahead Market, the ISO shall credit that Transmission Customer for the difference in

Marginal Losses Cost using the Real-Time LBMP Marginal Losses Component.

6.9.2.2.2 If the Transmission Customer submits a Transmission Service schedule, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market schedule but no later than ninety (90) minutes prior to such hour in the Dispatch Day, for an amount that is greater than the Scheduled Amount in the Day-Ahead Market, the ISO shall charge that Transmission Customer for the difference in Marginal Losses Cost using the Real-Time LBMP Marginal Losses Component.

6.9.3 Wholesale Transmission Service Charge (“WTSC”)

The Wholesale Transmission Service Charge (in \$) is calculated as follows:

6.9.3.1. For Exports and Wheels Through

WTSC = Schedule Amount x WTSC Rate

Where:

Scheduled Amount is the quantity of MWh scheduled in each hour for that month for Network Integration Transmission Service by the Transmission Customer.

WTSC Rate is the Wholesale Transmission Service Charge Rate or combination of rates that applies to the Transmission Customer’s Transmission Service as determined in Attachment H.

6.9.3.2. For Imports and Internal Wheels

WTSC = Actual Energy Withdrawals x WTSC Rate

Where:

Actual MWh Withdrawal is the quantity of MWh withdrawn at the Point of Delivery identified in the Transmission Customer's Transmission Service schedule, in an hour. The amount shall be determined by: (1) measurement with a revenue-quality meter; (2) assessment in accordance with a Transmission Owner's PSC-approved retail access program or LIPA's lawfully established retail access program where the customer's demand is not measured by a revenue-quality meter; or (3) using a method agreed to by the customer and the applicable Transmission Owner until such time as a revenue-quality meter is available.

6.9.4 Retail Transmission Service Charge ("RTSC")

The rates and charges for retail transmission service are described in Section 5 of this Tariff.

6.9.5 NYPA Transmission Adjustment Charge ("NTAC")

LSEs serving retail access Load will be charged an NTAC consistent with each Transmission Owner's retail access program pursuant to Section 2.7 of this Tariff. The Transmission Customer shall pay to the ISO each month the NTAC. NTAC (in \$) is calculated as follows:

6.9.5.1 For Exports and Wheels Through

$$\text{NTAC} = \text{Scheduled Amount} \times \text{NTAC Rate}$$

Where:

NTAC Rate is the rate listed and described in Attachment H.

Scheduled Amount is the amount of MWh scheduled in each hour for that month for Network Integration Transmission Service by the Transmission Customer.

6.9.5.2 For Imports and Internals Wheels

$$\text{NTAC} = \text{Actual MWh Withdrawals} \times \text{NTAC Rate}$$

Where:

NTAC Rate is the rate listed and described in Attachment H.

Actual MWh Withdrawal is the quantity of MWh withdrawn at the Point of Delivery identified in the Transmission Customer's Transmission Service schedule, in an hour. The amount shall be determined by: (1) measurement with a revenue-quality meter; (2) assessment in accordance with a Transmission Owner's PSC-approved retail access program or LIPA's lawfully established retail access program where the customer's demand is not measured by a revenue-quality meter; or (3) using a method agreed to by the customer and the applicable Transmission Owner until such time as a revenue-quality meter is available.

16.1 See Attachment B to the Services Tariff for provisions related to the LBMP Calculation

16.2 Accounting for Transmission Losses

16.2.1 Charges

Subject to Attachment K of this Tariff, the ISO shall charge all Transmission Customers for transmission system losses based on the marginal cost of losses on either a bus or zonal basis, described below.

16.2.1.1 Loss Matrix

The ISO's RTD software will use a power flow model and penalty factors to estimate losses incurred in performing generation dispatch and billing functions for losses.

16.2.1.2 Residual Loss Payment

The ISO will determine the difference between the payments by Transmission Customers for losses and the payments to Suppliers for losses associated with all Transactions (LBMP Market or Transmission Service under Sections 3, 4, and 5 of this Tariff) for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets. The accounting for losses at the margin may result in the collection of more revenue than is required to compensate the Generators for the Energy they produced to supply the actual losses in the system. This over collection is termed residual loss payments. The ISO shall calculate residual loss payments revenue on an hourly basis and will credit them against the ISO's Residual Adjustment (See Rate Schedule 1 of the ISO OATT).

16.2.2 Computation of Residual Loss Payments

16.2.2.1 Marginal Losses Component LBMP

The ISO shall utilize the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP on an Internal bus, an External bus, or a zone basis for computing the marginal contribution of each Transaction to the system losses. The computation of these quantities is described in this Attachment.

16.2.2.2 Marginal Losses Component Day-Ahead

The ISO shall utilize the Marginal Losses Component computed by computing the marginal contributions of each Transaction in the Day-Ahead Market.

16.2.2.3 Marginal Losses Component Real-Time

The ISO shall utilize the Marginal Losses Component calculated by the (i) RTD programs in most cases; (ii) by RTC_{15} , for External Transactions; or (iii) during intervals when the conditions specified in Part 17.1 of Attachment B of the Services Tariff exist at Proxy Generator Buses, the RTC program, for computing the Marginal Losses Component associated with each Transaction scheduled in the Real-Time Market (or deviations from Transactions scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market). The computations will be performed on an RTD-interval basis and aggregated to an hourly total.

16.2.2.4 Charges

Charges to reflect the impact of Energy consumed by each Load, or transmitted by each Transmission Customer on Marginal Losses Component shall be determined as follows. Each of these charges may be negative.

16.2.2.5 Day-Ahead Charges

As part of the LBMP charged to all LSEs scheduled Day-Ahead to purchase Energy from the LBMP Market, the ISO shall charge each such LSE the product of: (a) the withdrawal scheduled Day-Ahead in each Load Zone by that LSE in each hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP in that Load Zone, in \$/MWh.

As part of the TUC charged to all Transmission Customers whose transmission service has been scheduled Day-Ahead, the ISO shall charge each such Transmission Customer the product of (a) the amount of Energy scheduled Day-Ahead to be withdrawn by that Transmission Customer in each hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead

LBMP at the Point of Delivery (*i.e.*, Load Zone in which Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn or the bus where Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn under if Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn at a location outside the NYCA), minus the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP at the Point of Receipt, in \$/MWh.

16.2.2.6 Real-Time Charges

As part of the LBMP charged to all LSEs that purchase Energy from the Real-Time LBMP Market, the ISO shall charge each such LSE the product of (a) the Actual Energy Withdrawals by that LSE in each Load Zone in each hour, minus the Energy withdrawal scheduled Day-Ahead in that Load Zone by that LSE for that hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP in that Load Zone, in \$/MWh.

As part of the TUC charged to all Transmission Customers whose transmission service was scheduled after the determination of the Day-Ahead schedule, or who schedule additional transmission service after the determination of the Day-Ahead schedule, the ISO shall charge each such Transmission Customer the product of (a) Actual Energy Withdrawals by RTD in each hour, minus the amount of Energy scheduled Day-Ahead to be withdrawn by that Transmission Customer in that hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP at the Point of Delivery (*i.e.*, the Load Zone in which Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn or the external bus where Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn if Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn at a location outside the NYCA), minus the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP at the Point of Receipt, in \$/MWh.

4.4 Real-Time Markets and Schedules

4.4.1 Real-Time Commitment (“RTC”)

4.4.1.1 Overview

RTC will make binding unit commitment and de-commitment decisions for the periods beginning fifteen minutes (in the case of Resources that can respond in ten minutes) and thirty minutes (in the case of Resources that can respond in thirty minutes) after the scheduled posting time of each RTC run, will provide advisory commitment information for the remainder of the two and a half hour optimization period, and will produce binding schedules for External Transactions to begin at the start of each hour. RTC will co-optimize to solve simultaneously for all Load, Operating Reserves and Regulation Service requirements and to minimize the total as-bid production costs over its optimization timeframe. RTC will consider SCUC’s Resource commitment for the day, load and loss forecasts that RTC itself will produce each quarter hour, binding transmission constraints, and all Real-Time Bids and Bid parameters submitted pursuant to Section 4.4.1.2 below.

4.4.1.2 Bids and Other Requests

After the Day-Ahead schedule is published and no later than seventy-five (75) minutes before each hour (or no later than eighty-five minutes before each hour for Bids to schedule External Transactions at the Proxy Generator Buses associated with the Cross-Sound Scheduled Line, the Neptune Scheduled Line, or the Linden VFT Scheduled Line), Customers may submit Real-Time Bids into RTC for real-time evaluation.

4.4.1.2.1 Real-Time Bids to Supply Energy and Ancillary Services

Intermittent Power Resources that depend on wind as their fuel submitting new or revised offers to supply Energy shall bid as ISO-Committed Flexible and shall not include a Minimum Generation Bid or a Start-Up Bid. Eligible Customers may submit new or revised Bids to supply Energy, Operating Reserves and/or Regulation Service. Customers that submit such Bids may specify different Bid parameters in RTC than they did Day-Ahead. Incremental Energy Bids may be submitted for ISO-Committed Fixed Generators, ISO-Committed Flexible Generators and Demand Side Resources, and Self-Committed Flexible Generators that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market or the mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, for portions of the Capacity of such Resources that were scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market, if not otherwise prohibited pursuant to other provisions of the tariff. Minimum Generation Bids and Start-Up Bids for any hour in which such Resources received a Day-Ahead Energy schedule may not exceed the Minimum Generation Bids and Start-up Bids submitted for those Resources in the Day-Ahead Market. Additionally, Real-Time Minimum Run Qualified Gas Turbine Customers shall not increase their previously submitted Real-Time Incremental Energy Bids, Minimum Generation Bids, or Start-Up Bids within 135 minutes of the dispatch hour. Bids to supply Energy or Ancillary Services shall be subject to the rules set forth in Section 4.2.1 above and in Attachment D to this ISO Services Tariff.

Generators that did not submit a Day-Ahead Bid for a given hour may offer to be ISO-Committed Flexible, Self-Committed Flexible, Self-Committed Fixed or, with ISO approval, as ISO-Committed Fixed in real-time. Demand Side Resources that did not submit a Day-Ahead Bid to provide Operating Reserves or Regulation Service for a given hour or that submitted a Day-Ahead Bid to provide Operating Reserves or Regulation Service but did not receive a Day-

Ahead schedule for a given hour may offer to provide Operating Reserves or Regulation Service as ISO-Committed Flexible for that hour in the Real-Time Market provided, however, that the Demand Side Resource shall have an Energy price Bid no lower than \$75 /MW hour.

Generators that submitted a Day-Ahead Bid but did not receive a Day-Ahead schedule for a given hour may change their bidding mode for that hour to be ISO-Committed Flexible, Self-Committed Flexible, Self-Committed Fixed or, with ISO approval, ISO-Committed Fixed in real-time without restriction.

Generators that received a Day-Ahead schedule for a given hour may not change their bidding mode between Day-Ahead and real-time provided, however, that Generators that were scheduled Day-Ahead in Self-Committed Fixed mode may switch, with ISO approval, to ISO-Committed Fixed bidding mode in real-time. Generators that were scheduled Day-Ahead in ISO-Committed Fixed mode will be scheduled as Self-Committed Fixed in the Real-Time Market unless, with ISO approval, they change their bidding mode to ISO-Committed Fixed.

A Generator with a real time physical operating problem that makes it impossible for it to operate in the bidding mode in which it was scheduled Day-Ahead should notify the NYISO.

Generators and Demand Side Resources may not submit separate Operating Reserves Availability Bids in real-time and will instead automatically be assigned a real-time Operating Reserves Availability Bid of zero for the amount of Operating Reserves they are capable of providing in light of their response rate (as determined under Rate Schedule 4).

4.4.1.2.2 Bids Associated with Internal and External Bilateral Transactions

Customers may seek to modify Bilateral Transactions that were previously scheduled Day-Ahead or propose new Bilateral Transactions, including External Transactions, for economic evaluation by RTC, provided however, that Bilateral Transactions with Trading Hubs

as their POWs that were previously scheduled Day-Ahead may not be modified. Bids associated with Internal Bilateral Transactions shall be subject to the rules set forth above in Section 4.2.1.7.

Except as noted in Attachment N to this ISO Services Tariff, Sink Price Cap Bids or Decremental Bids for External Transactions may be submitted into RTC up to seventy five minutes before the hour in which the External Transaction would flow. External Transaction Bids must have a one hour duration, must start and stop on the hour, and must have constant magnitude for the hour. Intra-hour schedule changes, or Bid modifications, associated with External Transactions will not be accommodated.

4.4.1.2.3 Self-Commitment Requests

Self-Committed Flexible Resources must provide the ISO with schedules of their expected minimum operating points in quarter hour increments. Self-Committed Fixed Resources must provide their expected actual operating points in quarter hour increments or, with ISO approval, bid as an ISO-Committed Fixed Generator.

4.4.1.2.4 ISO-Committed Fixed

The ability to use the ISO-Committed Fixed bidding mode in the Real-Time Market shall be subject to ISO approval pursuant to procedures, which shall be published by the ISO.

Generators that do not have the communications systems, operational control mechanisms or hardware to be able to respond to five-minute dispatch basepoints are eligible to bid as ISO-Committed in the Real-Time Market. Real-Time Bids by ISO-Committed Fixed Generators shall identify variable Energy price Bids, consisting of up to eleven monotonically increasing, constant cost incremental Energy steps, and other parameters described in Attachment D of this ISO Services Tariff and the ISO Procedures. Real-Time Bids by ISO-Committed Fixed

Generators shall also include Minimum Generation Bids and hourly Start-Up Bids. ISO-Committed Fixed Bids shall specify that the Generator is offering to be ISO-Committed Fixed.

RTC shall schedule ISO-Committed Fixed Generators.

4.4.1.3 External Transaction Scheduling

RTC₁₅ will schedule External Transactions on an hour-ahead basis as part of its development of a co-optimized least-bid cost real-time commitment. RTC will alert the ISO when it appears that scheduled External Transactions need to be reduced for reliability reasons but will not automatically Curtail them. Curtailment decisions will be made by the ISO, guided by the information that RTC provides, pursuant to the rules established by Attachment B of this ISO Services Tariff and the ISO Procedures.

4.4.1.4 Posting Commitment/De-Commitment and External Transaction Scheduling Decisions

Except as specifically noted in Section 4.4.2 and 4.4.3 of this ISO Services Tariff, RTC will make all Resource commitment and de-commitment decisions. RTC will make all economic commitment/de-commitment decisions based upon available offers assuming Suppliers internal to the NYCA have a one-hour minimum run time; provided however, Real-Time Minimum Run Qualified Gas Turbines shall be assumed to have a two-hour minimum run time.

RTC will produce advisory commitment information and advisory real-time prices. RTC will make decisions and post information in a series of fifteen-minute “runs” which are described below.

RTC₁₅ will begin at the start of the first hour of the RTC co-optimization period and will post its commitment, de-commitment, and External Transaction scheduling decisions no later than fifteen minutes after the start of that hour. During the RTC₁₅ run, RTC will:

- (i) Commit Resources with 10-minute start-up times that should be synchronized by the time that the results of the next RTC run are posted so that they will be synchronized and running at their minimum generation levels by that time;
- (ii) Commit Resources with 30-minute start-up times that should be synchronized by the time that the results of the RTC run following the next RTC run are posted so that they will be synchronized and running at their minimum generation levels by that time;
- (iii) De-commit Resources that should be disconnected from the network by the time that the results of the next RTC run are posted so that they will be disconnected by that time;
- (iv) Issue advisory commitment and de-commitment guidance for periods more than thirty minutes in the future and advisory dispatch information;
- (v) Schedule economic External Transactions to run during the entirety of the next hour; and
- (vi) Schedule ISO-Committed Fixed Resources.

All subsequent RTC runs in the hour, i.e., RTC_{30} , RTC_{45} , and RTC_{00} will begin executing at fifteen minutes before their designated posting times (for example, RTC_{30} will begin in the fifteenth minute of the hour), and will take the following steps:

- (i) Commit Resources with 10-minute start-up times that should be synchronized by the time that the results of the next RTC run are posted so that they will be synchronized and running at that time;

- (ii) Commit Resources with 30-minute start-up times that should be synchronized by the time that the results of the RTC run following the next RTC run are posted so that they will be synchronized and running at that time;
- (iii) De-commit Resources that should be disconnected from the network by the time that the results of the next RTC run are posted so that they will be disconnected at that time;
- (iv) Issue advisory commitment, de-commitment, and dispatching guidance for the period from thirty minutes in the future until the end of the RTC co-optimization period;
- (v) Either reaffirm that the External Transactions scheduled by RTC₁₅ to flow in the next hour should flow, or inform the ISO that External Transactions may need to be reduced; and
- (vi) Schedule ISO-Committed Fixed Resources.

4.4.1.5 External Transaction Settlements

RTC₁₅ will calculate the Real-Time LBMP for all External Transactions if constraints at the interface associated with that External Transaction are binding. In addition, RTC₁₅ will calculate Real-Time LBMPs at Proxy Generator Buses for any hour in which: (i) proposed economic Transactions over the Interface between the NYCA and the External Control Area that the Proxy Generator Bus is associated with would exceed the Available Transfer Capability for the Proxy Generator Bus or for that Interface; (ii) proposed interchange schedule changes pertaining to the NYCA as a whole would exceed any Ramp Capacity limits in place for the NYCA as a whole; or (iii) proposed interchange schedule changes pertaining to the Interface between the NYCA and the External Control Area that the Proxy Generator Bus is associated

with would exceed any Ramp Capacity limit imposed by the ISO for the Proxy Generator Bus or for that Interface. Finally, Real-Time LBMPs will be determined at certain times at Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Buses and Proxy Generator Buses associated with designated Scheduled Lines that are subject to the Special Pricing Rules as is described in Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff.

Real-Time LBMPs will be calculated by RTD for all other purposes, including for pricing External Transactions during intervals when the interface associated with an External Transaction is not binding pursuant to Section 4.4.2.2.

4.4.2 Real-Time Dispatch

4.4.2.1 Overview

The Real-Time Dispatch will make dispatching decisions, send Base Point Signals to Internal Generators and Demand Side Resources, calculate Real-Time Market clearing prices for Energy, Operating Reserves, and Regulation Service, and establish real-time schedules for those products on a five-minute basis, starting at the beginning of each hour. The Real-Time Dispatch will not make commitment decisions and will not consider start-up costs in any of its dispatching or pricing decisions, except as specifically provided in Section 4.4.2.3 below. Each Real-Time Dispatch run will co-optimize to solve simultaneously for Load, Operating Reserves, and Regulation Service and to minimize the total cost of production over its bid optimization horizon (which may be fifty, fifty-five, or sixty minutes long depending on where the run falls in the hour.) In addition to producing a binding schedule for the next five minutes, each Real-Time Dispatch run will produce advisory schedules for the remaining four time steps of its bid-optimization horizon (which may be five, ten, or fifteen minutes long depending on where the

run falls in the hour). RTD will use the most recent system information and the same set of Bids and constraints that are considered by RTC.

4.4.2.2 Calculating Real-Time Market LBMPs and Advisory Prices

With the exceptions noted above in Section 4.4.1.5, RTD shall calculate *ex ante* Real-Time LBMPs at each Generator bus, and for each Load Zone in each RTD cycle, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff. RTD will also calculate and post advisory Real-Time LBMPs for the next four quarter hours in accordance with the procedures set forth in Attachment B.

4.4.2.3 Real-Time Pricing Rules for Scheduling Ten Minute Resources

RTD may commit and dispatch, for pricing purposes, Resources meeting Minimum Generation Levels and capable of starting within ten minutes (“eligible Resources”) when necessary to meet load. Eligible Resources committed and dispatched by RTD for pricing purposes may be physically started through normal ISO operating processes. In the RTD cycle in which RTD commits and dispatches an eligible Resource, RTD will consider the Resource’s start-up and incremental energy costs and will assume the Resource has a zero downward response rate for purposes of calculating *ex ante* Real-Time LBMPs at each Generator Bus, and for each Load Zone.

4.4.2.4 Converting to Demand Reduction, Special Case Resource Capacity scheduled as Operating Reserves, Regulation or Energy in the Real-Time Market

The ISO shall convert to Demand Reductions, in hours in which the ISO requests that Special Case Resources reduce their demand pursuant to ISO Procedures, any Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market from Demand Side

Resources that are also providing Special Case Resource Capacity. The ISO shall settle the Demand Reduction provided by that portion of the Special Case Resource Capacity that was scheduled Day-Ahead as Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy as being provided by a Supplier of Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy as appropriate. The ISO shall settle any remaining Demand Reductions provided beyond Capacity that was scheduled Day-Ahead as Ancillary Services or Energy as being provided by a Special Case Resource, provided such Demand Reduction is otherwise payable as a reduction by a Special Case Resource.

Operating Reserves or Regulation Service scheduled Day-Ahead and converted to Energy in real time pursuant to this Section 4.4.2.4, will be eligible for a Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment, pursuant to Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

Special Case Resource Capacity that has been scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market to provide Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy and that has been instructed as a Special Case Resource to reduce demand shall be considered, for the purpose of applying Real-Time special scarcity pricing rules described in Attachment B of this Services Tariff, to be a Special Case Resource.

The ISO shall not accept offers of Operating Reserves or Regulation Service in the Real-Time Market from Demand Side Resources that are also providing Special Case Resource Capacity for any hour in which the ISO has requested Special Case Resources to reduce demand.

4.4.2.5 Converting to Demand Reduction Curtailment Services Provider Capacity scheduled as Operating Reserves, Regulation or Energy in the Real-Time Market

The ISO shall convert to Demand Reductions, in hours in which the ISO requests Demand Reductions from the Emergency Demand Response Program pursuant to ISO

Procedures, any Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market by Demand Side Resources that are also providing Curtailment Services Provider Capacity. The ISO shall settle the Demand Reduction provided by that portion of the Curtailment Services Provider Capacity that was scheduled Day-Ahead as Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy as being provided by a Supplier of Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy as appropriate. The ISO shall settle Demand Reductions provided beyond Capacity that was scheduled Day-Ahead as ancillary services or Energy as being provided by a Curtailment Services Provider.

Operating Reserves or Regulation Service scheduled Day-Ahead and converted to Energy in real time pursuant to this Section 4.4.2.5, will be eligible for a Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment, pursuant to Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

Curtailment Services Provider Capacity that has been scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market as Operating Reserves, Regulation Service or Energy and that has been instructed to reduce demand shall be considered, for the purpose of applying Real-Time special scarcity pricing rules described in Attachment B of this Services Tariff, to be a Emergency Demand Response Program Resource.

The ISO shall not accept offers of Operating Reserves and Regulation Service in the Real-Time Market from Demand Side Resources that are also providing Curtailment Services Provider Capacity for any hour in which the ISO has requested participants in the Emergency Demand Response Program pursuant to ISO Procedures to reduce demand.

4.4.2.6 Real-Time Scarcity Pricing Rules Applicable to Regulation Service and Operating Reserves During EDRP and/or SCR Activations

Under Sections 17.1.2.2 and 17.1.2.3 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff, the ISO will use special scarcity pricing rules to calculate Real-Time LBMPs during intervals when

it has activated the EDRP and/or SCRs in order to avoid reserves shortages. During these intervals, the ISO will also implement special scarcity pricing rules for real-time Regulation Service and Operating Reserves. These rules are set forth in Section 15.3.2.5.2 of Rate Schedule 15.3 and Section 15.4.6.2 of Rate Schedule 15.4 of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.4.3 Real-Time Dispatch - Corrective Action Mode

When the ISO needs to respond to system conditions that were not anticipated by RTC or the regular Real-Time Dispatch, *e.g.*, the unexpected loss of a major Generator or Transmission line, it will activate the specialized RTD-CAM program. RTD-CAM runs will be nominally either five or ten minutes long, as is described below. Unlike the Real-Time Dispatch, RTD-CAM will have the ability to commit certain Resources. When RTD-CAM is activated, the ISO will have discretion to implement various measures to restore normal operating conditions. These RTD-CAM measures are described below.

The ISO shall have discretion to determine which specific RTD-CAM mode should be activated in particular situations. In addition, RTD-CAM may require all Resources to run above their UOL_{NS} , up to the level of their UOL_{ES} as is described in the ISO Procedures. Self-Committed Fixed Resources will not be expected to move in response to RTD-CAM Base Point Signals except when a maximum generation pickup is activated.

Except as expressly noted in this section, RTD-CAM will dispatch the system in the same manner as the normal Real-Time Dispatch.

4.4.3.1 RTD-CAM Modes

4.4.3.1.1 Reserve Pickup

The ISO will enter this RTD-CAM mode when necessary to re-establish schedules when large area control errors occur. When in this mode, RTD-CAM will send 10-minute Base Point Signals and produce schedules for the next ten minutes. RTD-CAM may also commit, or if necessary de-commit, Resources capable of starting or stopping within 10-minutes. The ISO will continue to optimize for Energy and Operating Reserves, will recognize locational Operating Reserve requirements, but will suspend Regulation Service requirements. If Resources are committed or de-committed in this RTD-CAM mode the schedules for them will be passed to RTC and the Real-Time Dispatch for their next execution.

The ISO will have discretion to classify a reserve pickup as a “large event” or a “small event.” In a small event the ISO will have discretion to reduce Base Point Signals in order to reduce transmission line loadings. The ISO will not have this discretion in large events. The distinction also has significance with respect to a Supplier’s eligibility to receive Bid Production Cost guarantee payment in accordance with Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.4.3.1.2 Maximum Generation Pickup

The ISO will enter this RTD-CAM mode when an Emergency makes it necessary to maximize Energy production in one or more location(s), i.e., Long Island, New York City, East of Central East and/or NYCA-wide. RTD-CAM will produce schedules directing all Generators located in a targeted location to increase production at their emergency response rate up to their UOL_E level and to stay at that level until instructed otherwise. Security constraints will be obeyed to the extent possible. The ISO will continue to optimize for Energy and Operating

Reserves, will recognize locational Operating Reserve requirements, but will suspend its Regulation Service requirements.

4.4.3.1.3 Base Points ASAP -- No Commitments

The ISO will enter this RTD-CAM mode when changed circumstances make it necessary to issue an updated set of Base Point Signals. Examples of changed circumstances that could necessitate taking this step include correcting line, contingency, or transfer overloads and/or voltage problems caused by unexpected system events. When operating in this mode, RTD-CAM will produce schedules and Base Point Signals for the next five minutes but will only redispatch Generators that are capable of responding within five minutes. RTD-CAM will not commit or de-commit Resources in this mode.

4.4.3.1.4 Base Points ASAP -- Commit As Needed

This operating mode is identical to Base Points ASAP – No Commitments, except that it also allows the ISO to commit Generators that are capable of starting within 10 minutes when doing so is necessary to respond to changed system conditions.

4.4.3.1.5 Re-Sequencing Mode

When the ISO is ready to de-activate RTD-CAM, it will often need to transition back to normal Real-Time Dispatch operation. In this mode, RTD-CAM will calculate normal five-minute Base Point Signals and establish five minute schedules. Unlike the normal RTD-Dispatch, however, RTD-CAM will only look ahead 10-minutes. RTD-CAM re-sequencing will terminate as soon as the normal Real-Time Dispatch software is reactivated and is ready to produce Base Point signals for its entire optimization period.

4.4.3.2 Calculating Real-Time LBMPs

When RTD-CAM is activated, except when it is in reserve pickup mode, *ex ante* Real-Time LBMPs will be calculated at each Generator bus, and for each Load Zone, every five minutes, in accordance with the procedures set forth above in Section 4.4.2.2. When it is in reserve pickup mode, *ex ante* Real-Time LBMPs will be calculated every ten minutes, but RTD-CAM shall otherwise follow the procedures set forth above in Section 4.4.2.2. In addition, when RTD-CAM is activated, Suppliers may be eligible for Bid Production Cost guarantee payments during large event, but not small event, reserve pickups and during maximum generation pickups in accordance with Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.4.3.3 Posting Commitment Decisions

To the extent that RTD-CAM makes commitment and de-commitment decisions they will be posted at the same time as Real-Time LBMPs.

15.3 Rate Schedule 3 - Payments for Regulation Service

This Rate Schedule applies to Suppliers that provide Regulation Service to the ISO.

Transmission Customers will purchase Regulation Service from the ISO under the ISO OATT.

15.3.1 Obligations of the ISO and Suppliers

15.3.1.1 The ISO shall:

- (a) Establish Regulation Service criteria and requirements in the ISO Procedures to ensure that Suppliers follow changes in Load consistent with the Reliability Rules;
- (b) Provide RTD Base Point Signals and AGC Base Point Signals to Suppliers providing Regulation Service to direct their output;
- (c) Establish criteria in the ISO Procedures that Suppliers must meet to qualify, or re-qualify, to supply Regulation Service;
- (d) Establish minimum metering requirements and telecommunication capability required for a Supplier to be able to respond to AGC Base Point Signals and RTD Base Point Signals sent by the ISO;
- (e) Select Suppliers to provide Regulation Service in the Day-Ahead Market and Real-Time Market, as described in Section 15.3.2 of this Rate Schedule;
- (f) Pay Suppliers for providing Regulation Service as described in Sections 15.3.4, 15.3.5, 15.3.6 and 15.3.7 of this Rate Schedule; and
- (g) Monitor Suppliers' performance to ensure that they provide Regulation Service as required, as described in Section 15.3.3 of this Rate Schedule.

15.3.1.2 Each Supplier shall:

- (a) Register with the ISO the capacity its resources are qualified to bid in the Regulation Services market;
- (b) Offer only Resources that are; (i) ISO-Committed Flexible or Self-Committed Flexible, provided however that Demand Side Resources shall be offered as ISO-Committed Flexible; within the dispatchable portion of their operating range, and; (ii) able to respond to AGC Base Point Signals sent by the ISO pursuant to the ISO Procedures, to provide Regulation Service;
- (c) Not use, contract to provide, or otherwise commit Capability that is selected by the ISO to provide Regulation Service to provide Energy or Operating Reserves to any party other than the ISO;
- (d) Pay any charges imposed under this Rate Schedule including, if they are re-instituted the charges described in Section 15.3.8 of this Rate Schedule;
- (e) Ensure that all of its Resources that are selected to provide Regulation Service comply with Base Point Signals issued by the ISO at all times pursuant to the ISO Procedures; and ensure that all of its Resources that are selected to provide Regulation Service comply with all criteria and ISO Procedures that apply to providing Regulation Service.

15.3.2 Selection of Suppliers in the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market

- (a) The ISO shall select Suppliers, in the Day-Ahead Market, to provide Regulation Service for each hour in the following Dispatch Day, from those that have Bid to provide Regulation Service from Resources that meet the qualification standards

and criteria established in Section 15.3.1 of this Rate Schedule and in the ISO Procedures.

- (b) Real-Time Market: The ISO shall establish a Real-Time Market for Regulation Service and will establish a real-time Regulation Service market clearing price in each interval. During any period when the ISO suspends Resources' obligation to follow the AGC Base Point Signals sent to Regulation Service providers, pursuant to Section 15.3.9 of this Rate Schedule, the Real-Time Market clearing price for Regulation Service shall automatically be set at zero, which shall be the price used for real-time balancing and settlement purposes. The ISO shall select Suppliers for Regulation Service from those that have Bid to provide Regulation Service from Resources that meet the qualification standards and criteria established in the ISO Procedures.
- (c) The ISO shall establish separate market clearing prices for Regulation Service in the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market under Sections 15.3.4, 15.3.5 and 15.3.7 of this Rate Schedule. The ISO shall also compute Regulation Revenue Adjustment Payments and Regulation Revenue Adjustment Charges under Section 15.3.6 of this Rate Schedule.

15.3.2.1 Bidding Process

- (a) A Supplier may submit a Bid in the Day- Ahead Market or the Real-Time Market to provide Regulation Service from eligible Resources, provided, however, that Bids submitted by Suppliers that are attempting to re-qualify to provide Regulation Service, after being disqualified pursuant to Section 15.3.3 of this Rate Schedule 3, may be limited by the ISO pursuant to ISO Procedures.

- (b) Bids rejected by the ISO may be modified and resubmitted by the Supplier to the ISO in accordance with the terms of the ISO Tariff.
- (c) Each Bid shall contain the following information: (i) the maximum amount of Capability (in MW) that the Resource is willing to provide for Regulation Service; (ii) the Resource's regulation response rate (in MW/Minute) which must be sufficient to permit that Resource to provide the offered amount of Regulation Service within an RTD interval provided, however, that the regulation response rate for Demand Side Resources shall be at least equal to its energy response rate; (iii) the Supplier's Availability Bid Price (in \$/MW); and (iv) the physical location and name or designation of the Resource.
- (d) Regulation Service Offers from Limited Energy Storage Resources: The ISO may reduce the real-time Regulation Service offer (in MWs) from a Limited Energy Storage Resource to account for the Energy storage capacity of such Resource.

15.3.3 Monitoring Regulation Service Performance and Performance Related Payment Adjustments

- (a) The ISO shall establish (i) Resource performance measurement criteria; (ii) procedures to disqualify Suppliers whose Resources consistently fail to meet those criteria; and (iii) procedures to re-qualify disqualified Suppliers, which may include a requirement to first demonstrate acceptable performance for a time.
- (b) The ISO shall establish and implement a Performance Tracking System to monitor the performance of Suppliers that provide Regulation Service. The ISO shall develop performance indices, which may vary with Control Performance, as part of the ISO Procedures. The Performance Tracking System shall compute the difference between the Energy actually supplied and the Energy scheduled by the

ISO for all Suppliers serving Load within the NYCA as set forth in the ISO Procedures. The ISO shall use these values to reduce Regulation Service payments pursuant to Section 15.3.5.5 of this Rate Schedule.

- (c) Resources that consistently fail to perform adequately may be disqualified by the ISO, pursuant to ISO Procedures.

15.3.4 Regulation Service Settlements - Day-Ahead Market

15.3.4.1 Calculation of Day-Ahead Market Clearing Prices

The ISO shall calculate a Day-Ahead Market clearing price for Regulation Service each hour of the following day. The Day-Ahead Market clearing price for each hour shall equal the Day-Ahead Shadow Price of the ISO's Regulation Service constraint for that hour, which shall be established under the ISO Procedures. Day-Ahead Shadow Prices will be calculated by the ISO's SCUC. Each hourly Day-Ahead Shadow Price shall equal the marginal Bid cost of scheduling Resources to provide additional Regulation Service in that hour, including any impact on the Bid Production Cost of procuring Energy or Operating Reserves that would result from procuring an increment of Regulation Service in that hour, as calculated during the fifth SCUC pass described in Section 17.1.3 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff. As a result, the Shadow Price shall include the Day-Ahead Regulation Service Bid of the marginal Resource selected to provide Regulation Service (or in the applicable price on the Regulation Service Demand Curve during shortage conditions), plus any margins on the sale of Energy or Operating Reserves in the Day-Ahead Market that the Resource would forego if scheduling it to provide additional Regulation Service would lead to it being scheduled to provide less Energy or Operating Reserves. Shadow Prices shall also be consistent with the Regulation Service Demand Curves described in Section 15.3.7 of this Rate Schedule, which will ensure that Regulation

Service is not scheduled by SCUC at a cost greater than the Regulation Service Demand Curve indicates should be paid. Each Supplier that is scheduled Day-Ahead to provide Regulation Service shall be paid the Day-Ahead Market clearing price in each hour, multiplied by the amount of Regulation Service that it is scheduled to provide in that hour.

15.3.4.2 Other Day-Ahead Payments

A Supplier that bids on behalf of a Generator that provides Regulation Service may be eligible for a Day-Ahead Bid Production Cost guarantee payment pursuant to Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

No payments shall be made to any Supplier providing Regulation Service in excess of the amount of Regulation Service scheduled by the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market, except to the extent that a Supplier is directed to provide the excess amount by the ISO.

15.3.5 Regulation Service Settlements - Real-Time Market

15.3.5.1 Calculation of Real-Time Market Clearing Prices

The ISO shall calculate a Real-Time Market clearing price for Regulation Service for every RTD interval, except as noted in Section 15.3.9 of this Rate Schedule. Except when the circumstances described below in Section 15.3.5.2 apply, the Real-Time Market clearing price for each interval shall equal the real-time Shadow Price for the ISO's Regulation Service constraint for that RTD interval, which shall be established under the ISO Procedures. Real-time Shadow Prices will be calculated by the ISO's RTD. Each Real-Time Shadow Price in each RTD interval shall equal the marginal Bid cost of scheduling Resources to provide additional Regulation Service in that interval, including any impact on the Bid Production Cost of procuring Energy or Operating Reserves that would result from procuring an increment of

Regulation Service in that interval, as calculated during the third RTD pass described in Section 17.1.2.1.2.3 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff. As a result, the Shadow Price shall include the Real-Time Regulation Service Bid of the marginal Resource selected to provide Regulation Service (or the applicable price on the Regulation Service Demand Curve during shortage conditions), plus any margins on the sale of Energy or Operating Reserves in the Real-Time Market that Resource would forego if scheduling it to provide additional Regulation Service would lead to it being scheduled to provide less Energy or Operating Reserves. Shadow Prices shall also be consistent with the Regulation Service Demand Curves described in Section 15.3.7 of this Rate Schedule, which will ensure that Regulation Service is not scheduled by RTC at a cost greater than the Demand Curve indicates should be paid.

15.3.5.2 Calculation of Real-Time Market Clearing Prices for Regulation Service During EDRP/SCR Activations

During any interval in which the ISO is using scarcity pricing rule “A” or “B” to calculate LBMPs under Sections 17.1.2.2 or 17.1.2.3 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff, the real-time Regulation Service market clearing price may be recalculated in light of the Availability Bids of Suppliers and Lost Opportunity Costs of Generators scheduled to provide Regulation Service in real-time.

Specifically, when either scarcity pricing rule is applicable, the real-time Regulation Service clearing price shall be set to the higher of: (i) the highest total Availability Bid and Lost Opportunity Cost of any Regulation Service provider scheduled by RTD; and (ii) the market clearing price calculated under Section 15.3.5.1 of this Rate Schedule.

15.3.5.3 Real-Time Regulation Service Balancing Payments

Any deviation from a Supplier's Day-Ahead schedule to provide Regulation Service shall be settled pursuant to the following rules.

- (a) When the Supplier's real-time Regulation Service schedule is less than its Day-Ahead Regulation Service schedule, the Supplier shall pay a charge for the imbalance equal to the product of: (i) the Real-Time Market clearing price for Regulation Service; and (ii) the difference between the Supplier's Day-Ahead Regulation Service schedule and its real-time Regulation Service schedule (subject to possible adjustments pursuant to Section 15.3.5.5 of this Rate Schedule.)
- (b) When the Supplier's real-time Regulation Service schedule is greater than its Day-Ahead Regulation Service schedule, the ISO shall pay the Supplier an amount to compensate it for the imbalance equal to the product of: (i) the Real-Time market clearing price for Regulation Service; and (ii) the difference between the Supplier's real-time Regulation Service schedule and its Day-Ahead Regulation Service schedule (subject to possible adjustments pursuant to Section 15.3.5.5 of this Rate Schedule.)

15.3.5.4 Other Real-Time Regulation Service Payments

A Supplier that bids on behalf of a Generator that provides Regulation Service may be eligible for a real-time Bid Production Cost guarantee payment pursuant to Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

A Supplier that provides Regulation Service may also be eligible for a Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment pursuant to Section 4.6.5 and Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

15.3.5.5 Payments and Performance-Based Adjustments to Payments for Regulation Service Providers

Each Supplier that is scheduled in real-time to provide Regulation Service shall be paid in accordance with the following formula. The amount paid to each Supplier for providing Regulation Service in each RTD interval i shall be reduced to reflect the Supplier's performance:

$$\text{Total Payment} = \sum_i (\text{Total Payment}_i * (s_i/3600))$$

Where:

$$\text{Total Payment}_i = (\text{DAMCPreg}_i \times \text{DARcap}_i) + ((\text{RTRcap}_i \times K_i) - \text{DARcap}_i) \times \text{RTMCPreg}_i$$

DAMCPreg_i is the applicable market clearing price for Regulation Service (in \$/MW), in the Day-Ahead Market, as established by the ISO pursuant to Section 15.3.4.1 of this Rate Schedule for the hour that includes RTD interval i ;

DARcap_i is the Regulation Service Capability (in MW) offered by the Resource and selected by the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market in the hour that includes RTD interval i ;

RTMCPreg_i is the applicable market clearing price for Regulation Service (in \$/MW), in the Real-Time Market as established by the ISO under Section 15.3.5.1 of this Rate Schedule in RTD interval i ;

RTRcap_i is the Regulation Service Capability (in MW) offered by the Resource and selected by the ISO in the Real-Time Market in RTD interval i ;

s_i is the number of seconds in interval i ; and

K_i is a factor, with a value between 0.0 and 1.0 inclusive, derived from each Supplier's Regulation Service performance, as measured by the performance indices set forth in the ISO Procedures and determined pursuant to the following equation:

$$K_i = (PI_i - PSF) / (1 - PSF)$$

Where:

PI_i is the performance index of the Resource for interval i ; and

PSF is the payment scaling factor, established pursuant to ISO Procedures. The PSF shall be set between 0 and the minimum performance index required for payment of Availability payments.

The PSF is established to reflect the extent of ISO compliance with the standards established by NERC, NPCC or Good Utility Practice for Control Performance and System Security. The PSF is set initially at zero. Should the ISO's compliance with these measures deteriorate, in a manner that can be improved if regulation performance improves, the PSF will be increased. Resources providing Regulation Service will be required to increase their performance index to obtain the same total Regulation Service payment as they received during periods of good ISO performance, as measured by these standards. The factor K_{PI} shall initially be set at 1.0 for Limited Energy Storage Resources. No payments shall be made to any Supplier providing Regulation Service in excess of the amount of Regulation Service scheduled by the ISO in the Real Time Market, except to the extent that a Supplier is directed to provide the excess amount by the ISO.

15.3.6 Energy Settlement Rules for Generators Providing Regulation Service

15.3.6.1 Energy Settlements

- A. For any interval in which a Generator is providing Regulation Service, it shall receive a settlement payment for Energy consistent with a real-time Energy injection equal to the lower of its actual generation or its AGC Base Point Signal. Demand Side Resources providing Regulation Service shall not receive a settlement payment for Energy.

- B. For any hour in which a Limited Energy Storage Resource has injected or withdrawn Energy, pursuant to an ISO schedule to do so, it shall receive a settlement payment (if the amount calculated below is positive) or charge (if the amount calculated below is negative) for Energy pursuant to the following formula:

$$\text{Energy Settlement}_h = \text{Net MWHR}_h * \text{LBMP}_h$$

Where:

Net MWHR_h = the amount of Energy injected by the Limited Energy Storage Resource in hour h minus the amount of Energy withdrawn by that Limited Energy Storage Resource in hour h

LBMP_h = the time-weighted average LBMP in hour h calculated for the location of that Limited Energy Storage Resource

15.3.6.2 Additional Payments/Charges When AGC Base Point Signals Exceed RTD Base Point Signals

For any interval in which a Generator that is providing Regulation Service receives an AGC Base Point Signal that is higher than its RTD Base Point Signal, it shall receive or pay a Regulation Revenue Adjustment Payment (“RRAP”) or Regulation Revenue Adjustment Charge (“RRAC”) calculated under the terms of this subsection, provided however no RRAP shall be payable and no RRAC shall be charged to a Limited Energy Storage Resource. If the Energy Bid Price of such a Generator is higher than the LBMP at its location in that interval, the Generator shall receive a RRAP. Conversely, for any interval in which such a Generator’s Energy Bid Price is lower than the LBMP at its location at that interval, the Generator shall be assessed a RRAC. RRAPs and RRACs shall be calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Payment/Charge} = \frac{\max(\text{RTD Base Point Signal}, \min(\text{AGC Base Point Signal}, \text{Actual Output}))}{\text{RTD Base Point Signal}} \int [\text{Bid} - \text{LBMP}] * s/3600$$

Where:

s is the number of seconds in the RTD interval;

If the result of the calculation is positive then the Generator shall receive a RRAP. If it is negative then the Generator shall be subject to a RRAC. For purposes of applying this formula, whenever the Generator's actual Bid exceeds the applicable LBMP the "Bid" term shall be set at a level equal to the lesser of the Generator's actual Bid or its reference Bid plus \$100/MWh. Demand Side Resources providing Regulation Service shall not be eligible for a RRAP and not liable for an RRAC.

15.3.6.3 Additional Charges/Payments When AGC Base Point Signals Are Lower than RTD Base Point Signals

For any interval in which a Generator that is providing Regulation Service receives an AGC Base Point Signal that is lower than its RTD Base Point Signal, it shall receive or pay a RRAP or RRAC calculated under the terms of this subsection. If the Energy Bid Price of such a Generator is higher than the LBMP at its location in that interval, the Generator shall be assessed a RRAC. Conversely, for any interval in which such a Generator's Energy Bid Price is lower than the LBMP at its location in that interval, the Generator shall receive a RRAP. RRAPs and RRACs shall be calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Payment/Charge} = \frac{\text{RTD Base Point Signal}}{\min(\text{RTD Base Point Signal}, \max(\text{AGC Base Point Signal}, \text{Actual Output}))} \int - [\text{Bid} - \text{LBMP}] \quad * s/3600$$

Where:

s is the number of seconds in the RTD interval;

If the result of the calculation is positive then the Generator shall receive a RRAP. If it is negative then the Generator shall be subject to a RRAC. For purposes of this formula, whenever

the Generator's actual Bid is lower than the applicable LBMP the "Bid" term shall be set at a level equal to the higher of the Generator's actual Bid or its reference Bid minus \$100/MWh. Demand Side Resources providing Regulation Service shall not be eligible for a RRAP and not liable for an RRAC.

15.3.7 Regulation Service Demand Curve

The ISO shall establish a Regulation Service Demand Curve that will apply to both the Day-Ahead and real-time Regulation Service markets. The market clearing prices for Regulation Service calculated pursuant to Sections 15.3.4.1 and 15.3.5.1 of this Rate Schedule shall take account of the demand curve established in this Section so that Regulation Service is not purchased by SCUC or RTC at a cost higher than the demand curve indicates should be paid in the relevant market.

The ISO shall establish and post a target level of Regulation Service for each hour, which will be the number of MW of Regulation Service that the ISO would seek to maintain in that hour. The ISO will then define a Regulation Service demand curve for that hour as follows:

For quantities of Regulation Service that are less than or equal to the target level of Regulation Service minus 25 MW, the price on the Regulation Service demand curve shall be \$300/MW.

For quantities of Regulation Service that are less than or equal to the target level of Regulation Service but that exceed the target level of Regulation Service minus 25 MW, the price on the Regulation Service demand curve shall be \$250/MW.

For all other quantities, the price on the Regulation Service demand curve shall be \$0/MW. However, the ISO shall not schedule more Regulation Service than the target level for the requirement for that hour.

In order to respond to operational or reliability problems that arise in real-time, the ISO may procure Regulation Service at a quantity and/or price point different than those specified above. The ISO shall post a notice of any such purchase as soon as reasonably possible and shall report on the reasons for such purchases at the next meeting of its Business Issues Committee. The ISO shall also immediately initiate an investigation to determine whether it is necessary to modify the quantity and price points specified above to avoid future operational or reliability problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit when it conducts this investigation.

If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the quantity and/or price points specified above in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems it may temporarily modify them for a period of up to ninety days. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification.

Not later than 90 days after the implementation of the Regulation Service Demand Curve the ISO, in consultation with its Advisor, shall conduct an initial review in accordance with the ISO Procedures. The scope of the review shall be upward or downward in order to optimize the economic efficiency of any, or all, the ISO-Administered Markets. The ISO and the Market Advisor shall perform additional quarterly reviews, subject to the same scope requirement, during the remainder of the first year that this Section 15.3.7 is in effect. After the first year, the ISO shall perform periodic reviews, subject to the same scope requirement, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall be given the opportunity to review and comment on the ISO's periodic reviews of the Regulation Service Demand Curve.

The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in the above section of Rate Schedule 3 to the Services Tariff are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.4.1 of Attachment O.

15.3.8 Reinstating Performance Charges

The ISO will monitor, on a real-time hourly or daily basis, as appropriate, its compliance with the standards established by NERC and NPCC and with the standards of Good Utility Practice for Control Performance, area control error, disturbance control standards, reserve pickup performance and system security. Should it appear to the ISO that degradation in performance threatens compliance with one or more of the established standards for these criteria or compromises reliability, and that reinstating the performance charges that were originally part of the ISO's market design, would assist in improving compliance with established standards for these criteria, or would assist in re-establishing reliability, the ISO may require Suppliers of Regulation Service, as well as Suppliers not providing Regulation Service, to pay a performance charge. Any reinstatement of Regulation penalties pursuant to this Section shall not override previous Commission-approved settlement agreements that exempt a particular unit from such penalties. The ISO shall provide notice of its decision to reinstate performance charges to the Commission, to each Customer and to the Operating Committee and the Business Issues Committee no less than seven days before it re-institutes the performance charges.

If the ISO determines that performance charges are necessary, Suppliers of Regulation Service shall pay a performance charge per interval to the ISO as follows:

$$\text{Performance Charge} = \text{Energy Deviation} \times \text{MCP}_{\text{reg}} \times (\text{Length of Interval}/60 \text{ minutes})$$

Where:

Energy Deviation (in MW) is the absolute difference between the actual Energy supplied by the Supplier and the Energy required by the AGC Base Point Signals, whether positive or negative, averaged over each RTD interval; and

MCP_{reg} is the market clearing price (\$/MW) which applies to the RTD interval for this Service in the Real-Time Market or the Day-Ahead Market, if appropriate.

The method used by the ISO to calculate the Energy Deviation will permit Suppliers a certain period of time to respond to AGC Base Point Signals. Initially this time period will be thirty (30) seconds, although the ISO will have the authority to change its length. If the Supplier's output at any point in time is between the largest and the smallest of the AGC Base Points sent to that Supplier within the preceding thirty (30) seconds (or such other time period length as the ISO may define), the Supplier's Energy Deviation at that point in time will be zero. Otherwise, the Supplier may have a positive Energy Deviation. However, in cases in which responding to the AGC Base Point within that time period would require a Supplier to change output at a rate exceeding the amount of Regulation it has been scheduled to provide, the Supplier will have a zero Energy Deviation if it changes output at the rate equal to the amount of Regulation it is scheduled to provide.

15.3.9 Temporary Suspension of Regulation Service Markets During Reserve Pickups and Maximum Generation

During any period in which the ISO has activated its RTD-CAM software and called for a “large event” or “small event” reserve or maximum generation pickup, as described in Article 4.4.4.1 of this ISO Services Tariff, the ISO will suspend Generators’ obligation to follow the AGC Base Point Signals sent to Regulation Service providers, freeing them to provide Energy and will suspend the real-time Regulation Service market. The ISO will not procure any Regulation Service and will establish a real-time Regulation Service market clearing price of

zero for settlement and balancing purposes. The ISO will resume sending AGC Base Point Signals and restore the real-time Regulation Service market as soon as possible after the end of the reserve or maximum generation pickup.

15.4 Rate Schedule 4 - Payments for Supplying Operating Reserves

This Rate Schedule applies to payments to Suppliers that provide Operating Reserves to the ISO. Transmission Customers will purchase Operating Reserves from the ISO under Rate Schedule 5 of the ISO OATT.

15.4.1 General Responsibilities and Requirements

15.4.1.1 ISO Responsibilities

The ISO shall procure on behalf of its Customers a sufficient quantity of Operating Reserve products to comply with the Reliability Rules and with other applicable reliability standards. These quantities shall be established under Section 15.4.7 of this Rate Schedule. To the extent that the ISO enters into Operating Reserve sharing agreements with neighboring Control Areas its Operating Reserves requirements shall be adjusted as, and where, appropriate.

The ISO shall define requirements for Spinning Reserve, which may be met only by Suppliers that are eligible, under Section 15.4.1.2 of this Rate Schedule, to provide Spinning Reserve; 10-Minute Reserve, which may be met by Suppliers that are eligible to provide either Spinning Reserve or 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve; and 30-Minute Reserve, which may be met by Suppliers that are eligible to provide any Operating Reserve product. The ISO shall also define locational requirements for Spinning Reserve, 10-Minute Reserve, and 30-Minute Reserve located East of Central-East and on Long Island. In addition to being subject to the preceding limitations on Suppliers that can meet each of these requirements, the requirements for Operating Reserve located East of Central-East may only be met by eligible Suppliers that are located East of Central-East, and requirements for Operating Reserve located on Long Island may only be met by eligible Suppliers located on Long Island. Each of these Operating Reserve

requirements shall be defined consistent with the Reliability Rules and other applicable reliability standards. The ISO shall select Suppliers of Operating Reserves products to meet these requirements, including the locational Operating Reserves requirements, as part of its overall co-optimization process.

The ISO shall select Operating Reserves Suppliers that are properly located electrically so that all locational Operating Reserves requirements determined consistently with the requirements of Section 15.4.7 of this Rate Schedule are satisfied, and so that transmission Constraints resulting from either the commitment or dispatch of Generators do not limit the ISO's ability to deliver Energy to Loads in the case of a Contingency. The ISO will ensure that Suppliers that are compensated for using Capacity to provide one Operating Reserve product are not simultaneously compensated for providing another Operating Reserve product, or Regulation Service, using the same Capacity (consistent with the additive market clearing price calculation formulae in Sections 15.4.5.1 and 15.4.6.1 of this Rate Schedule).

15.4.1.2 Supplier Eligibility Criteria

The ISO shall enforce the following criteria, which define which types of Suppliers are eligible to supply particular Operating Reserve products.

15.4.1.2.1 Spinning Reserve:

Suppliers that are ISO Committed Flexible or Self-Committed Flexible, are operating within the dispatchable portion of their operating range, are capable of responding to ISO instructions to change their output level within ten minutes, and that meet the criteria set forth in the ISO Procedures shall be eligible to supply Spinning Reserve (except for Demand Side Resources that are Local Generators).

15.4.1.2.2 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve:

Off-line Generators that are capable of starting, synchronizing, and increasing their output level within ten (10) minutes and that meet the criteria set forth in the ISO Procedures, and, Demand Side Resources that are capable of reducing their Energy usage within ten (10) minutes and that meet the criteria set forth in the ISO Procedures, shall be eligible, to supply 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve.

15.4.1.2.3 30-Minute Reserve:

(i) Generators that are ISO-Committed Flexible or Self-Committed Flexible and operating within the dispatchable portion of their operating range and Demand Side Resources, that are not Local Generators, that are capable of reducing their Energy usage within thirty (30) minutes shall be eligible to supply synchronized 30-Minute Reserves; (ii) Off-line Generators that are capable of starting, synchronizing, and increasing their output level within thirty (30) minutes and that meet the criteria set forth in the ISO Procedures, and Demand Side Resources that are capable of reducing their Energy usage within thirty (30) minutes and that meet the criteria set forth in the ISO Procedures, shall be eligible to supply non-synchronized 30-Minute Reserves.

15.4.1.2.4 Self-Committed Fixed and ISO-Committed Fixed Generators:

Shall not be eligible to provide any kind of Operating Reserve.

15.4.1.3 Other Supplier Requirements

All Suppliers of Operating Reserve must be located within the NYCA and must be under ISO Operational Control. Each Supplier bidding to supply Operating Reserve or reduce demand

must be able to provide Energy or reduce demand consistent with the Reliability Rules and the ISO Procedures when called upon by the ISO.

All Suppliers that are selected to provide Operating Reserves shall ensure that their Resources maintain and deliver the appropriate quantity of Energy, or reduce the appropriate quantity of demand, when called upon by the ISO during any interval in which they have been selected.

Generators or Demand Side Resources that are selected to provide Operating Reserve in the Day-Ahead Market or any supplemental commitment may increase their Incremental Energy Bids or Demand Reduction Bids for portions of their Resources that have been scheduled through those processes; provided however, that they are not otherwise prohibited from doing so pursuant to other provisions of the ISO's Tariffs. They may not, however, reduce their Day-Ahead Market or supplemental commitments in real-time except to the extent that they are directed to do so by the ISO. Generators and Demand Side Resources may enter into alternate sales arrangements utilizing any Capacity that has not been scheduled to provide Operating Reserve.

15.4.2 General Day-Ahead Market Rules

15.4.2.1 Bidding and Bid Selection

Resources capable of providing Spinning Reserve, 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve and/or 30-Minute Reserve in the Day-Ahead commitment may submit Availability Bids for each hour of the upcoming day. If a Supplier offers Resources that are capable, based on their indicated commitment status, of providing Operating Reserves but does not submit an Availability Bid, its Day-Ahead Bid will be rejected in its entirety. A Supplier may resubmit a complete Day-Ahead Bid, provided that the new bid is timely.

The ISO may schedule Suppliers that make themselves available to provide Operating Reserves up to the following maximum Operating Reserve levels: (i) for Spinning Reserves, the Resource's emergency response rate multiplied by ten; (ii) for 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves, or for non-synchronized 30-Minute Reserves, the Resource's UOLN or UOLE, whichever is applicable at the relevant time (the Resource may offer one product or the other depending on the time required for it to start-up and synchronize to the grid; and (iii) for synchronized 30-Minute Reserves, the Resource's emergency response rate multiplied by twenty.

However, the sum of the amount of Energy or Demand Reduction each Resource is scheduled to provide, the amount of Regulation Service it is scheduled to provide, and the amount of each Operating Reserves product it is scheduled to provide shall not exceed its UOLN or UOLE, whichever is applicable.

The ISO shall select Operating Reserve Suppliers for each hour of the upcoming day through a co-optimized Day-Ahead commitment process that minimizes the total bid cost of Energy, Operating Reserves and Regulation Service, using Bids submitted pursuant to Article 4.2 of, and Attachment D to, this ISO Services Tariff. As part of the co-optimization process, the ISO shall determine how much of each Operating Reserves product particular Suppliers will be required to provide in light of the Reliability Rules and other applicable reliability standards, including the locational Operating Reserves requirements specified above.

15.4.2.2 ISO Notice Requirement

The ISO shall notify each Operating Reserve Supplier that has been selected in the Day-Ahead Market of the amount of each Operating Reserve product that it has been scheduled to provide.

15.4.2.3 Real-Time Market Responsibilities of Suppliers Scheduled to Provide Operating Reserves in the Day-Ahead Market

Suppliers that are scheduled Day-Ahead to provide Operating Reserves shall either provide Operating Reserve, Energy or Demand Reductions in real-time when scheduled by the ISO in all hours for which they have been selected to provide Operating Reserve and are physically capable of doing so. However, Suppliers that are scheduled Day-Ahead to provide Operating Reserves and have startup periods of two hours or less may advise the ISO no later than three hours prior to the first hour of their Day-Ahead schedule that they will not be available to provide Operating Reserves or Energy in real-time under normal conditions. Such Suppliers will be required to settle their Day-Ahead schedule at real-time prices pursuant to Section 15.4.6.3 of this Rate Schedule. The only restriction on Suppliers' ability to exercise this option is that all Suppliers with Day-Ahead Operating Reserves schedules must make the scheduled amount of Capacity available to the ISO for dispatch in the RTD if the ISO initiates a Supplemental Resource Evaluation.

15.4.3 General Real-Time Market Rules

15.4.3.1 Bid Selection

The ISO will automatically select Operating Reserves Suppliers in real-time from eligible Resources, that submit Real-Time Bids pursuant to Section 4.4 of, and Attachment D to, this ISO Services Tariff. Each Supplier will automatically be assigned a real-time Operating Reserves Availability bid of \$0/MW for the quantity of Capacity that it makes available to the ISO in its Real-Time Bid. The ISO may schedule Suppliers that make themselves available to provide Operating Reserves up to the following maximum Operating Reserve levels: (i) for Spinning Reserves, the Resource's emergency response rate multiplied by ten; (ii) for 10-Minute Non-

Synchronized Reserves, or for non-synchronized 30-Minute Reserves, the Resource's UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable at the relevant time (the Resource may offer one product or the other depending on the time required for it to start-up and synchronize to the grid); and (iii) for synchronized 30-Minute Reserves, the Resource's emergency response rate multiplied by twenty. However, the sum of the amount of Energy or Demand Reduction, that each Resource is scheduled to provide, the amount of Regulation Service it is scheduled to provide, and the amount of each Operating Reserves product it is scheduled to provide shall not exceed its UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable.

Suppliers will thus be selected on the basis of their response rates, their applicable upper operating limits, and their Energy Bids (which will reflect their opportunity costs) through a co-optimized real-time commitment process that minimizes the total bid cost of Energy, or Demand Reduction, Regulation Service, and Operating Reserves. As part of the process, the ISO shall determine how much of each Operating Reserves product particular Suppliers will be required to provide in light of the Reliability Rules and other applicable reliability standards, including the locational Operating Reserves requirements specified above.

15.4.3.2 ISO Notice Requirement

The ISO shall notify each Supplier of Operating Reserve that has been scheduled by RTD of the amount of Operating Reserve that it must provide.

15.4.3.3 Obligation to Make Resources Available to Provide Operating Reserves

Any Resource that is eligible to supply Operating Reserves and that is made available to ISO for dispatch in Real-Time must also make itself available to provide Operating Reserves.

15.4.3.4 Activation of Operating Reserves

All Resources that are selected by the ISO to provide Operating Reserves shall respond to the ISO's directions to activate in real-time.

15.4.3.5 Performance Tracking and Supplier Disqualifications

When a Supplier committed to supply Operating Reserves is activated, the ISO shall measure and track its actual Energy production or its Demand Reduction against its expected performance in real-time. The ISO may disqualify Suppliers that consistently fail to provide Energy or Demand Reduction when called upon to do so in real-time from providing Operating Reserves in the future. If a Resource has been disqualified, the ISO shall require it to pass a re-qualification test before accepting any additional Bids to supply Operating Reserves from it. Disqualification and re-qualification criteria shall be set forth in the ISO Procedures.

15.4.4 Operating Reserves Settlements - General Rules

15.4.4.1 Establishing Locational Reserve Prices

Except as noted below, the ISO shall calculate separate Day-Ahead Market and Real-Time Market prices for each of the products f three locations: (i) West of Central-East ("West" or "Western"); (ii) East of Central-East excluding Long Island; and (iii) Long Island ("L.I."). The ISO will thus calculate nine different locational Operating Reserve prices in both the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market. Day-Ahead locational reserve prices shall be calculated pursuant to Section 15.4.5 of this Rate Schedule. Real-Time locational reserve prices shall be calculated pursuant to Section 15.4.6 of this Rate Schedule

15.4.4.2 Settlements Involving Suppliers of Operating Reserves Located on Long Island

Suppliers of Operating Reserves located on Long Island shall receive settlement payments as if they were providing Operating Reserves located in the East. The ISO will calculate separate locational Long Island Operating Reserves prices but will not post them or use them for settlement purposes.

15.4.4.3 “Cascading” of Operating Reserves

The ISO will deem Spinning Reserve to be the “highest quality” Operating Reserve, followed by 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve and by 30-Minute Reserve. The ISO shall substitute higher quality Operating Reserves in place of lower quality Operating Reserves, when doing so lowers the total as-bid cost, i.e., when the marginal cost for the higher quality Operating Reserve product is lower than the marginal cost for the lower quality Operating Reserve product, and the substitution of a higher quality for the lower quality product does not cause locational Operating Reserve requirements to be violated. To the extent, however, that reliability standards require the use of higher quality Operating Reserves, substitution cannot be made in the opposite direction.

The market clearing price of higher quality Operating Reserves will not be set at a price below the market clearing price of lower quality Operating Reserves in the same location. Thus, the market clearing price of Spinning Reserves will not be below the price for 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves or 30-Minute Reserves and the market clearing price for 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves will not be below the market clearing price for 30-Minute Reserves.

15.4.5 Operating Reserve Settlements – Day-Ahead Market

15.4.5.1 Calculation of Day-Ahead Market Clearing Prices

The ISO shall calculate hourly Day-Ahead Market clearing prices for each Operating Reserve product at each location. Each Day-Ahead Market clearing price shall equal the sum of the relevant Day-Ahead locational Shadow Prices for that product in that hour, subject to the restriction described in Section 15.4.4.3 of this Rate Schedule.

The Day-Ahead Market clearing price for a particular Operating Reserve product in a particular location shall reflect the Shadow Prices associated with all of the ISO-defined Operating Reserve requirements, including locational requirements, that a particular Operating Reserves product from a particular location may be used to satisfy in a given hour. The ISO shall calculate Day-Ahead Market clearing prices using the following formulae:

Market clearing price for Western 30-Minute Reserves = SP1

Market clearing price for Western 10-Minute-Non-Synchronized Reserves = SP1 + SP2

Market clearing price for Western Spinning Reserves = SP1 + SP2 + SP3

Market clearing price for Eastern 30-Minute Reserves = SP1 + SP4

Market clearing price for Eastern 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves = SP1 + SP2
+ SP4 +
SP5

Market clearing price for Eastern Spinning Reserves = SP1 + SP2 + SP3 + SP4 + SP5 +
SP6

Market clearing price for L.I. 30-Minute Reserves = SP1 + SP4 + SP7

Market clearing price for L.I. 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves = SP1 + SP2 +
SP4 + SP5 +
SP7 + SP8

$$\text{Market clearing price for L.I. Spinning Reserves} = \text{SP1} + \text{SP2} + \text{SP3} + \text{SP4} + \text{SP5} + \text{SP6} + \text{SP7} + \text{SP8} + \text{SP9}$$

Where:

SP1 = Shadow Price for total 30-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP2 = Shadow Price for total 10-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP3 = Shadow Price for total Spinning Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP4 = Shadow Price for Eastern or L.I. 30-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP5 = Shadow Price for Eastern or L.I. 10-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP6 = Shadow Price for Eastern or L.I. Spinning Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP7 = Shadow Price for Long Island 30-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP8 = Shadow Price for Long Island 10-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

SP9 = Shadow Price for Long Island Spinning Reserve requirement constraint for the hour

Day-Ahead locational Shadow Prices will be calculated by SCUC. Each hourly Day-Ahead Shadow Price for each Operating Reserves requirement shall equal the marginal Bid cost of scheduling Resources to provide additional Operating Reserves to meet that requirement in that hour, including any impact on the Bid Production Cost of procuring Energy or Regulation Service that would result from procuring an increment of Operating Reserve to meet the requirement in that hour, as calculated during the fifth SCUC pass described in Section 17.1.3 of Attachment B to this Services Tariff. As a result, the Shadow Price for each Operating Reserves requirement shall include the Day-Ahead Availability Bid of the marginal Resource selected to

meet that requirement (or the applicable price on the Operating Reserve Demand Curve for that requirement during shortage conditions), plus any margins on the sale of Energy or Regulation Service in the Day-Ahead Market that that Resource would forego if scheduling it to provide additional Operating Reserve to meet that requirement would lead to it being scheduled to provide less Energy or Regulation Service. Shadow Prices will also be consistent with the Operating Reserve Demand Curves described in Section 15.4.7 of this Rate Schedule, which will ensure that Operating Reserves are not scheduled by SCUC at a cost greater than the relevant Operating Reserve Demand Curve indicates should be paid. If more Operating Reserve of a particular quality than is needed is scheduled to meet a particular locational Operating Reserve requirement, the Shadow Price for that Operating Reserve requirement constraint shall be set at zero.

Each Supplier that is scheduled Day-Ahead to provide Operating Reserve shall be paid the applicable Day-Ahead Market clearing price, based on its location and the quality of Operating Reserve scheduled, multiplied by the amount of Operating Reserve that the Supplier is scheduled to provide in each hour.

15.4.5.2 Other Day-Ahead Payments

A Supplier that bids on behalf of (i) a Generator that provides Operating Reserves or (ii) a Demand Side Resource that provides Operating Reserves may be eligible for a Day-Ahead Bid Production Cost guarantee payment pursuant to Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

15.4.6 Operating Reserve Settlements – Real-Time Market

15.4.6.1 Calculation of Real-Time Market Clearing Prices

The ISO shall calculate Real-Time Market clearing prices for each Operating Reserve product for each location in every interval. Except when the circumstances described below in Section 15.4.6.2 apply, each real-time market-clearing price shall equal the sum of the relevant real-time locational Shadow Prices for a given product, subject to the restriction described in Section 15.4.4.3 of this Rate Schedule.

The Real-Time Market clearing price for a particular Operating Reserve product for a particular location shall reflect the Shadow Prices associated with all of the ISO-defined Operating Reserve requirements, including locational requirements, that a particular Operating Reserves product from that location may be used to satisfy in a given interval. The ISO shall calculate the Real-Time Market clearing prices using the following formulae:

Market clearing price for Western 30-Minute Reserves = SP1

Market clearing price for Western 10-Minute-Non-Synchronized Reserves = SP1 + SP2

Market clearing price for Western Spinning Reserves = SP1 + SP2 + SP3

Market clearing price for Eastern 30-Minute Reserves = SP1 + SP4

Market clearing price for Eastern 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves = SP1 + SP2
+ SP4 +
SP5

Market clearing price for Eastern Spinning Reserves = SP1 + SP2 + SP3 + SP4 + SP5 +
SP6

Market clearing price for L.I. 30-Minute Reserves = SP1 + SP4 + SP7

$$\text{Market clearing price for L.I. 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves} = \text{SP1} + \text{SP2} + \text{SP4} + \text{SP5} + \text{SP7} + \text{SP8}$$

$$\text{Market clearing price for L.I. Spinning Reserves} = \text{SP1} + \text{SP2} + \text{SP3} + \text{SP4} + \text{SP5} + \text{SP6} + \text{SP7} + \text{SP8} + \text{SP9}$$

Where:

SP1 = Shadow Price for total 30-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP2 = Shadow Price for total 10-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP3 = Shadow Price for total Spinning Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP4 = Shadow Price for Eastern or L.I. 30-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP5 = Shadow Price for Eastern or L.I. 10-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP6 = Shadow Price for Eastern or L.I. Spinning Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP7 = Shadow Price for Long Island 30-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP8 = Shadow Price for Long Island 10-Minute Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

SP9 = Shadow Price for Long Island Spinning Reserve requirement constraint for the interval

Real-time locational Shadow Prices will be calculated by the ISO's RTD. Each Real-Time Shadow Price for each Operating Reserves requirement in each RTD interval shall equal the marginal Bid cost of scheduling Resources to provide additional Operating Reserves to meet that requirement in that interval, including any impact on the Bid Production Cost of procuring Energy or Regulation Service that would result from procuring an increment of Operating

Reserve to meet the requirement in that interval, as calculated during the third RTD pass described in Section 17.1.2.1.2.3 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff. As a result, the Shadow Price for each Operating Reserves requirement shall include the Real-Time Availability Bid of the marginal Resource selected to meet that requirement (or the applicable price on the Operating Reserve Demand Curve for that requirement during shortage conditions), plus any margins on the sale of Energy or Regulation Service in the Real-Time Market that that Resource would forego if scheduling it to provide additional Operating Reserve to meet that requirement would lead to it being scheduled to provide less Energy or Regulation Service. Shadow Prices will also be consistent with the Operating Reserve Demand Curves described in Section 15.4.7 of this Rate Schedule, which will ensure that Operating Reserves are not scheduled by RTC at a cost greater than the relevant Operating Reserve Demand Curve indicates should be paid. If there is more Operating Reserve of the required quality than is needed to meet a particular locational Operating Reserve requirement then the Shadow Price for that Operating Reserve requirement constraint shall be zero.

Each Supplier that is scheduled in real-time to provide Operating Reserve shall be paid the applicable Real-Time Market clearing price, based on its location and the quality of Operating Reserve scheduled, multiplied by the amount of Operating Reserve that the Supplier is scheduled to provide in each interval that was not scheduled Day-Ahead.

15.4.6.2 Calculation of Real-Time Market Clearing Prices for Operating Reserves During EDRP/SCR Activations

15.4.6.2.1 During Intervals When Scarcity Pricing Rule “A” Applies

During any interval in which the ISO is using scarcity pricing rule “A” to calculate LBMPs under Section 17.1.2.2 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff, the real-time market

clearing prices for some Operating Reserves products may be recalculated by in light of the Lost Opportunity Costs of Resources that are scheduled to provide Spinning Reserves and synchronized 30-Minute Reserves in the manner described below. The ISO shall also consider the Lost Opportunity Costs of Resources providing lower quality Operating Reserves to ensure that the requirements of Section 15.4.4.3 of this Rate Schedule are not violated. Specifically:

The Eastern Spinning Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of Spinning Reserve or synchronized 30-Minute Reserve that is scheduled by RTD and is not located on Long Island; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

The Eastern 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of synchronized 30-Minute Reserve that is scheduled by RTD and is not located on Long Island; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

The Eastern 30-Minute Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of synchronized 30-Minute Reserve that is scheduled by RTD and is not located on Long Island; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

The Western Spinning Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of Western Spinning Reserve or Western synchronized 30- Minute Reserves that is scheduled by RTD; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

The Western 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of Western synchronized 30

Minute-Reserve that is scheduled by RTD; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

The Western 30-Minute Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of Western synchronized 30-Minute Reserve that is scheduled by RTD; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

15.4.6.2.2 During Intervals When Scarcity Pricing Rule “B” Applies

During any interval in which the ISO is using scarcity pricing rule “B” to calculate LBMPs under Section 17.1.2.3 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff, the real-time market clearing prices for some Operating Reserves products may be recalculated in light of the Lost Opportunity Costs of Resources scheduled to provide Spinning Reserve and synchronized 30-Minute Reserve in order to satisfy Eastern Operating Reserve requirements in the manner described below. The ISO shall also consider the Lost Opportunity Costs of Resources providing lower quality Operating Reserves to ensure that the requirements of Section 15.4.4.3 of this Rate Schedule are not violated. Specifically:

The Eastern Spinning Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of Eastern Spinning Reserve or Eastern synchronized 30-Minute Reserve that is scheduled by RTD and is not located on Long Island; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

The Eastern 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of Eastern synchronized 30-Minute Reserve that is scheduled by RTD and is not located on Long Island; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

The Eastern 30-Minute Reserve market clearing price shall be the higher of: (i) the highest Lost Opportunity Cost of any provider of Eastern synchronized 30-Minute Reserve that is scheduled by RTD and is not located on Long Island; and (ii) the original market clearing price calculated under Section 15.4.6.1 above.

Real-Time Market clearing prices for Western Reserve shall not be affected under scarcity pricing rule “B”.

15.4.6.3 Operating Reserve Balancing Payments

Any deviation in performance from a Supplier’s Day-Ahead schedule to provide Operating Reserves, including deviations that result from schedule modifications made by the ISO, shall be settled pursuant to the following rules.

- (a) When the Supplier’s real-time Operating Reserves schedule is less than its Day-Ahead Operating Reserves schedule, the Supplier shall pay a charge for the imbalance equal to the product of: (i) the Real-Time Market clearing price for the relevant Operating Reserves Product in the relevant location; and (ii) the difference between the Supplier’s Day-Ahead and real-time Operating Reserves schedules.
- (b) When the Supplier’s real-time Operating Reserves schedule is greater than its Day-Ahead Operating Reserves schedule, the ISO shall pay the Supplier an amount to compensate it for the imbalance equal to the product of: (i) the Real-Time Market clearing price for the relevant Operating Reserve product in the relevant location; and (ii) the difference between the Supplier’s Day-Ahead and real-time Operating Reserves schedules.

15.4.6.4 Other Real-Time Payments

The ISO shall pay Generators that are selected to provide Operating Reserves Day-Ahead, but are directed to convert to Energy production in real-time, the applicable Real-Time LBMP for all Energy they are directed to produce in excess of their Day-Ahead Energy schedule.

A Supplier that bids on behalf of (i) a Generator that provides Operating Reserves or (ii) a Demand Side Resource that provides Operating Reserves may be eligible for a Bid Production Cost guarantee payment pursuant to Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

A Supplier that provides Operating Reserves may also be eligible for a Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment pursuant to Section 4.6.5 and Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

15.4.7 Operating Reserve Demand Curves

The ISO shall establish nine Operating Reserve Demand Curves, one for each Operating Reserves requirement. Specifically, there shall be a demand curve for: (i) Total Spinning Reserves; (ii) Eastern or Long Island Spinning Reserves; (iii) Long Island Spinning Reserves; (iv) Total 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves; (v) Eastern or Long Island 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves; (vi) Long Island 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves; (vii) Total 30-Minute Reserves; (viii) Eastern or Long Island 30-Minute Reserves; and (ix) Long Island 30-Minute Reserves. Each Operating Reserve Demand Curve will apply to both the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market for the relevant product and location.

The market clearing pricing for Operating Reserves shall be calculated pursuant to Sections 15.4.5.1 and 15.4.6.1 of this Rate Schedule and in a manner consistent with the demand curves established in this Section so that Operating Reserves are not purchased by SCUC or RTC at a cost higher than the relevant demand curve indicates should be paid.

The ISO Procedures shall establish and post a target level for each Operating Reserves requirement for each hour, which will be the number of MW of Operating Reserves meeting that requirement that the ISO would seek to maintain in that hour. The ISO will then define an Operating Reserves demand curve for that hour corresponding to each Operating Reserves requirement as follows:

- (a) Total Spinning Reserves: For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the total Spinning Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement, the price on the total Spinning Reserves demand curve shall be \$500/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the total Spinning Reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.
- (b) Eastern or Long Island Spinning Reserves: For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the Eastern or Long Island Spinning Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement, the price on the Eastern or Long Island Spinning Reserves demand curve shall be \$25/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the Eastern or Long Island Spinning Reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.
- (c) Long Island Spinning Reserves. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the Long Island Spinning Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement, the price on the Long Island Spinning Reserves demand curve shall be \$25/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the Long Island Spinning Reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.
- (d) Total 10-Minute Reserves. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the total 10-minute reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for

that requirement, the price on the total 10-minute reserves demand curve shall be \$150/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the total 10-minute reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.

- (e) Eastern or Long Island 10-Minute Reserves. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the Eastern or Long Island 10-minute reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement, the price on the Eastern or Long Island 10-minute reserves demand curve shall be \$500/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the Eastern or Long Island 10-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.
- (f) Long Island 10-Minute Reserves. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the Long Island 10-minute reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement, the price on the Long Island 10-minute reserves demand curve shall be \$25/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the Long Island 10-minute reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.
- (g) Total 30-Minute Reserves. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the total 30-Minute Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement minus 400 MW, the price on the total 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$200/MW. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the total 30-Minute Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement minus 200 MW but that exceed the target level for that requirement minus 400 MW, the price on the total 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$100/MW. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the total 30-Minute Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for

that requirement but that exceed the target level for that requirement minus 200 MW, the price on the total 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$50/MW.

For all other quantities, the price on the total 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW. However, the ISO will not schedule more total 30-Minute Reserves than the level defined by the requirement for that hour.

- (h) Eastern or Long Island 30-Minute Reserves. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the Eastern or Long Island 30-Minute Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement, the price on the Eastern or Long Island 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$25/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the Eastern or Long Island 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.
- (i) Long Island 30-Minute Reserves. For quantities of Operating Reserves meeting the Long Island 30-Minute Reserves requirement that are less than or equal to the target level for that requirement, the price on the Long Island 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$300/MW. For all other quantities, the price on the Long Island 30-Minute Reserves demand curve shall be \$0/MW.

In order to respond to operational or reliability problems that arise in real-time, the ISO may procure any Operating Reserve product at a quantity and/or price point different than those specified above. The ISO shall post a notice of any such purchase as soon as reasonably possible and shall report on the reasons for such purchases at the next meeting of its Business Issues Committee. The ISO shall also immediately initiate an investigation to determine whether it is necessary to modify the quantity and price points specified above to avoid future operational or

reliability problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit when it conducts this investigation.

If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the quantity and/or price points specified above in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems it may temporarily modify them for a period of up to ninety days. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification.

Not later than 90 days after the implementation of the Operating Reserves Demand Curves the ISO, in consultation with its Market Advisor, shall conduct an initial review of them in accordance with the ISO Procedures. The scope of the review shall include, but not be limited to, an analysis of whether any Operating Reserve Demand Curve should be adjusted upward or downward in order to optimize the economic efficiency of any, or all, of the ISO Administered Markets. The ISO and the Market Advisor shall perform additional quarterly reviews, subject to the same scope requirement, during the remainder of the first year that this Section 15.4.7 is in effect. After the first year, the ISO shall perform periodic reviews, subject to the same scope requirement, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall be given the opportunity to review and comment on the ISO's periodic reviews of the Operating Reserve Demand Curves.

The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in the above section of Rate Schedule 4 to the Services Tariff are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.4.2 of Attachment O.

15.4.8 Self-Supply

Transactions may be entered into to provide for Self-Supply of Operating Reserves.

Except as noted in the next paragraph, Customers seeking to Self-Supply Operating Reserves must place the Generator(s) supplying any one of the Operating Reserves under ISO control. The Generator(s) must meet ISO rules for acceptability. The amount that any such Customer will be charged for Operating Reserves will be reduced by the market value of the services provided by the specified Generator(s) as determined in the ISO Services Tariff.

Alternatively, Customers, including LSEs, may enter into Day-Ahead Bilateral financial Transactions, *e.g.*, contracts-for-differences, in order to hedge against price volatility in the Operating Reserves markets.

17.1 LBMP Calculation

The Locational Based Marginal Prices (“LBMPs” or “prices”) for Suppliers and Loads in the Real-Time Market will be based on the system marginal costs produced by either the Real-Time Dispatch (“RTD”) program and during intervals when certain conditions exist at Proxy Generator Buses, the Real-Time Commitment (“RTC”) program. LBMPs for Suppliers and Loads in the Day-Ahead Market will be based on the system marginal costs produced by the Security Constrained Unit Commitment (“SCUC”). LBMPs calculated by SCUC and RTD will incorporate the incremental dispatch costs of Resources that would be scheduled to meet an increment of Load and, to the extent that tradeoffs exist between scheduling providers to produce Energy or reduce demand, and scheduling them to provide Regulation Service or Operating Reserves, LBMPs shall reflect the effect of meeting an increment of Load at each location on the Bid Production Cost associated with those services. As such, those LBMPs may incorporate: (i) Availability Bids for Regulation Service or Operating Reserves; or (ii) shortage costs associated with the inability to meet a Regulation Service or Operating Reserves requirement under the Regulation Service Demand Curve and Operating Reserve Demand Curves set forth in Rate Schedules 3 and 4 respectively of this ISO Services Tariff.

Additionally, for the purpose of calculating Real-Time LBMPs when RTD is committing and dispatching Resources meeting Minimum Generation Levels and capable of starting in ten minutes pursuant to Section 4.4.3.3 of this ISO Services Tariff, RTD shall include in the incremental dispatch cost of each such Resource a start-up cost based on the Start-Up Bid of each such Resource and shall assume for each such Resource a zero downward response rate.

17.1.1 LBMP Bus Calculation Method

System marginal costs will be utilized in an *ex ante* computation to produce Day-Ahead and Real-Time LBMP bus prices using the following equations.

The LBMP at bus i can be written as:

$$\gamma_i = \lambda^R + \gamma_i^L + \gamma_i^C$$

Where:

γ_i	=	LBMP at bus i in \$/MWh
λ^R	=	the system marginal price at the Reference Bus
γ_i^L	=	Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at bus i which is the marginal cost of losses at bus i relative to the Reference Bus
γ_i^C	=	Congestion Component of the LBMP at bus i which is the marginal cost of Congestion at bus i relative to the Reference Bus

The Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at any bus i within the NYCA is calculated using

the equation:

$$\gamma_i^L = (DF_i - 1) \lambda^R$$

Where:

DF_i = delivery factor for bus i to the system Reference Bus and:

$$DF_i = \left(1 - \frac{\partial L}{\partial P_i} \right)$$

Where:

L = system losses; and

P_i = injection at bus i

The Congestion Component of the LBMP at bus i is calculated using the equation:

$$\gamma_i^c = - \left(\sum_{k \in K}^n GF_{ik} \mu_k \right)$$

, except as noted in Sections 17.1.2.2.1 and 17.1.2.3.1 of this Attachment B

Where:

K = the set of Constraints;

GF_{ik} = Shift Factor for bus i on Constraint k in the pre- or post-Contingency case which limits flows across that Constraint (the Shift Factor measures the incremental change in flow on Constraint k, expressed in per unit, for an increment of injection at bus i and a corresponding withdrawal at the Reference Bus); and

μ_k = the Shadow Price of Constraint k expressed in \$/MWh, provided however, this Shadow Price shall not exceed the Transmission Shortage Cost.

Substituting the equations for γ_i^L and γ_i^C into the first equation yields:

$$\gamma_i = \lambda^R + (DF_i - 1)\lambda^R - \sum_{k \in K} GF_{ik} \mu_k$$

LBMPs will be calculated for the Day-Ahead and the Real-Time Markets. In the Day-Ahead Market, the three components of the LBMP at each location will be calculated from the SCUC results and posted for each of the twenty four (24) hours of the next day. The Real-Time LBMPs will be calculated and posted for each execution of RTD.

17.1.2 Real-Time LBMP Calculation Procedures

For each RTD interval, the ISO shall use the procedures described below in Sections 17.1.2.1-17.1.2.1.5 to calculate Real-Time LBMPs at each Load Zone and Generator bus. The LBMP bus and zonal calculation procedures are described in Sections 17.1.1 and 17.1.5 of this Attachment B, respectively. Procedures governing the calculation of LBMPs at Proxy Generator Buses are set forth below in Section 17.1.6 of this Attachment B. In addition, when certain

conditions exist, as defined in the table below, the ISO shall employ the special scarcity pricing rules described in Sections 17.1.2.2 and 17.1.2.3.

SCR/EDRP NYCA Called and Needed	SCR/EDRP East Called and Needed	Scarcity Pricing Rule to be Used in the West	Scarcity Pricing Rule to be Used in the East
NO	NO	NONE	NONE
NO	YES	NONE	B
YES	NO	A	A
YES	YES	A	A

Where:

SCR/EDRP NYCA, Called and Needed	Is “YES” if the ISO has called SCR/EDRP resources and determined that, but for the Expected Load Reduction, the Available Reserves would have been less than the NYCA requirement for total 30-Minute Reserves; or is “NO” otherwise.
SCR/EDRP East, Called and Needed	Is “YES” if the ISO has called SCR/EDRP from resources located East of Central-East and determined that, but for the Expected Load Reduction, the Available Reserves located East of Central-East would have been less than the requirement for 10-Minute Reserves located East of Central-East; or is “NO” otherwise.
Pricing Rule West	Identifies the scarcity pricing rule that will be used, if applicable, to determine the LBMP, the Congestion Component of LBMP, and the Marginal Losses Component of LBMP for all buses and Load Zones located West of Central-East, including the Reference Bus.
Pricing Rule East	Identifies the scarcity pricing rule that will be used, if applicable, to determine the LBMP, the Congestion Component of LBMP, and the Marginal Losses Component of LBMP for all buses and Load Zones located East of Central-East.

17.1.2.1 General Procedures

17.1.2.1.1 Overview

The ISO shall calculate Real-Time Market LBMPs using the three passes of each RTD run, except as noted below in Section 17.1.1.1.3. A new RTD run will initialize every five

minutes and each run will produce prices and schedules for five points in time (the optimization period). Only the prices and schedules determined for the first time point of the optimization period will be binding. Prices and schedules for the other four time points of the optimization period are advisory.

Each RTD run shall, depending on when it occurs during the hour, have a bid optimization horizon of fifty, fifty-five, or sixty minutes beyond the first, or binding, point in time that it addresses. The posting time and the first time point in each RTD run, which establishes binding prices and schedules, will be five minutes apart. The remaining points in time in each optimization period can be either five, ten, or fifteen minutes apart depending on when the run begins within the hour. The points in time in each RTD optimization period are arranged so that they parallel as closely as possible RTC's fifteen minute evaluations.

For example, the RTD run that posts its results at the beginning of an hour ("RTD₀") will initialize at the fifty-fifth minute of the previous hour and produce schedules and prices over a fifty-five minute optimization period. RTD₀ will produce binding prices and schedules for the RTD interval beginning when it posts its results (i.e., at the beginning of the hour) and ending at the first time point in its optimization period (i.e., five minutes after the hour). It will produce advisory prices and schedules for its second time point, which is ten minutes after the first time point in its optimization period, and advisory prices and schedules for its third, fourth and fifth time points, each of which would be fifteen minutes apart. The RTD run that posts its results at five minutes after the beginning of the hour ("RTD₅") will initialize at the beginning of the hour and produce prices over a fifty minute optimization period. RTD₅ will produce binding prices and schedules for the RTD interval beginning when it posts its results (i.e., at five minutes after the hour) and ending at the first time point in its optimization period (i.e., ten minutes after the

hour.) It will produce advisory prices and schedules for its second time point (which is five minutes after the first time point), and advisory prices and schedules for its third, fourth and fifth time points, each of which would be fifteen minutes apart. The RTD run that posts its results at ten minutes after the beginning of the hour (“RTD₁₀”) will initialize at five minutes after the beginning of the hour and produce prices over a sixty minute optimization period. RTD₁₀ will produce binding prices and schedules for the interval beginning when it posts its results (i.e., at ten minutes after the hour) and ending at the first time point in its optimization period (i.e., fifteen minutes after the hour.) It will produce advisory prices and schedules for its second, third, fourth and fifth time points, each of which would be fifteen minutes after the preceding time point.

17.1.2.1.2 Description of the Real-Time Dispatch Process

17.1.2.1.2.1 The First Pass

The first RTD pass consists of a least bid cost, multi-period co-optimized dispatch for Energy, Regulation Service and Operating Reserves that treats all Fixed Block Units that are committed by RTC, or are otherwise instructed to be online or remain online by the ISO as if they were blocked on at their UOL_N or UOL_E, whichever is applicable. Resources meeting Minimum Generation Levels and capable of being started in ten minutes that have not been committed by RTC are treated as flexible (i.e. able to be dispatched anywhere between zero (0) MW and their UOL_N or UOL_E, whichever is applicable). The first pass establishes “physical base points” (i.e., real-time Energy schedules) and real-time schedules for Regulation Service and Operating Reserves for the first time point of the optimization period. Physical base points and schedules established for the first time point shall be binding and shall remain in effect until the results of the next run are posted. Physical base points and schedules established for all

subsequent time points shall be advisory. The first pass also produces information that is used to calculate the RTD Base Point Signals that the ISO sends to Suppliers.

When establishing physical base points, the ISO shall assume that each Generator will move toward the physical base point established during the first pass of the prior RTD run at its specified response rate.

17.1.2.1.2.1.1 Upper and Lower Dispatch Limits for Dispatchable Resources Other Than Intermittent Power Resources That Depend on Wind as Their Fuel

When setting physical base points for a Dispatchable Resource at the first time point, the ISO shall ensure that they do not fall outside of the bounds established by the Dispatchable Resource's lower and upper dispatch limits. A Dispatchable Resource's dispatch limits shall be determined based on whether it was feasible for it to reach the physical base point calculated by the last RTD run given its: (A) metered output level at the time that the RTD run was initialized; (B) response rate; (C) minimum generation level; and (D) UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable. If it was feasible for the Dispatchable Resource to reach that base point, then its upper and lower dispatch limits shall reflect the highest and lowest output levels it could achieve over the next RTD interval, given its UOL_N or UOL_E , as applicable, and starting from its previous base point. If it was not feasible for the Dispatchable Resource to reach that base point, then its upper and lower dispatch limits shall reflect the highest and lowest output levels it could achieve over the next RTD interval, given its UOL_N or UOL_E , as applicable, but instead starting from the feasible output level closest to its previous base point.

When setting physical base points for a Dispatchable Resource at later time points, the ISO shall ensure that they do not fall outside of the bounds established by the Resource's lower and upper dispatch limits for that time point. A Resource's dispatch limits at later time points

shall be based on its: (A) dispatch limits from the first time point; (B) response rate; (C) minimum generation; and (D) UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable.

The upper dispatch limit for a Dispatchable Resource at later time points shall be determined by increasing the upper dispatch limit from the first time point at the Resource's response rate, up to its UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable. The lower dispatch limit for a Dispatchable Resource at later time points shall be determined by decreasing the lower dispatch limit from the first time point at the Resource's response rate, down to its minimum generation level or to a Demand Side Resource's Demand Reduction level.

The RTD Base Point Signals sent to Dispatchable Resources shall be the same as the physical base points determined above.

17.1.2.1.2.1.2 Upper and Lower Dispatch Limits for Intermittent Power Resources That Depend on Wind as Their Fuel

For all time points of the optimization period, the Lower Dispatch Limit shall be zero and the Upper Dispatch Limit shall be the Wind Energy Forecast for that Resource. For Intermittent Power Resources depending on wind as their fuel in commercial operation as of January 1, 2002 with a name plate capacity of 12 MWs or fewer, the Upper and Lower Dispatch Limits shall be the output level specified by the Wind Energy Forecast.

17.1.2.1.2.1.3. Setting Physical Basepoints for Fixed Generators

When setting physical base points for Self-Committed Fixed Generators in any time point, the ISO shall consider the feasibility of the Resource reaching the output levels that it specified in its self-commitment request for each time point in the RTD run given: (A) its metered output at the time that the run was initialized; and (B) its response rate.

When setting physical base points for ISO-Committed Fixed Generators in any time point, the ISO shall consider the feasibility of the Resource reaching the output levels scheduled for it by RTC for each time point in the RTD run given: (A) its metered output at the time that the run was initialized; and (B) its response rate.

The RTD Base Point Signals sent to Self-Committed Fixed Generators shall follow the quarter hour operating schedules that those Generators submitted in their real-time self-commitment requests

The RTD Base Point Signals sent to ISO-Committed Fixed Generators shall follow the quarter hour operating schedules established for those Generators by RTC, regardless of their actual performance. To the extent possible, the ISO shall honor the response rates specified by such Generators when establishing RTD Base Point Signals. If a Self-Committed Fixed Generator's operating schedule is not feasible based on its real-time self-commitment requests then its RTD Base Point Signals shall be determined using a response rate consistent with the operating schedule changes.

17.1.2.1.2.2 The Second Pass

The second RTD pass consists of a least bid cost, multi-period, co-optimized dispatch for Energy, Regulation Service, and Operating Reserves that treats all Fixed Block Units that are committed by RTC, all Resources meeting Minimum Generation Levels and capable of starting in ten minutes that have not been committed by RTC and all units otherwise instructed to be online or remain online by the ISO, as flexible (i.e., able to be dispatched anywhere between zero (0) MW and their UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable), regardless of their minimum run-time status. This pass shall establish "hybrid base points" (i.e., real-time Energy schedules) that are used in the third pass to determine whether minimum run-time constrained Fixed Block Units

should be blocked on at their UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable, or dispatched flexibly.

The ISO will not use schedules for Energy, Regulation Service and Operating Reserves established in the second pass to dispatch Resources.

The upper and lower dispatch limits used for ISO-Committed Fixed and Self-Committed Fixed Resources shall be the same as the physical base points calculated in the first pass.

17.1.2.1.2.2.1 Upper and Lower Dispatch Limits for Dispatchable Resources Other Than Intermittent Power Resources That Depend on Wind as Their Fuel

The upper dispatch limit for the first time point of the second pass for a Dispatchable Resource shall be the higher of: (A) its upper dispatch limit from the first pass; or (B) its “pricing base point” from the first time point of the prior RTD interval adjusted up within its Dispatchable range for any possible ramping since that pricing base point was issued less the higher of: (i) the physical base point established during the first pass of the RTD immediately prior to the previous RTD minus the Resource’s metered output level at the time that the current RTD run was initialized, or (ii) zero.

The lower dispatch limit for the first time point of the second pass for a Dispatchable Resource shall be the lower of: (A) its lower dispatch limit from the first pass; or (B) its “pricing base point” from the first time point of the prior RTD interval adjusted down within its Dispatchable range to account for any possible ramping since that pricing base point was issued plus the higher of: (i) the Resource’s metered output level at the time that the current RTD run was initialized minus the physical base point established during the first pass of the RTD immediately prior to the previous RTD; or (ii) zero.

The upper dispatch limit for the later time points of the second pass for a Dispatchable Resource shall be determined by increasing its upper dispatch limit from the first time point at the Resource’s response rate, up to its UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable. The lower

dispatch limit for the later time points of the second pass for such a Resource shall be determined by decreasing its lower dispatch limit from the first time point at the Resource's response rate, down to its minimum generation level.

17.1.2.1.2.2 Upper and Lower Dispatch Limits for Intermittent Power Resources That Depend on Wind as Their Fuel

For the first time point and later time points for Intermittent Power Resources that depend on wind as their fuel, the Lower Dispatch Limit shall be zero and the Upper Dispatch Limit shall be the Wind Energy Forecast for that Resource. For Intermittent Power Resources depending on wind as their fuel in commercial operation as of January 1, 2002 with a name plate capacity of 12 MWs or fewer, the Upper and Lower Dispatch Limits shall be the output level specified by the Wind Energy Forecast.

17.1.2.1.2.3 The Third Pass

The third RTD pass is the same as the second pass with three variations. First, the third pass treats Fixed Block Units that are committed by RTC, or are otherwise instructed to be online or remain online by the ISO that received a non-zero physical base point in the first pass, and that received a hybrid base point of zero in the second pass, as blocked on at their UOL_N or UOL_E , whichever is applicable. Second, the third pass produces "pricing base points" instead of hybrid base points. Third, and finally, the third pass calculates real-time

Energy prices and real-time Shadow Prices for Regulation Service and Operating Reserves that the ISO shall use for settlement purposes pursuant to Article 4, Rate Schedule 15.3, and Rate Schedule 15.4 of this ISO Services Tariff respectively. The ISO shall not use schedules for Energy, Regulation Service and Operating Reserves that are established in the third pass to dispatch Resources.

17.1.2.1.3 Variations in RTD-CAM

When the ISO activates RTD-CAM, the following variations to the rules specified above in Sections 17.1.2.1.1 and 17.1.2.1.2 shall apply.

First, if the ISO enters reserve pickup mode: (i) the ISO will produce prices and schedules for a single ten minute interval (not for a multi-point co-optimization period); (ii) the Regulation Service markets will be temporarily suspended as described in Rate Schedule 15.3 of this ISO Services Tariff; (iii) the ISO will have discretion to make additional Generator commitments before executing the three RTD passes; and (iv) the ISO will have discretion to allow the RTD Base Point Signal of each Dispatchable Generator to be set to the higher of the Generator's physical base point or its actual generation level.

Second, if the ISO enters maximum generation pickup mode: (i) the ISO will produce prices and schedules for a single five minute interval (not for a multi-point co-optimization period); (ii) the Regulation Service markets will be temporarily suspended as described in Rate Schedule 15.3 of this ISO Services Tariff; (iii) the ISO will have discretion to make additional Generator commitments in the affected area before executing the three RTD passes; and (iv) the ISO will have discretion to either move the RTD Base Point Signal of each Generator within the affected area towards its UOL_E at its emergency response rate or set it at a level equal to its physical base point.

Third, if the ISO enters basepoints ASAP – no commitments mode it will produce prices and schedules for a single five minute interval (not for a multi-point co-optimization period).

Fourth, if the ISO enters basepoints ASAP – commit as needed mode: (i) the ISO will produce price and schedules for a single five minute interval (not for a multi-point co-optimization period); and (ii) the ISO may make additional commitments of Generators that are capable of starting within ten minutes before executing the three RTD passes.

Fifth, and finally, if the ISO enters re-sequencing mode it will solve for a ten-minute optimization period consisting of two five-minute time points.

17.1.2.1.4 The Real-Time Commitment (“RTC”) Process and Automated Mitigation

Attachment H of this Services Tariff shall establish automated market power mitigation measures that may affect the calculation of Real-Time LBMPs. To the extent that these measures are implemented they shall be incorporated into the RTC software through the establishment of a second, parallel, commitment evaluation that will assess the impact of the mitigation measures. The first evaluation, referred to as the “RTC evaluation,” will determine the schedules and prices that would result using an original set of offers and Bids before any additional mitigation measures, the necessity for which will be considered in the RTC evaluation, are applied. The second evaluation, referred to as the “RT-AMP” evaluation, will determine the schedules and prices that would result from using the original set of offers and bids as modified by any necessary mitigation measures. Both evaluations will follow the rules governing RTC’s operation that are set forth in Article 4 and this Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff.

In situations where Attachment H specifies that real-time automated mitigation measures be utilized, the ISO will perform the two parallel RTC evaluations in a manner that enables it to implement mitigation measures one RTC run (i.e., fifteen minutes) in the future. For example, RTC₁₅ and RT-AMP₁₅ will perform Resource commitment evaluations simultaneously. RT-AMP₁₅ will then apply the mitigation “impact” test, account for reference bid levels as appropriate and determine which Resources are actually to be mitigated. This information will then be conveyed to RTC₃₀ which will make Resource commitments consistent with the

application of the mitigation measures (and will thus indirectly be incorporated into future RTD runs).

17.1.2.2 Scarcity Pricing Rule “A”

The ISO shall implement the following price calculation procedures for intervals when scarcity pricing rule “A” is applicable.

17.1.2.2.1 Except as noted in 17.1.2.2.2 below:

- The system marginal price (λ^R , as defined in Section 17.1.1 of this Attachment B) at the Reference Bus shall be determined by dividing the lowest offer price at which the quantity of Special Case Resources offered is equal to $RREQ_{NYCA} - (RACT_{NYCA} - ELR_{NYCA})$, or \$500/MWh if the total quantity of Special Case Resources offered is less than $RREQ_{NYCA} - (RACT_{NYCA} - ELR_{NYCA})$, by the weighted average of the delivery factors produced by RTD that the ISO uses in its calculation of prices for Load Zone J in that RTD interval, where:

- $RACT_{NYCA}$ equals the quantity of Available Reserves in the RTD interval;
- $RREQ_{NYCA}$ equals the 30-Minute Reserve requirement set by the ISO for the NYCA; and
- ELR_{NYCA} equals the Expected Load Reduction in the NYCA from the Emergency Demand Response Program and Special Case Resources in that RTD interval.
- The Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at each location shall be calculated as the product of the LBMP at the Reference Bus and a quantity equal to the delivery factor produced by RTD for that location minus one as defined in Section 17.1.1 of this Attachment

- The Congestion Component of the LBMP at each location shall be set to zero.
- The LBMP at each location shall be as defined in Section 17.1.1 of this Attachment: the sum of the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at that location, plus the Congestion Component of the LBMP at that location, plus the LBMP at the Reference Bus.

17.1.1.2.2 However, the ISO shall not use this procedure to set the LBMP for any location lower than the LBMP for that Load Zone or Generator bus calculated pursuant to Section 17.1.2.1, above. In cases in which the procedures described above would cause this rule to be violated:

- The LBMP at each location (including the Reference Bus) shall be set to the greater of the LBMP calculated for that location pursuant to Section 17.1.2.1 of this Attachment B; or the LBMP calculated for that location using the scarcity pricing rule “A” procedures.
- The Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at each location shall be calculated as the product of the LBMP at the Reference Bus and a quantity equal to the delivery factor produced by RTD for that location minus one.
- The Congestion Component of the LBMP at each location shall be calculated as the LBMP at that location, minus the LBMP at the Reference Bus, minus the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at that location.

17.1.2.3 Scarcity Pricing Rule “B”

The ISO shall implement the following procedures in intervals when scarcity pricing rule “B” is applicable:

17.1.2.3.1 Except as noted in Pricing Rule 17.1.2.3.2 below:

- The Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at each location shall be calculated as the product of the LBMP calculated for the Reference Bus (according to Section 17.1.2.1) and a quantity equal to the delivery factor produced by RTD for that location minus one.
- The Congestion Component of the LBMP at each location shall be equal to the lowest offer price at which the quantity of Special Case Resources offered is equal to $RREQ_{East} - (RACT_{East} - ELR_{East})$, or \$500/MWh if the total quantity of Special Case Resources offered is less than $RREQ_{East} - (RACT_{East} - ELR_{East})$, minus the LBMP calculated for the Reference Bus (according to Section 17.1.2.1), minus the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP for Load Zone J,

where:

- $RACT_{East}$ equals the quantity of Available Reserves located East of Central-East in that RTD interval;
- $RREQ_{East}$ equals the 10-Minute Reserve requirement set by the ISO for the portion of the NYCA located East of the Central-East interface; and
- ELR_{East} equals the Expected Load Reduction East of Central-East from the Emergency Demand Response Program and Special Case Resources in that RTD interval. The LBMP at each location shall be the sum of the LBMP calculated for the Reference Bus (according to Section 17.1.2.1) and the Marginal Loss Component and the Congestion Component for that location.

17.1.2.3.2 However, the ISO shall not use this procedure to set the LBMP for any location lower than the LBMP for that Load Zone or Generator bus calculated pursuant to Section 17.1.2.1, above. In cases in which the procedures described above would cause this rule to be violated:

- The LBMP at each such location shall be set to the LBMP calculated for that location pursuant to Section 17.1.2.1
- The Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at each location shall be calculated as the product of the LBMP calculated for the Reference Bus (according to Section 17.1.2.1) and a quantity equal to the delivery factor produced by RTD for that location minus one.
- The Congestion Component of the LBMP at each such location shall be calculated as the LBMP at that location, minus the LBMP calculated for the Reference Bus (according to Section 17.1.2.1), minus the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at that location.

17.1.3 Day-Ahead LBMP Calculation Procedures

LBMPs in the Day-Ahead Market are calculated using five passes. The first two passes are commitment and dispatch passes; the last three are dispatch only passes.

Pass 1 consists of a least cost commitment and dispatch to meet Bid Load and reliable operation of the NYS Power System that includes Day-Ahead Reliability Units.

It consists of several steps. Step 1A is a complete Security Constrained Unit Commitment (“SCUC”) to meet Bid Load. At the end of this step, committed Fixed Block Units, Imports, Exports, Virtual Supply, Virtual Load, Demand Side Resources and non-Fixed Block Units are dispatched to meet Bid Load with Fixed Block Units treated as dispatchable on a

flexible basis. For mitigation purposes, LBMPs are calculated from this dispatch. Following Step 1A, SCUC tests for automated mitigation procedure (“AMP”) activation.

If AMP is activated, Step 1B tests to determine if the AMP will be triggered by mitigating offer prices subject to mitigation that exceed the conduct threshold to their respective reference prices. These mitigated offer prices together with all originally submitted offer prices not subject to automatic mitigation are then used to commit generation and dispatch energy to meet Bid Load. This step is another iteration of the SCUC process. At the end of Step 1B, committed Fixed Block Units, Imports, Exports, Virtual Supply, Virtual Load, Demand Side Resources, and non-Fixed Block Units are again dispatched to meet Bid Load using the same mitigated or unmitigated Bids used to determine the commitment to meet Bid Load, with Fixed Block Units treated as dispatchable on a flexible basis. For mitigation purposes, LBMPs are again calculated from this dispatch. The LBMPs determined at the end of Step 1B are compared to the LBMPs determined at the end of Step 1A to determine the hours and zones in which the impact test is met.

In Step 1C, generation offer prices subject to mitigation that exceed the conduct threshold are mitigated for those hours and zones in which the impact test was met in Step 1B. The mitigated offer prices, together with the original unmitigated offer price of units whose offer prices were not subject to mitigation, or did not trigger the conduct or impact thresholds, are used to commit generation and dispatch energy to meet Bid Load. This step is also a complete iteration of the SCUC process. At the end of Step 1C, committed Fixed Block Units, Imports, Exports, virtual supply, virtual load, Demand Side Resources, and non-Fixed Block Units are again dispatched to meet Bid Load, with Fixed Block Units treated as dispatchable on a flexible basis. For mitigation purposes, LBMPs are again calculated from this dispatch.

All Demand Side Resources and non-Fixed Block Units committed in the final step of Pass 1 (which could be either step 1A, 1B, or 1C depending on activation of and the AMP) are blocked on at least to minimum load in Passes 4 through 6. The resources required to meet local system reliability are determined in Pass 1.

Pass 2 consists of a least cost commitment and dispatch of Fixed Block Units, Imports, Exports, Demand Side Resources and non-Fixed Block Units to meet forecast Load requirements in excess of Bid Load, considering the Wind Energy Forecast, that minimizes the cost of incremental Minimum Generation and Start Up Bids, given revenues for Minimum Generation Energy based on LBMPs calculated in Pass 1, and assumes all Fixed Block Units are dispatchable on a flexible basis. Incremental Import Capacity needed to meet forecast Load requirements is determined in Pass 2. Fixed Block Units committed in this pass are not included in the least cost dispatches of Passes 5 or 6. Demand Side Resources and non-Fixed Block Units committed in this step are blocked on at least to minimum Load in Passes 4 through 6. Intermittent Power Resources that depend on wind as their fuel committed in this pass as a result of the consideration of the Wind Energy Forecast are not blocked in Passes 5 or 6.

Pass 3 is reserved for future use.

Pass 4 consists of a least cost dispatch to forecast Load. It is not used to set schedules or prices. It is used for operational purposes and provides a dispatch of Fixed Block Units, Imports, Exports, Demand Side Resources and non-Fixed Block Units committed in Passes 1 or 2. Incremental Import Capacity committed in Pass 2 is re-evaluated and may be reduced if no longer required.

Pass 5 consists of a least cost dispatch of Fixed Block Units, Imports, Exports, Virtual Supply, Virtual Load, Demand Side Resources and non-Fixed Block Units committed to meet

Bid Load, based where appropriate on offer prices as mitigated in Pass 1. Fixed Block Units are treated as dispatchable on a flexible basis. LBMPs used to settle the Day-Ahead Market are calculated from this dispatch. The Shadow Prices used to compute Day-Ahead Market clearing prices for Regulation Service and for Operating Reserves in Rate Schedules 3 and 4 of this ISO Services Tariff are also calculated from this dispatch. Final schedules for all Imports, Exports, Virtual Supply, Virtual Load, Demand Side Resources and non-Fixed Block Units in the Day-Ahead Market are calculated from this dispatch.

Pass 6 consists of a least cost dispatch of all Day-Ahead committed Resources, Imports, Exports, Virtual Supply, Virtual Load, based where appropriate on offer prices as mitigated in Pass 1, with the schedules of all Fixed Block Units committed in the final step of Pass 1 blocked on at maximum Capacity. Final schedules for Fixed Block Units in the Day-Ahead Market are calculated from this dispatch.

17.1.4 Determination of Transmission Shortage Cost

The Transmission Shortage Cost represents the limit on system costs associated with efficient dispatch to meet a particular Constraint. It is the maximum Shadow Price that will be used in calculating LBMPs. The Transmission Shortage Cost is set at \$4000 / MWh.

The ISO may periodically evaluate the Transmission Shortage Cost to determine whether it is necessary to modify the Transmission Shortage Cost to avoid future operational or reliability problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit after it conducts this evaluation. If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the Transmission Shortage Cost in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems the resolution of which would otherwise require recurring operator intervention outside normal market scheduling procedures, in order to avoid among other reliability issues, a violation of NERC Interconnection Reliability

Operating Limits or System Operating Limits, it may temporarily modify it for a period of up to ninety days, provided however the NYISO shall file such change with the Commission pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act within 45 days of such modification. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification and shall explain the reasons for the change.

The responsibilities of the ISO and the Market Monitoring Unit in evaluating and modifying the Transmission Shortage Cost, as necessary are addressed in Attachment O, Section 30.4.6.8.1 of this Market Services Tariff (“Market Monitoring Plan”).

17.1.5 Zonal LBMP Calculation Method

The computation described in Section 17.1.1 of this Attachment B is at the bus level. An eleven (11) zone model will be used for the LBMP billing related to Loads. The LBMP for a zone will be a Load weighted average of the Load bus LBMPs in the zone. The Load weights which will sum to unity will be calculated from the load bus MW distribution. Each component of the LBMP for a zone will be calculated as a Load weighted average of the Load bus LBMP components in the zone. The LBMP for a zone j can be written as:

$$\gamma_j^Z = \lambda^R + \gamma_j^{L,Z} + \gamma_j^{C,Z}$$

where:

$$\gamma_j^Z = \text{LBMP for zone } j,$$

$$\gamma_j^{L,Z} = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \gamma_i^L \quad \text{is the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP for zone } j;$$

$\gamma_j^{c,z} = \sum W_i \gamma_i^c$ is the Congestion Component of the LBMP for zone j;

n = number of Load buses in zone j for which LBMPs are calculated; and

W_i = load weighting factor for bus i.

17.1.6 Real Time LBMP Calculation Methods for Proxy Generator Buses, Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Buses and Proxy Generator Buses Associated with Designated Scheduled Lines

17.1.6.1 General Rules

External Generators and Loads can bid into the LBMP Market or participate in Bilateral Transactions. External Generators may arrange Bilateral Transactions with Internal or External Loads and External Loads may arrange Bilateral Transactions with Internal Generators.

The Generator and Load locations for which LBMPs will be calculated will initially be limited to a pre-defined set of buses External to the NYCA. LBMPs will be calculated for each bus within this limited set. The three components of LBMP will be calculated from the results of RTD, or, except as set forth in Sections 17.1.6.2 and 17.1.6.3 below, in the case of a Proxy Generator Bus, from the results of RTC₁₅ during periods in which (1) proposed economic transactions over the Interface between the NYCA and the Control Area with which that Proxy Generator Bus is associated would exceed the Available Transfer Capability for the Proxy Generator Bus or for that Interface, (2) proposed interchange schedule changes pertaining to the NYCA as a whole would exceed any Ramp Capacity limits in place for the NYCA as a whole, or (3) proposed interchange schedule changes pertaining to the Interface between the NYCA and

the Control Area with which that Proxy Generator Bus is associated would exceed any Ramp Capacity limit imposed by the ISO for the Proxy Generator Bus or for that Interface.

17.1.6.2 Rules for Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Buses

Real-Time LBMPs for a Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus shall be determined as follows. When (i) proposed Real-Time Market economic net Import transactions into the NYCA from the Control Area in which the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located would exceed the Available Transfer Capability for the Interface between the NYCA and the Control Area in which the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located or would exceed the Available Transfer Capability of the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus, or (ii) proposed interchange schedule changes pertaining to increases in Real-Time Market net imports into the NYCA from the Control Area in which the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located would exceed the Ramp Capacity limit imposed by the ISO for the Interface between the NYCA and the Control Area in which the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located or would exceed the Ramp Capacity limit imposed by the ISO for the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus, the Real-Time LBMP at the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus will be the higher of (i) the RTC-determined price at that Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus or (ii) the lower of the LBMP determined by RTD for that Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus or zero.

When (i) proposed Real-Time Market economic net Export Transactions from the NYCA to the Control Area in which the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located would exceed the Available Transfer Capability for the Interface between the NYCA and the Control Area in which the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located or would exceed the Available Transfer Capability of the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus, or (ii) proposed interchange schedule changes pertaining to increases in Real-Time Market net Exports from the NYCA to the

Control Area in which the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located would exceed the Ramp Capacity limit imposed by the ISO for the Interface between the NYCA and the Control Area in which that Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus is located or would exceed the Ramp Capacity limit imposed by the ISO for the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus, the Real-Time LBMP at the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus will be the lower of (i) the RTC-determined price at the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus or (ii) the higher of the LBMP determined by RTD for the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus or the Day-Ahead LBMP determined by SCUC for the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus. At all other times, the Real-Time LBMP shall be calculated as specified in Section 17.1.6.1 above.

17.1.6.3 Special Pricing Rules for Scheduled Lines

Real-Time LBMPs for the Proxy Generator Buses associated with designated Scheduled Lines shall be determined as follows:

When proposed Real-Time Market economic net Import Transactions into the NYCA associated with a designated Scheduled Line would exceed the Available Transfer Capability of the designated Scheduled Line, the Real-Time LBMP at the Proxy Generator Bus associated with the designated Scheduled Line will be the higher of (i) the RTC-determined price at that Proxy Generator Bus or (ii) the lower of the LBMP determined by RTD for that Proxy Generator Bus or zero.

When proposed Real-Time Market economic net Export Transactions from the NYCA associated with a designated Scheduled Line would exceed the Available Transfer Capability of the designated Scheduled Line, the Real-Time LBMP at the Proxy Generator Bus associated with the designated Scheduled Line will be the lower of (i) the RTC-determined price at the Proxy Generator Bus or (ii) the higher of the LBMP determined by RTD for the Proxy Generator Bus

or the Day-Ahead LBMP determined by SCUC for the Proxy Generator Bus. At all other times, the Real-Time LBMP shall be calculated as specified in Section 17.1.6.1 above.

The Cross-Sound Scheduled Line, the Neptune Scheduled Line, and the Linden VFT Scheduled Line are designated Scheduled Lines.

17.1.6.4 Method of Calculating Marginal Loss and Congestion Components of Real-Time LBMP at Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Buses and Proxy Generator Buses that are Subject to the Special Pricing Rule for Scheduled Lines

Under the conditions specified below, the Marginal Losses Component and the Congestion Component of the Real-Time LBMP, calculated pursuant to the preceding paragraphs in Sections 17.1.6.2 and 17.1.6.3, shall be constructed as follows:

When the Real-Time LBMP is set to zero and that zero price was not the result of using the RTD, RTC or SCUC-determined LBMP;

Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP = $Losses_{RTC \text{ PROXY GENERATOR BUS}}$;

and

Congestion Component of the Real-Time LBMP = $-(Energy_{RTC \text{ REF BUS}} + Losses_{RTC \text{ PROXY GENERATOR BUS}})$.

When the Real-Time LBMP is set to the Day-Ahead LBMP:

Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP = $Losses_{RTC \text{ PROXY GENERATOR BUS}}$;

and

Congestion Component of the Real-Time LBMP = $Day\text{-}Ahead \text{ LBMP}_{\text{PROXY GENERATOR BUS}} - (Energy_{RTC \text{ REF BUS}} + Losses_{RTC \text{ PROXY GENERATOR BUS}})$.

where:

$Energy_{RTC \text{ REF BUS}}$ = marginal Bid cost of providing Energy at the reference Bus, as calculated by RTC_{15} for the hour;

Losses_{RTC PROXY GENERATOR BUS} = Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP as calculated by RTC₁₅ at the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus or Proxy Generator Bus associated with a designated Scheduled Line for the hour; and

Day-Ahead LBMP_{PROXY GENERATOR BUS} = Day-Ahead LBMP as calculated by SCUC for the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus or Proxy Generator Bus associated with a designated Scheduled Line for the hour.

17.1.6.5 The Marginal Losses Component of LBMP at Proxy Generator Buses

The components of LBMP will be posted in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets as described in this Section 17.1.6, except that the Marginal Losses Component of LBMP will be calculated differently for Internal locations. The Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at each bus, as described above, includes the difference between the marginal cost of losses at that bus and the Reference Bus. If this formulation were employed for an External bus, then the Marginal Losses Component would include the difference in the cost of Marginal Losses for a section of the transmission system External to the NYCA. Since the ISO will not charge for losses incurred Externally, the formulation will exclude these loss effects. To exclude these External loss effects, the Marginal Losses Component will be calculated from points on the boundary of the NYCA to the Reference Bus.

The Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at the External bus will be a weighted average of the Marginal Losses Components of the LBMPs at the Interconnection Points. To derive the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at an External location, a Transaction will be assumed to be scheduled from the External bus to the Reference Bus. The Shift Factors for this Transaction on the tie lines into these Interconnection buses, which measure the per-unit effect of flows over each of those tie lines that results from the hypothetical transaction, will

provide the weights for this calculation. Since all the power from this assumed Transaction crosses the NYCA boundary, the sum of these weights is unity.

The sum of the products of these Shift Factors and the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at each of these Interconnection buses yields the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP that will be used for the External bus. Therefore, the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at an External bus E is calculated using the equation:

$$\gamma_E^L = \sum_{b \in I} F_{Eb} (DF_b - 1) \lambda^R$$

where:

- γ_E^L = Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at an External bus E;
- F_{Eb} = Shift Factor for the tie line going through bus b, computed for a hypothetical Bilateral Transaction from bus E to the Reference Bus;
- $(DF_b - 1) \lambda^R$ = Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP at bus b; and
- I = The set of Interconnection buses between the NYCA and adjacent Control Areas.

23.4. Mitigation Measures

23.4.1. Purpose and Terms

If conduct is detected that meets the criteria specified in Section 23.3, the appropriate mitigation measure described in this Section shall be applied by the ISO. The conduct specified in Sections 23.3.1.1 to 23.3.1.3 shall be remedied by (1) the prospective application of a default bid measure, or (2) the application of a default bid to correct guarantee payments, as further described in Section 23.4.2.2.4, below. If a Market Party or its Affiliates engage in physical withholding by providing the ISO false information regarding the derating or outage of an Electric Facility or does not operate a Generator in conformance with ISO dispatch instructions such that the prospective application of a default bid is not feasible, or if otherwise appropriate to deter either physical or economic withholding, the ISO shall apply the sanction described in Section 23.4.3.

Terms with initial capitalization not defined in Section 23.4 shall have the meaning set forth in the Open Access Transmission Tariff.

23.4.2 Default Bid

23.4.2.1 Purpose

A default bid shall be designed to cause a Market Party to bid as if it faced workable competition during a period when (i) the Market Party does not face workable competition, and (b) has responded to such condition by engaging in the physical or economic withholding of an Electric Facility. In designing and implementing default bids, the ISO shall seek to avoid causing an Electric Facility to bid below its marginal cost.

23.4.2.2 Implementation

23.4.2.2.1 If the criteria contained in Section 23.3 are met, the ISO may substitute a default bid for a bid submitted for an Electric Facility. The default bid shall establish a maximum or minimum value for one or more components of the submitted bid, equal to a reference level for that component determined as specified in Section 23.3.1.4.

23.4.2.2.2 An Electric Facility subject to a default bid shall be paid the LBMP or other market clearing price applicable to the output from the facility. Accordingly, a default bid shall not limit the price that a facility may receive unless the default bid determines the LBMP or other market clearing price applicable to that facility.

23.4.2.2.3 If an Electric Facility is mitigated to a default bid for an Incremental Energy Bid other than a default bid determined as specified in Section 23.3.1.4, the Electric Facility shall receive an additional payment for each interval in which such mitigation occurs equal to the product of: (i) the amount of Energy in that interval scheduled or dispatched to which the incorrect default bid was applied; (ii) the difference between (a) the lesser of the applicable unmitigated bid and a default bid determined in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4, and (b) the applicable LBMP or other relevant market price in each such interval, if (a) greater than (b), or zero otherwise; and (iii) the length of that interval.

23.4.2.2.4 Except as may be specifically authorized by the Commission:

23.4.2.2.4.1 The ISO shall not use a default bid to determine revised market clearing prices for periods prior to the imposition of the default bid.

23.4.2.2.4.2 The ISO shall only be permitted to apply default bids to determine revised real-time guarantee payments to a Market Party in accordance with the provisions of Section 23.3.3.3 of these Mitigation Measures.

23.4.2.2.5 Automated implementation of default bid mitigation measures shall be subject to the following requirements.

23.4.2.2.5.1 Automated mitigation procedures shall not be applied to hydroelectric resources or External Generators. In addition, except as specified below the following shall not be mitigated on an automated basis: (i) bids by a Market Party or its Affiliates that together have bidding control over 50 MW or less of capacity; or (ii) bids by a Market Party or its Affiliates that together have bidding control over 50 MW or more of capacity if the bids by such entities that meet the applicable conduct test for mitigation are for an amount of capacity that totals 50 MW or less. The foregoing exemptions shall be reduced or discontinued for any Market Party or its Affiliates determined by the ISO, after consulting with the Market Party as specified in Section 23.3.3, to be submitting bids that constitute economic withholding that has a significant effect on prices or guarantee payments. The foregoing exemptions shall not apply to mitigation imposed pursuant to Sections 23.3.1.2.2 and 23.3.2.1.3 of this Attachment H.

23.4.2.2.5.2 Automated mitigation measures shall not be applied if the price effects of the measures would cause the average day-ahead energy price in the mitigated locations or zones to rise over the entire day.

23.4.2.2.5.3 Automated mitigation measures as specified in Section 23.3.2.2.3 shall be applied to Minimum Generation Bids and start-up costs Bids meeting the applicable conduct and impact tests. When mitigation of Minimum Generation Bids is warranted, mitigation shall be imposed from the first hour in which the

impact test is met to the last hour in which the impact test is met, or for the duration of the mitigated Generator's minimum run time, whichever is longer.

23.4.2.2.5.4 The posting of the Day-Ahead schedule may be delayed if necessary for the completion of automated mitigation procedures.

23.4.2.2.5.5 Bids not mitigated under automated procedures shall remain subject to mitigation by other procedures specified herein as may be appropriate.

23.4.2.2.5.6 The role of automated mitigation measures in the determination of Day-Ahead market clearing prices is described in Section 17.1.3 of Attachment B of the ISO Services Tariff.

23.4.2.2.6 A Real-Time automated mitigation measure shall remain in effect for the duration of any hour in which there is an RTC interval for which such mitigation is deemed warranted.

23.4.2.2.7 A default bid shall not be imposed on a Generator that is not in the New York Control Area and that is electrically interconnected with another Control Area.

23.4.3 Sanctions

23.4.3.1 Types of Sanctions

The ISO may impose financial penalties on a Market Party in amounts determined as specified below.

23.4.3.2 Imposition

The ISO shall impose financial penalties as provided in this Section 23.4.3, if the ISO determines in accordance with the thresholds and other standards specified in this Attachment H that: (i) a Market Party has engaged in physical withholding, including providing the ISO false information regarding the derating or outage of an Electric Facility; or (ii) a Market Party or its

Affiliates have failed to follow the ISOs dispatch instructions in real-time, resulting in a different output level than would have been expected had the Market Party's or the Affiliate's generation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, and such conduct has caused a material increase in one or more prices or guarantee payments in an ISO Administered Market; or (iii) a Market Party has made unjustifiable changes to one or more operating parameters of a Generator that reduce its ability to provide Energy or Ancillary Services; or (iv) a Load Serving Entity has been subjected to a Penalty Level payment in accordance with Section 23.4.4 below; or (v) a Market Party has submitted inaccurate fuel type or fuel price information that is used by the ISO in the development of a Generator's reference level, where the inaccurate reference level that is developed, in turn, directly or indirectly impacts guarantee payments or market clearing prices paid to the Market Party; or (vi) the opportunity to submit Incremental Energy Bids into the real-time market that exceed Incremental Energy Bids made in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, has been revoked for a Market Party's Generator pursuant to Sections 23.4.7.2 and 23.4.7.3 of these Mitigation Measures.

23.4.3.3 Base Penalty Amount

23.4.3.3.1 Except for financial penalties determined pursuant to Sections 23.4.3.3.2, 23.4.3.3.3, and 23.4.3.3.4 below, financial penalties shall be determined by the product of the Base Penalty Amount, as specified below, times the appropriate multiplier specified in Section 23.4.3.4:

MW meeting the standards for mitigation during Mitigated Hours * Penalty
LBMP.

23.4.3.3.1.1 For purposes of determining a Base Penalty Amount, the term "Mitigated Hours" shall mean: (i) for a Day-Ahead Market, the hours in which MW were withheld; (ii) for a Real-Time Market, the hours in the calendar day in which MW

were withheld; and (iii) for load bids, the hours giving rise to Penalty Level payments.

23.4.3.3.1.2 For purposes of determining a Base Penalty Amount, the term “Penalty LBMP” shall mean: (i) for a seller, the LBMP at the generator bus of the withheld resource; and (ii) for a Load Serving Entity, its zonal LBMP.

23.4.3.3.2 The financial penalty for failure to follow ISOs dispatch instructions in real-time, resulting in real-time operation at a different output level than would have been expected had the Market Party’s or the Affiliate’s generation followed the ISO’s dispatch instructions, if the conduct violates the thresholds set forth in Sections 23.3.1.1.1.2, or 23.3.1.3.1.2 of these Mitigation Measures, and if a Market Party or its Affiliates, or at least one Generator, is determined to have had impact in accordance with Section 23.3.2.1 of these Mitigation Measures, shall be:

One and a half times the estimated additional real time LBMP and Ancillary Services revenues earned by the Generator, or Market Party and its Affiliates, meeting the standards for impact during intervals in which MW were not provided or were overproduced.

23.4.3.3.3 If inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information was submitted by or for a Market Party, and the reference level that the ISO developed based on that inaccurate information impacted guarantee payments or market clearing prices paid to the Market Party in a manner that violates the thresholds specified in this Section 23.4.3.3.3, then, following consultation with the Market Party regarding the appropriate fuel type and/or fuel price, the ISO shall apply the penalty set forth below, unless: (i) the Market Party shows that the information was submitted in compliance with the requirements of Section 4.1.9 of the ISO

Services Tariff (Incremental Cost Recovery for Units Responding to Local Reliability Rule I-R3 or I-R5), or (ii) the total penalty calculated for a particular Day-Ahead or Real-Time Market day is less than \$5,000, in which case the ISO will not apply a penalty.

23.4.3.3.3.1 Day-Ahead Conduct and Market Impact Tests

23.4.3.3.3.1.1 Day-Ahead Conduct Test

Using the higher of (a) a revised reference level calculated using the Generator's actual fuel costs, or (b) the reference level that would have been in place for the Generator but for the submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information, test the Bids to determine if they violate the relevant conduct threshold in accordance with the appropriate provision(s) of Section 23.3.1.2 of these Mitigation Measures.

23.4.3.3.3.1.2 Day-Ahead Impact Test

Using the higher of (a) a revised reference level calculated using the Generator's actual fuel costs, or (b) the reference level that would have been in place for the Generator but for the submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information, test the Bids for both LBMP and guarantee payment impact in accordance with the appropriate provisions of Section 23.3.2.1 of these Mitigation measures.

23.4.3.3.3.2 Real-Time Conduct and Market Impact Tests

23.4.3.3.3.2.1 Real-Time Conduct Test

Using the higher of (a) a revised reference level calculated using the Generator's actual fuel costs, or (b) the reference level that would have been in place for the Generator but for the submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information, test the Bids to determine if they violate the relevant conduct

threshold in accordance with the appropriate provision(s) of Section 23.3.1.2 of these Mitigation Measures

23.4.3.3.3.2.2 Real-Time LBMP Impact Test

The Market Party's Bids for a Generator will be treated as having a Real-Time Market LBMP impact if the higher of (a) a revised reference level calculated using the Generator's actual fuel costs, or (b) the reference level that would have been in place for the Generator but for a Market Party's submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information, is less than or equal to the real-time LBMP at the PTID that represents the Generator's location, and the Generator's reference level that was actually used to test the Bid for LBMP impact in the Real-Time Market for that hour was greater than or equal to the LBMP at the Generator's location.

23.4.3.3.3.2.3 Real-Time Guarantee Payment Impact Test

Using the greater of (a) a revised reference level calculated using the Generator's actual fuel costs, or (b) the reference level that would have been in place for the Generator but for the submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information, test the Bids for guarantee payment impact in accordance with the appropriate provisions of Section 23.3.2.1 of these Mitigation Measures.

23.4.3.3.3.3 Day-Ahead Market Penalty Calculation

If the results of the Day-Ahead Market impact test indicate that the Market Party's Bid had either LBMP or guarantee payment impact then the ISO shall charge the Market Party a penalty, calculated for each penalized day, for each of its Generators, for each hour of the day, as follows:

$$\text{Daily Penalty} = \max [(\text{Multiplier} * [\sum_g \blacktriangle \text{Day-Ahead BPCG payment}_g] + (\text{Multiplier}) \sum_h \sum_g ([\text{Market Party MWh}_{gh}] \times [\blacktriangle \text{Day Ahead LBMP@PTID}_{gh}]) + \max [\sum_h \text{TCC Revenue Calc for Market Party}_h, 0]), 0]$$

Where:

g = an index running across all the Market Party's Generators

h = for purposes of this Section 23.4.3.3.3, h is an index running across all hours of the day

Multiplier = a factor of 1.0 or 1.5. The ISO shall use a 1.0 Multiplier if the Market Party has not been penalized for inaccurately reporting fuel type or fuel price information in the Day-Ahead Market over the 6 months prior to the market-day for which the penalty is being calculated. In all other cases the ISO shall use a 1.5 Multiplier.

$\blacktriangle \text{Day-Ahead BPCG payment}_g$ = the change in the Day-Ahead Market guarantee payment that the Market Party receives for Generator g determined when the ISO performs the Day Ahead Market guarantee payment impact test in accordance with Section 23.3.2.1.2 of these Mitigation Measures

Market Party MWh_{gh} = the MWh of Energy scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market for Generator g in hour h

$\blacktriangle \text{Day Ahead LBMP@PTID}_{gh}$ = the change in the Day-Ahead Market LBMP for hour h at the location of Generator g , as determined when the ISO performs the relevant Day Ahead Market LBMP impact test in accordance with Section 23.3.2.1.1 or 23.3.2.1.3 of these Mitigation Measures

TCC Revenue Calc for Market Party $_h$ = the change in TCC Revenues that the Market Party receives for hour h , determined when the ISO performs the relevant Day Ahead Market LBMP impact test

23.4.3.3.3.4 Real-Time Market Penalty Calculation

If the results of either of the Real-Time Market impact tests indicate that the Incremental Energy Bid submitted for a Market Party's Generator had either LBMP or guarantee payment impact then the ISO shall charge the Market Party a penalty, calculated for each penalized day, for each of its Generators, for each hour of the day, as follows:

$$\text{Daily Penalty} = \text{Max} [(\text{Multiplier} * \sum_g [\blacktriangle \text{ simplified guarantee payment}_g]) + \sum_h \sum_g (\text{Multiplier} * [\text{updated reference level}_{gh} - \text{original reference level}_{gh}]) * \text{max} [\text{MWh DAM}_{gh}, \text{MWh RT}_{gh}, \text{Market Party MWh}_{gh}, 0], 0]$$

Where

g = an index running across all the Market Party's Generators

h = an index running across all hours of the day in which inaccurate fuel type or fuel price information was supplied for any of the Market Party's Generators; provided that one of the Bids in that hour " h " for at least one of the Market Party's Generators must have had a Real Time Market LBMP or guarantee payment impact in accordance with Sections 23.4.3.3.3.2.2 or 23.4.3.3.3.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures

Multiplier = a factor of 1.0 or 1.5. The ISO shall use a 1.0 Multiplier if the Market Party has not been penalized for inaccurately reporting fuel type or fuel price information in the Real-Time Market over the 6 months prior to the market-day for which the penalty is being calculated. In all other cases the ISO shall use a 1.5 Multiplier.

Updated reference level_{gh} = greater of a revised reference level calculated using the actual fuel costs of Generator g in hour h , or the reference level that would

have been in place for the Generator in hour h, but for the Market Party's submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information

Original reference level_{gh} = the reference level for Generator g in hour h actually used in the Real-Time Market to perform conduct and impact testing of the Market Party's Bids

MWh DAM_{gh} = the MWh that Generator g was scheduled to produce in the Day-Ahead Market in hour h

MWh RT_{gh} = the MWh that Generator g was scheduled to produce in the Real-Time Market in hour h

Market Party MWh_{gh} = MWh produced by Market Party's Generator g that was scheduled to produce energy in hour h in the Real-Time Market

▲ simplified guarantee payment_g = the change in the Real-Time Market guarantee payment that the Market Party receives for Generator g, determined when the ISO performs a simplified Bid Production Cost guarantee payment impact test using the threshold specified in Section 23.3.2.1.2 of these Mitigation Measures. The simplified guarantee payment shall be based upon actual Real-Time Bids, actual Real-Time Generator LBMPs, and reference levels that are the greater of (a) a revised reference level calculated using the Generator's actual fuel costs, or (b) the reference level that would have been in place for the Generator but for the submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information

23.4.3.3.4 If the opportunity to submit Incremental Energy Bids into the real-time market that exceed Incremental Energy Bids made in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, has been revoked on a Market Party's Generator pursuant to Sections 23.4.7.2 and 23.4.7.3

of these Mitigation Measures, then the following virtual market penalty may be imposed on the Market Party:

Virtual market penalty = (Virtual Load MWs) * (Amount by which the hourly integrated real-time LBMP exceeds the day-ahead LBMP applicable to the Virtual Load MWs)

WHERE:

Virtual Load MWs are the scheduled MWs of Virtual Load bid by the Market Party in the hour for which an increased real-time Bid for the Market Party's Generator failed the test specified in Section 23.4.7.2 of these Mitigation Measures; and

LBMP is the LBMP at which the Virtual Load MWs settled in the Day-Ahead and real-time Markets.

23.4.3.3.5 Real-Time LBMPs shall not be revised as a result of the imposition of a financial obligation as specified in this Section 23.4.3.3, except as may be specifically authorized by the Commission.

23.4.3.4 Multipliers

The Base Penalty Amount specified in Section 23.4.3.3.1 shall be subject to the following multipliers:

23.4.3.4.1 For the first instance of a type of conduct by a Market Party meeting the standards for mitigation, the multiplier shall be one (1).

23.4.3.4.2 For the second instance within the current or the two immediately previous capability periods of substantially similar conduct in the same market by a Market Party or its Affiliates, the multiplier shall be one (1),

23.4.3.4.3 For the third instance within the current or the two immediately previous capability periods of substantially similar conduct in the same market by a Market Party or its Affiliates, the multiplier shall be two (2),

23.4.3.4.4 For the fourth or any additional instance within the current or immediately previous capability period of substantially similar conduct in the same market by a Market Party or its Affiliates, the multiplier shall be three (3).

23.4.3.5 Dispute Resolution

23.4.3.5.1 The exclusive means for the resolution of disputes arising from or relating to the imposition of a sanction under this Section 23.4.3 shall be the dispute resolution provisions of Attachment O and this Attachment H. The scope of any such proceeding shall include resolution of any dispute as to legitimate justifications, under applicable legal, regulatory or policy standards, for any conduct that is asserted to warrant a penalty. Any or all of the issues in any such proceeding may be resolved by agreement of the parties.

23.4.3.5.2 Payment of a financial penalty may be withheld pending conclusion of any arbitration or other alternate dispute resolution proceeding instituted pursuant to the preceding paragraph and any petition to FERC for review under the Federal Power Act of the determination in such dispute resolution proceeding; provided, however, that interest at the ISO's average cost of borrowing shall be payable on the amount of any unpaid penalty from the date of the infraction giving rise to the penalty to the date of payment. The exclusive remedy for the imposition of a financial penalty, to the exclusion of any claim for damages or any other form of relief, shall be a determination that a penalty should not have been imposed, and a refund with interest of paid amounts of a penalty determined to have been

improperly imposed, as may be determined in the applicable dispute resolution proceedings.

23.4.3.5.3 This Section 23.4.3 shall not be deemed to provide any right to damages or any other form of relief that would otherwise be barred by Section 30.11 of Attachment O or Section 23.6 of this Attachment H.

23.4.3.5.4 This Section 23.4.3 shall not restrict the right of any party to make such filing with the Commission as may otherwise be appropriate under the Federal Power Act.

23.4.3.6 Disposition of Penalty Funds

Except as specified in Section 23.4.4.3.2, amounts collected as a result of the imposition of financial penalties shall be credited against costs collectable under Rate Schedule 1 of the ISO Services Tariff.

23.4.4 Load Bid Measure

23.4.4.1 Purpose

As initially implemented, the ISO market rules allow loads to choose to purchase power in either the Day-Ahead Market or in the Real-Time Market, but provide other Market Parties less flexibility in opting to sell their output in the Real-Time Market. As a result of this and other design features, certain bidding practices may cause Day-Ahead LBMPs not to achieve the degree of convergence with Real-Time LBMPs that would be expected in a workably competitive market. A temporary mitigation measure is specified below as an interim remedy if conditions warrant action by the ISO until such time as the ISO develops and implements an effective long-term remedy, if needed. These measures shall only be imposed if persistent unscheduled load causes operational problems, including but not limited to an inability to meet

unscheduled load with available resources. The ISO shall post a description of any such operational problem on its web site.

23.4.4.2 Implementation

23.4.4.2.1 Day-Ahead LBMPs and Real-Time LBMPs in each load zone shall be monitored to determine whether there is a persistent hourly deviation between them in any zone that would not be expected in a workably competitive market.

23.4.4.2.2 The ISO shall compute the average hourly deviation between day-ahead and real-time zone prices, measured as: $(\text{Zone Price}_{\text{real time}} / \text{Zone Price}_{\text{day ahead}}) - 1$.

1. The average hourly deviation shall be computed over a rolling eight week period or such other period determined by the ISO to be appropriate to achieve the purpose of this mitigation measure.

23.4.4.2.3 The ISO shall also estimate and monitor the average percentage of each Load Serving Entity's load scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market, using a methodology intended to identify a sustained pattern of under-bidding as accurately as the ISO deems practicable. The average percentage will be computed over a specified time period determined by the ISO to be appropriate to achieve the purpose of this mitigation measure.

23.4.4.2.4 If the ISO determines that (i) the relationship between zonal LBMPs in a zone in the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market is not what would be expected under conditions of workable competition, (ii) one or more Load Serving Entities have been meeting a substantial portion of their loads with purchases in the Real-Time Market, and (iii) that this practice has contributed to an unwarranted divergence of LBMP between the two markets, then the following mitigation measure may be imposed. Any such measure shall be rescinded upon a

determination by the ISO that any one or more of the foregoing conditions is not met.

23.4.4.3 Description of the Measure

- 23.4.4.3.1 The ISO may require a Load Serving Entity engaging in the purchasing practice described above to purchase or schedule all of its expected power requirements in the Day-Ahead Market. A Load Serving Entity subject to this requirement may purchase up to a specified portion of its actual load requirements (the “Allowance Level”) in the Real-Time Market without penalty, as determined by the ISO to be appropriate in recognition of the uncertainty of load forecasting.
- 23.4.4.3.2 Effective with the imposition of the foregoing requirement, all purchases in the Real-Time Market in excess of this Allowance Level (the “Penalty Level”) shall be settled at a specified premium over the applicable zone LBMP. Revenues from such premiums, if any, shall be rebated on a *pro rata* basis to the Market Parties that scheduled energy for delivery to load within New York in the Day-Ahead Market for the day in which the revenues were collected.
- 23.4.4.3.3 The Allowance Level and the Penalty Level shall be established by the ISO at levels deemed effective and appropriate to mitigate the market effects described in this Section 23.4.4. In addition, the Penalty Level payments shall be waived in any hour in which the Allowance Level is exceeded because of unexpected system conditions.

23.4.5 Installed Capacity Market Mitigation Measures

- 23.4.5.1 If and to the extent that sufficient installed capacity is not under a contractual obligation to be available to serve load in New York and if physical or economic withholding of installed capacity would be likely to result in a material

change in the price for installed capacity in all or some portion of New York, the ISO, in consideration of the comments of the Market Parties and other interested parties, shall amend this Attachment H, in accordance with the procedures and requirements for amending the Plan, to implement appropriate mitigation measures for installed capacity markets.

23.4.5.2 Offers to sell Mitigated UCAP in an ICAP Spot Market Auction shall not be higher than the higher of (a) the UCAP Offer Reference Level for the applicable ICAP Spot Market Auction, or (b) the Going-Forward Costs of the Installed Capacity Supplier supplying the Mitigated UCAP.

23.4.5.3 An Installed Capacity Supplier's Going-Forward Costs for an ICAP Spot Market Auction shall be determined upon the request of the Responsible Market Party for that Installed Capacity Supplier. The Going-Forward Costs shall be determined by the ISO after consultation with the Responsible Market Party, provided such consultation is requested by the Responsible Market Party not later than 50 business days prior to the deadline for offers to sell Unforced Capacity in such auction, and provided such request is supported by a submission showing the Installed Capacity Supplier's relevant costs in accordance with specifications provided by the ISO. Such submission shall show (1) the nature, amount and determination of any claimed Going-Forward Cost, and (2) that the cost would be avoided if the Installed Capacity Supplier is taken out of service or retired, as applicable. If the foregoing requirements are met, the ISO shall determine the level of the Installed Capacity Supplier's Going-Forward Costs and shall seasonally adjust such costs not later than 7 days prior to the deadline for submitting offers to sell Unforced Capacity in such auction. A Responsible Market Party shall request an updated determination of an Installed Capacity

Supplier's Going-Forward Costs not less often than annually, in the absence of which request the Installed Capacity Supplier's offer cap shall revert to the UCAP Offer Reference Level. An updated determination of Going-Forward Costs may be undertaken by the ISO at any time on its own initiative after consulting with the Responsible Market Party. Any redetermination of an Installed Capacity Supplier's Going-Forward Costs shall conform to the consultation and determination schedule specified in this paragraph. The costs that an Installed Capacity Supplier would avoid as a result of retiring should only be included in its Going-Forward Costs if the owner or operator of that Installed Capacity Supplier actually plans to mothball or retire it if the Installed Capacity revenues it receives are not sufficient to cover those costs.

23.4.5.4 Mitigated UCAP shall be offered in each ICAP Spot Market Auction in accordance with Section 5.14.1.1 of the ISO Services Tariff and applicable ISO procedures, unless it has been exported to an External Control Area or sold to meet Installed Capacity requirements outside the New York City Locality in a transaction that does not constitute physical withholding under the standards specified below.

23.4.5.4.1 An export to an External Control Area or sale to meet an Installed Capacity requirement outside the New York City Locality of Mitigated UCAP (either of the foregoing being referred to as "External Sale UCAP") may be subject to audit and review by the ISO to assess whether such action constituted physical withholding of UCAP from the New York City Locality. External Sale UCAP shall be deemed to have been physically withheld on the basis of a comparison of the net revenues from UCAP sales that would have been earned by the sale in the New York City Locality of External Sale UCAP. The comparison

shall be made for the period for which Installed Capacity is committed (the “Comparison Period”) in each of the shortest term organized capacity markets (the “External Reconfiguration Markets”) for the area and during the period in which the Mitigated UCAP was exported or sold. External Sale ICAP shall be deemed to have been withheld from the New York City Locality if: (1) the Responsible Market Party for the External Sale UCAP could have made all or a portion of the External Sale UCAP available to be offered in the New York City Locality by buying out of its external capacity obligation through participation in an External Reconfiguration Market; and (2) the net revenues over the Comparison Period from sale in the New York City Locality of the External Sale UCAP that could have been made available for sale in that Locality would have been greater by 15% or more, provided that the net revenues were at least \$2.00/kilowatt-month more than the net UCAP revenues from that portion of the External Sale UCAP over the Comparison Period.

23.4.5.4.2 If Mitigated UCAP is not offered or sold as specified above, the Responsible Market Party for such Installed Capacity Supplier shall pay the ISO an amount equal to the product of (A) 1.5 times the difference between the Market-Clearing Price for the New York City Locality in the ICAP Spot Market Auction with and without the inclusion of the Mitigated UCAP and (B) the total of (1) the amount of Mitigated UCAP not offered or sold as specified above, and (2) all other megawatts of Unforced Capacity in the New York City Locality under common Control with such Mitigated UCAP. If the failure to offer was associated with the same period as the sale of External Sale UCAP, and the failure caused or contributed to an increase in UCAP prices in the New York City Locality of 15 percent or more, provided such increase is at least \$2.500/kilowatt-

month, the Responsible Market Party for such Installed Capacity Supplier shall be required to pay to the ISO an amount equal to 1.5 times the lesser of (A) the difference between the average Market-Clearing Price for the New York City Locality in the ICAP Spot Market Auctions for the relevant Comparison Period with and without the inclusion of the External Sale UCAP in those auctions, or (B) the difference between such average price and the clearing price in the External Reconfiguration Market for the relevant Comparison Period, times the total of (1) the amount of Mitigated UCAP not offered or sold as specified above, and (2) all other megawatts of Unforced Capacity in the New York City Locality under common Control with such Mitigated UCAP. The ISO will distribute any amounts recovered in accordance with the foregoing provisions among the LSEs serving Loads in regions affected by the withholding in accordance with ISO Procedures.

23.4.5.4.3 Reasonably in advance of the deadline for submitting offers in an External Reconfiguration Market the Responsible Market Party for External Sale UCAP may request the ISO to provide a projection of ICAP Spot Auction clearing prices for the New York City Locality over the Comparison Period for the External Reconfiguration Market. Such requests, and the ISO's response, shall be made in accordance with the deadlines specified in ISO Procedures. Prior to completing its projection of ICAP Spot Auction clearing prices for the New York City Locality over the Comparison Period for the External Reconfiguration Market, the ISO shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit regarding such price projection. The Responsible Market Party shall be exempt from a physical withholding penalty as specified in Section 23.4.5.4.2, below, if at the time of the deadline for submitting offers in an External Reconfiguration Market its offers, if

accepted, would reasonably be expected to produce net revenues from External UCAP Sales that would exceed the net revenues that would have been realized from sale of the External UCAP Sales capacity in the New York City Locality at the ICAP Spot Auction prices projected by the ISO. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.8 of Attachment O.

23.4.5.5 Control of Unforced Capacity shall be rebuttably presumed from (i) ownership of an Installed Capacity Supplier, or (ii) status as the Responsible Market Party for an Installed Capacity Supplier, but may also be determined on the basis of other evidence. The presumption of Control from ownership can be rebutted by either: (1) the sale of Unforced Capacity from the Installed Capacity Supplier in a Capability Period Auction or a Monthly Auction, or (2) demonstrating to the reasonable satisfaction of the ISO; provided, however, that if the presumption has not been rebutted, and if two or more Market Parties each have rights or obligations with respect to Unforced Capacity from an Installed Capacity Supplier that could reasonably be anticipated to affect the quantity or price of Unforced Capacity transactions in an ICAP Spot Market Auction, the ISO may attribute Control of the affected MW of Unforced Capacity from the Installed Capacity Supplier to each such Market Party. Prior to reaching its decision regarding whether the presumption of control of Unforced Capacity has been rebutted, the ISO shall provide its preliminary determination to the Market Monitoring Unit for review and comment. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.9 of Attachment O.

23.4.5.6 Any proposal or decision by a Market Participant to retire or otherwise remove an Installed Capacity Supplier from the In-City Unforced Capacity market, or to de-rate the amount of Installed Capacity available from such supplier, may be subject to audit and review by the ISO if the ISO determines that such action could reasonably be expected to affect Market-Clearing Prices in one or more ICAP Spot Market Auctions for the New York City Locality subsequent to such action. Such an audit or review shall assess whether the proposal or decision has a legitimate economic justification or is based on an effort to withhold Installed Capacity physically in order to affect prices. The ISO shall provide the preliminary results of its audit or review to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and comment. If the ISO determines that the proposal or decision constitutes physical withholding, and would increase Market-Clearing Prices in one or more ICAP Spot Market Auctions for the New York City Locality by five percent or more, provided such increase is at least \$.50/kilowatt-month, for each such violation of the above requirements the Market Participant shall be assessed an amount equal to the product of (A) 1.5 times the difference between the Market Clearing Price for the New York City Locality in the ICAP Spot Market Auctions with and without the inclusion of the withheld UCAP in those auctions, and (B) the total of (1) the number of megawatts withheld in each month and (2) all other megawatts of Installed Capacity in the New York City Locality under common Control with such withheld megawatts. The requirement to pay such amounts shall continue until the Market Party demonstrates that the removal from service, retirement or de-rate is justified by economic considerations other than the effect of such action on Market-Clearing Prices in the ICAP Spot Market Auctions for the New York City Locality. The ISO will

distribute any amount recovered in accordance with the foregoing provisions among the LSEs serving Loads in regions affected by the withholding in accordance with ISO Procedures. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.10 of Attachment O.

23.4.5.7 Unless exempt as specified below, offers to supply Unforced Capacity in an ICAP Spot Market Auction from an In-City Installed Capacity Supplier shall equal or exceed the applicable Offer Floor. The Offer Floors shall apply to offers for Unforced Capacity from the Installed Capacity Supplier, if it is not a Special Case Resource, for a minimum of each of the six Capability Periods starting with the Capability Period for which the Installed Capacity Supplier first offers to supply UCAP (“Initial Capability Period”), or lesser of the number of Capability Periods if a positive number greater than six (6) that is determined in the following three ways: (a) the number determined by (1) the initial DMNC value of the Installed Capacity Supplier plus the amount of Surplus Capacity at the time the Installed Capacity Supplier first offers to supply UCAP, divided by (2) the forecast average annual growth in MW for the New York City Locality over the six Capability Periods beginning with the Initial Capability Period with such forecast growth as identified in the Load and Capacity Data (Gold Book), (b) thirty (30) Capability Periods (including the Initial Capability Period), and (c) the final Capability Period determined as the Capability Period in which the Total Cleared UCAP is greater than the Total Nominal UCAP, with Total Nominal UCAP determined using the MW value utilized in the Interconnection Facilities Study, or if an Interconnection Facilities Study is not required, the MW value the proposed Generator identified to the Transmission

Owner to which it proposed to interconnect, multiplied by one minus the NERC class average Equivalent Demand Forced Outage Rate, to determine the initial nominal UCAP value for the Generator (“Nominal UCAP”), and then computing the product of twelve (12) and the Nominal UCAP, and

Total Cleared UCAP equal to the cumulative amount of the Installed Capacity Supplier’s Cleared UCAP, with Cleared UCAP equal to the Installed Capacity Supplier’s offers of UCAP that are accepted in a New York City ICAP Spot Market Auction (in whole MW, rounded down), provided that each such amount is equal to or greater than fifty percent (50%) of the initial DMNC value of the Installed Capacity Supplier. If the foregoing calculation extends mitigation to part of a Capability Period, the entire Capability Period shall be subject an Offer Floor. The initial DMNC value of the Installed Capacity Supplier shall be determined as specified in the ISO’s tariffs and ISO Procedures.

23.4.5.7.1 Unforced Capacity from an Installed Capacity Supplier that is subject to an Offer Floor may not be used to satisfy any LSE Unforced Capacity Obligation for In-City Load unless such Unforced Capacity is obtained through participation in an ICAP Spot Market Auction.

23.4.5.7.2 An Installed Capacity Supplier shall be exempt from an Offer Floor if: (a) the price that is equal to the (x) average of the ICAP Spot Market Auction price for each month in the two Capability Periods, beginning with the Summer Capability Period commencing three years from the start of the year of the Class Year (the “Starting Capability Period”) is projected by the ISO to be higher, with the inclusion of the Installed Capacity Supplier, than (y) the highest Offer Floor based on the Mitigation Net CONE that would be applicable to such supplier in the same two (2) Capability Periods (utilized to compute (x)), or (b) the price that

is equal to the average of the ICAP Spot Market Auction prices in the six Capability Periods beginning with the Starting Capability Period is projected by the ISO to be higher, with the inclusion of the Installed Capacity Supplier, than the reasonably anticipated Unit Net CONE of the Installed Capacity Supplier.

23.4.5.7.3 The ISO shall make such exemption and Unit Net CONE determination for each “Examined Facility” (collectively “Examined Facilities”) which term shall mean (I) each proposed new Generator and proposed new UDR project, and each existing Generator that has ERIS only and no CRIS, that is a member of the Class Year that requested CRIS, or that requested an evaluation of the transfer of CRIS rights from another location, in the Class Year Facilities Study commencing in the calendar year in which the Class Year Facility Study determination is being made (the Capability Periods of expected entry as further described below in this Section, the “Mitigation Study Period”), (II) (a) each (i) existing Generator that did not have CRIS rights, and (ii) proposed new Generator and proposed new UDR project, that (a) is an expected recipient of transferred CRIS rights at the same location regarding which the ISO has been notified by the transferor or the transferee of a transfer pursuant to OATT Attachment S Section 23.9.4 that will be effective on a date within the Mitigation Study Period, (III) each proposed new Generator that (a) is either (i) in the ISO Interconnection Queue, in a Class Year prior to 2009/10, and has not commenced commercial operation or been canceled, and for which the ISO has not made an exemption or Unit Net CONE determination, or (ii) not subject to a deliverability requirement (and therefore, is not in a Class Year) and (b) provides specific written notification to the ISO no later than the date identified by the ISO, that it plans to commence commercial

operation and offer UCAP in a month that coincides with a Capability Period of the Mitigation Study Period.

23.4.5.7.3.1 The commercial operation date to be used by the ISO solely for purposes of identifying the Examined Facilities will be determined by the ISO at the time of the Class Year Study as the date most-recently (A) identified by the project to the ISO in the Interconnection Facilities Study process or (B) reflected in the Interconnection Queue, or if neither of the foregoing is applicable, then the date identified by the project to the Transmission Owner to which it has proposed interconnecting.

23.4.5.7.3.2 The ISO shall compute the reasonably anticipated ICAP Spot Market Auction forecast price based on Expected Retirements (as defined in this subsection 23.4.5.7.3.2), plus each Examined Facility in 23.4.5.7.3 (I), (II), and (III).

Expected Retirements determined based on any Generator that provided written notice to the New York State Public Service Commission that it intends to retire, plus any UDR facility or Generator 2 MW or less that provided written notice to the ISO that it intends to retire.

The load forecast and Special Case Resources as set forth in the most-recently published Load and Capacity Data (Gold Book).

Before the commencement of the Initial Decision Period for the Class Year, the ISO shall post on its website the inputs of the reasonably anticipated ICAP Spot Market Auction forecast prices determined in accordance with 23.4.5.7.3.2, the Expected Retirements, and the Examined Facilities, before the Initial Project Cost Allocation.

When the ISO is evaluating more than one Examined Facility concurrently, the ISO shall recognize in its computation of the anticipated ICAP Spot Market Auction forecast price that Generators or UDR facilities will clear from lowest to highest, using for each Examined Facility the lower of (i) its Unit Net CONE or (ii) the numerical value equal to 75% of the Mitigation Net CONE.

23.4.5.7.3.3 All developers, Interconnection Customers, and Installed Capacity

Suppliers for any Examined Facility that does not request CRIS shall provide data and information requested by the ISO by the date specified by the ISO. For any such Examined Facility that is in a Class Year but that only has ERIS rights after the Project Cost Allocation process is complete, the ISO shall utilize the data first provided in its analysis of the Unit Net CONE in its review of the project in any future Class Year in which the Generator or UDR facility requests CRIS. The ISO shall determine the reasonably anticipated Unit Net CONE less the costs to be determined in the Project Cost Allocation or Revised Project Cost Allocation, as applicable, prior to the commencement of the Initial Decision Period Class Year, and shall provide to the Examined Facility the ISO's determination of an exemption or the Offer Floor. On or before the three (3) days prior to the ISO's issuance of the Revised Project Cost Allocation, the ISO will revise its forecast of ICAP Spot Market Auction prices for the Capability Periods in the Mitigation Study Period based on the Examined Facilities that remain in the Class Year for CRIS and the Examined Facilities that meet 23.4.5.7.3 (II) or (III). When evaluating Examined Capacity pursuant to this Section 23.4.5.7, the ISO shall seek comment from the Market Monitoring Unit on matters relating to the determination of price projections and cost calculations. The ISO shall provide to each project its revised price forecast for a Subsequent Decision Period no later

than the ISO's issuance of a Revised Project Cost Allocation. The ISO shall inform the project whether the Offer Floor exemption specified above in this Section is applicable as soon as practicable after completion of the relevant Project Cost Allocation or Revised Project Cost Allocation, in accordance with methods and procedures specified in ISO Procedures. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.11 of Attachment O.

23.4.5.7.3.4 If an Examined Facility under the criteria in 23.4.5.7.3 (II) or (III) has not provided written notice to the ISO on or before the date specified by the ISO, or any Examined Facility required to be reviewed does not provide all of the requested data by the date specified by the ISO, the proposed Capacity shall be subject to the Net CONE Offer Floor for the period determined by the ISO in accordance with Section 23.4.5.7.

23.4.5.7.3.5 An Examined Facility for which an exemption or Offer Floor determination has been rendered may only be reevaluated for an exemption or Offer Floor determination if it meets the criteria in Section 23.4.5.7.3 (I) and either (a) enters a new Class Year for CRIS or (b) intends to receive transferred CRIS rights at the same location. An Examined Facility under the criteria in 23.4.5.7.3 (II) that did receive CRIS rights will be bound by the determination rendered and will not be reevaluated, and an Examined Facility under the criteria in 23.4.5.7.3 (III) will not be reevaluated.

23.4.5.7.3.6 If an Installed Capacity Supplier demonstrates to the reasonable satisfaction of the ISO that its Unit Net CONE is less than any Offer Floor that would otherwise be applicable to the Installed Capacity Supplier, then its Offer Floor shall be reduced to a numerical value equal to its Unit Net CONE.

23.4.5.7.4 Mitigation Net CONE for the each year after the last year covered by the most recent Demand Curves approved by the Commission shall be increased by the escalation factor approved by the Commission for such Demand Curves.

23.4.5.7.5 An In-City Installed Capacity Supplier that is a Special Case Resource shall be subject to an Offer Floor beginning with the month of its initial offer to supply Installed Capacity, and until its offers of Installed Capacity have been accepted in the ICAP Spot Market Auction at a price at or above its Offer Floor for a total of twelve, not necessarily consecutive, months. Special Case Resources shall be exempt from the Offer Floor if the ISO projects that the ICAP Spot Market Auction price will exceed the Special Case Resource's Offer Floor for the first twelve months that the Special Case Resource reasonably anticipated to offer to supply UCAP. If a Responsible Interface Party fails to provide Special Case Resource data that the ISO needs to conduct the calculations described in the two preceding sentences by the deadline established in ISO Procedures, the Special Case Resource will cease to be eligible to offer or sell Installed Capacity. The Offer Floor for a Special Case Resource shall be equal to the minimum monthly payment for providing Installed Capacity payable by its Responsible Interface Party, plus the monthly value of any payments or other benefits the Special Case Resource receives from a third party for providing Installed Capacity, or that is received by the Responsible Interface Party for the provision of Installed Capacity by the Special Case Resource. The Offer Floor calculation shall include any payment or the value of other benefits that are awarded for offering or supplying In-City Capacity, except for payments or the value of other benefits provided under programs administered or approved by New York State or a government instrumentality of New York State. Offers by a Responsible

Interface Party at a PTID shall be not lower than the highest Offer Floor applicable to a Special Case Resource providing Installed Capacity at that PTID. Such offers may comprise a set of points for which prices may vary with the quantity offered. If this set includes megawatts from a Special Case Resource(s) with an Offer Floor, then at least the quantity of megawatts in the offer associated with each Special Case Resource must be offered at or above the Special Case Resource's Offer Floor. Offers by a Responsible Interface Party shall be subject to audit to determine whether they conformed to the foregoing Offer Floor requirements. If a Responsible Interface Party together with its Affiliated Entities submits one or more offers below the applicable Offer Floor, and such offer or offers cause or contribute to a decrease in UCAP prices in the New York City Locality of 5 percent or more, provided such decrease is at least \$.50/kilowatt-month, the Responsible Interface Party shall be required to pay to the ISO an amount equal to 1.5 times the difference between the Market-Clearing Price for the New York City Locality in the ICAP Spot Auction for which the offers below the Offer Floor were submitted with and without such offers being set to the Offer Floor, times the total amount of UCAP sold by the Responsible Interface Party and its Affiliated Entities in such ICAP Spot Auction. If an offer is submitted below the applicable Offer Floor, the ISO will notify the Responsible Market Party and the notification will identify the offer, the Special Case Resource, the price impact, and the penalty amount. The ISO will provide the notice reasonably in advance of imposing such penalty. The ISO shall distribute any amounts recovered in accordance with the foregoing provisions among the entities, other than the entity subject to the foregoing payment requirement, supplying Installed

Capacity in regions affected by one or more offers below an applicable Offer Floor in accordance with ISO Procedures.

23.4.5.7.6 An In-City Installed Capacity Supplier that is not a Special Case Resource shall be exempt from an Offer Floor if it was an existing facility on or before March 7, 2008.

23.4.5.7.7 Mitigated UCAP that is subject to an Offer Floor shall remain subject to the requirements of Section 23.4.5.4, and if the Offer Floor is higher than the applicable offer cap shall submit offers not lower than the applicable Offer Floor.

23.4.6 Virtual Bidding Measures

23.4.6.1 Purpose

The provisions of this Section 23.4.6 specify the market monitoring and mitigation measures applicable to “Virtual Bids.” “Virtual Bids” are bids to purchase or supply energy that are not backed by physical load or generation that are submitted in the ISO Day-Ahead Market in accordance with the procedures and requirements specified in the ISO Services Tariff.

To implement the mitigation measures set forth in this Section 23.4.6, the ISO shall monitor and assess the impact of Virtual Bidding on the ISO Administered Markets.

23.4.6.2 Implementation

23.4.6.2.1 Day-Ahead LBMPs and Real-Time LBMPs in each load zone shall be monitored to determine whether there is a persistent hourly deviation between them in any zone that would not be expected in a workably competitive market.

23.4.6.2.2 The ISO shall compute the average hourly deviation between day-ahead and real-time zone prices, measured as: $(\text{Zone Price}_{\text{real time}} / \text{Zone Price}_{\text{day ahead}}) -$

1. The average hourly deviation shall be computed over a rolling four week

period or such other period determined by the ISO to be appropriate to achieve the purpose of this mitigation measure.

23.4.6.2.3 If the ISO determines that (i) the relationship between zonal LBMPs in a zone in the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market is not what would be expected under conditions of workable competition, and that (ii) the Virtual Bidding practices of one or more Market Participants has contributed to an unwarranted divergence of LBMPs between the two markets, then the following mitigation measure may be imposed. Any such measure shall be rescinded upon a determination by the ISO that the foregoing conditions are not met.

23.4.6.3 Description of the Measure

23.4.6.3.1 If the ISO determines that the conditions specified in Section 23.4.6.2 exist, the ISO may limit the hourly quantities of Virtual Bids for supply or load that may be offered in a zone by a Market Participant whose Virtual Bidding practices have been determined to contribute to an unwarranted divergence of LBMPs between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets. Any such limitation shall be set at such level that, and shall remain in place for such period as, in the best judgment of the ISO, would be sufficient to prevent any unwarranted divergence between Day-Ahead and Real-Time LBMPs.

23.4.6.3.2 As part of the foregoing determination, the ISO shall request explanations of the relevant Virtual Bidding practices from any Market Participant submitting such bids. Prior to imposing a Virtual Bidding quantity limitation as specified above, the ISO shall notify the affected Market Participant of the limitation.

23.4.6.4 Limitation of Virtual Bidding

If the ISO determines that such action is necessary to avoid substantial deviations of LBMPs between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets, the ISO may impose limits on the quantities of Virtual Bids that may be offered by all Market Participants. Any such restriction shall limit the quantity of Virtual Bids for supply or load that may be offered by each Market Participant by hour and by zone. Any such limit shall remain in place for the minimum period necessary to avoid substantial deviations of LBMPs between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets, or to maintain the reliability of the New York Control Area.

23.4.7 Increasing Bids in Real-Time for Day-Ahead Scheduled Incremental Energy

23.4.7.1 Purpose

This Section 23.4.7 specifies the monitoring applicable and the mitigation measures that may be applicable to a Market Party with submitted Incremental Energy Bids in the real-time market that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids made in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriated, for a portion of the Capacity of one or more of its Generators that has been scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market.

The purpose of the Services Tariff rules authorizing the submission of Incremental Energy Bids in the real-time market that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids made in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, of the portion of the Capacity of a Market Party's Generator that was scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market is to permit the inclusion of additional costs of providing incremental Energy in real-time Incremental Energy Bids for Generators scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market, where the additional costs of providing incremental Energy were not known prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market.

23.4.7.2 Monitoring and Implementation

The ISO will monitor Market Parties for unjustified interactions between a Market Party's virtual bidding and the submission of real-time Incremental Energy Bids that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, for the portion of a Generator's Capacity that was scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market.

If the Market Party has a scheduled Virtual Load Bid for the same hour of the Dispatch Day as the hour for which submitted real-time Incremental Energy Bids exceeded the Incremental Energy Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, for a portion of its Generator's Capacity that was scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market, and any such real-time Incremental Energy Bids exceed the reference level for those Bids that can be justified after-the-fact by more than:

- (i) the lower of \$100/MWh or 300%
- (ii) If the Market Party's Generator is located in a Constrained Area for intervals in which an interface or facility into the area in which the Generator or generation is located has a Shadow Price greater than zero, then a threshold calculated in accordance with Sections 23.3.1.2.2.1 and 23.3.1.2.2.2 of these Mitigation Measures;

and a calculation of a virtual market penalty pursuant to the formula set forth in Section 23.4.3.3.4 of these Mitigation Measures for the Market Party would produce a penalty in excess of \$1000, then the mitigation measure specified below in Section 23.4.7.3.1 shall be imposed for the Market Party's Generator, along with a penalty calculated in accordance with Section 23.4.3.3.4 of these Mitigation Measures. The application of a penalty under Section 23.4.3.3.4 of these Mitigation Measures shall not preclude the simultaneous application of a penalty pursuant to Section 23.4.3.3.3 of these Mitigation Measures.

23.4.7.3 Mitigation Measure

23.4.7.3.1 If the ISO determines that the conditions specified in Section 23.4.7.2

exist the ISO shall revoke the opportunity for any bidder of that Generator to submit Incremental Energy Bids in the real-time market that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, for portions of that Generator's Capacity that were scheduled Day-Ahead.

23.4.7.3.1.1 The first time the ISO revokes the opportunity for bidders of a Generator

to submit Incremental Energy Bids in the Real-Time Market that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, for portions of that Generator's Capacity that were scheduled Day-Ahead, mitigation shall be imposed for 90 days. The 90 day period shall start two business days after the date that the ISO provides written notice of its determination that the application of mitigation is required.

23.4.7.3.1.2 Any subsequent time the ISO revoked the opportunity for bidders of a

Generator to submit Incremental Energy Bids in the Real-Time Market that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, for portions of that Generator's Capacity that were scheduled Day-Ahead, mitigation shall be imposed for 180 days. The 180 day period shall start two business days after the date that the ISO provides written notice of its determination that the application of mitigation is required.

23.4.7.3.1.3 If bidders of a Generator that has previously been mitigated under this

Section 23.4.7.3 become and remain continuously eligible to submit Incremental

Energy Bids in the Real-Time Market that exceed the Incremental Energy Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market or mitigated Day-Ahead Incremental Energy Bids where appropriate, for portions of that Generator's Capacity that were scheduled Day-Ahead, for a period of one year or more, then the ISO shall apply the mitigation measure set forth in Section 23.4.7.3 of the Mitigation Measures as if the Generator had not previously been subject to this mitigation measure.

23.4.7.3.1.4 Market Parties that transfer, sell, assign, or grant to another Market Party the right or ability to Bid a Generator that is subject to the mitigation measure in this Section 23.4.7.3 are required to inform the new Market Party that the Generator is subject to mitigation under this measure, and to inform the new Market Party of the expected duration of such mitigation.

23.4.8 Duration of Mitigation Measures

Except as specified in Section 23.4.5 of this Attachment H, any mitigation measure imposed as specified above shall expire not later than six months after the occurrence of the conduct giving rise to the measure, or at such earlier time as may be specified by the ISO.

26.5 Additional Security

A Customer shall be required to provide collateral to support its obligations to the ISO to (i) satisfy any credit requirement for bidding on or holding TCCs incurred on or after November 12, 2009, and (ii) to the extent that its Operating Requirement exceeds the total of its Unsecured Credit and any existing collateral by more than \$10,000. The ISO shall also not accept an Affiliate guarantee to satisfy any credit requirement for bidding on or holding TCCs incurred on or after November 12, 2009. Notwithstanding the preceding sentences, a Customer may use Unsecured Credit to satisfy its credit requirement for holding Fixed Price TCCs obtained pursuant to Attachment M of the OATT.

26.5.1 Acceptable Collateral

26.5.1.1 Cash deposit

A cash deposit shall be held in escrow by the ISO, with actual interest earned on the deposit accrued to the Customer's account.

26.5.1.2 Letter of credit

A letter of credit shall be in a form acceptable to the ISO and issued or guaranteed by an approved U.S. or Canadian commercial bank with a minimum "A" rating from Standard & Poor's, Fitch, Moody's, or Dominion. A Customer's failure to provide a source of collateral in an amount sufficient to secure its obligations to the ISO fifty (50) days prior to the termination of a letter of credit, which source of collateral shall be guaranteed to remain in effect for a period of not less than one (1) year, shall be a condition of default enabling the ISO to immediately draw upon the full value of the letter of credit.

26.5.1.3 Affiliate Guarantee

An Affiliate guarantee must be in a form acceptable to the ISO and issued by an investment grade U.S. or Canadian Affiliate. A Customer's failure to provide a source of collateral in an amount sufficient to secure its obligations to the ISO fifty (50) days prior to the termination of an Affiliate guarantee, which source of collateral shall be guaranteed to remain in effect for a period of not less than one (1) year, shall be a condition of default enabling the ISO to immediately demand payment in the full amount of the Affiliate guarantee.

26.5.1.4 Surety Bonds

A surety bond shall be in a form acceptable to the ISO, payable immediately upon demand without prior demonstration of the validity of the demand, and issued by a U.S. Treasury-listed surety with a minimum "A" rating from A.M. Best. A Customer's failure to provide a source of collateral in an amount sufficient to secure its obligations to the ISO fifty (50) days prior to the termination of a surety bond, which source of collateral shall be guaranteed to remain in effect for a period of not less than one (1) year, shall be a condition of default enabling the ISO to immediately demand payment of the full value of the surety bond.

26.5.1.5 Netting of Amounts Receivable

Upon written notice to the ISO, a Customer may elect to treat as cash collateral the amount that the ISO determines will be owed to the Customer as of the day after the next regular monthly payment to the Customer and that will be payable to the Customer in the following regular monthly payment, provided that any such payment to the Customer may be adjusted by the ISO as necessary to correct for any error in this determination.

26.5.2 Cash Collateral Investment Alternatives

26.5.2.1 Investment Alternatives

A Customer may elect to deposit some or all of its cash collateral it has posted with the ISO to satisfy its Operating Requirement into one or both of two bond funds: a short-term bond fund (“Short-Term Bond Fund”) and an intermediate-term bond fund (“Intermediate-Term Bond Fund”) (each a “Bond Fund”). A Customer’s election shall be in writing and shall not be changed more than twice each year.

26.5.2.2 Additional Premium

A Customer electing to deposit cash collateral into a Bond Fund shall be required to also deposit a premium above the base amount of cash collateral to protect against fluctuations in the value of the Bond Fund. A 5% premium shall be required for investments in the Short-Term Bond Fund. A 10% premium shall be required for investments in the Intermediate-Term Bond Fund.

26.5.2.3 ISO Monitoring

The ISO shall monitor the value of the Bond Funds at least once each week. If at any time the value of the Customer’s account in a Bond Fund reduces by an amount equal to fifty percent (50%) of the premium required for participation in that Bond Fund, or more, the ISO shall provide the Customer with a notice requesting additional cash collateral to restore the required balance in the Bond Fund. If a Customer fails to provide the additional collateral by 4:00 p.m. on the business day following the NYISO’s notice requesting additional cash collateral, the ISO may immediately liquidate the Customer’s Bond Fund deposit and transfer the balance to a standard cash collateral deposit account.

26.5.2.4 Example

Assume a Customer has an Operating Requirement of \$300 and elects to place \$100 in the standard cash collateral deposit account; \$100 in the Short-Term Bond Fund; and \$100 in the Intermediate-Term Bond Fund. As such, the Customer would be required to place \$100 in the standard cash collateral deposit account. The Customer would be required to place \$100 plus \$5 (the 5% required premium) for a total of \$105 to participate in the Short-Term Bond Fund. The Customer would be required to place \$100 plus \$10 (the 10% required premium) for a total of \$110 to participate in the Intermediate-Term Bond Fund. Assume further that upon the ISO monitoring, it discovers that the value of the Customer's Short-Term Bond Fund decreased to \$102.50 while the value of the Intermediate-Term Bond Fund remained unchanged. The ISO would then notify the Customer to provide an additional \$2.50 of collateral such that the 5% premium would be met for the Short-Term Bond Fund. If the Customer failed to timely provide the additional collateral, the ISO may then liquidate the \$102.50 balance in the Short-Term Bond Fund and place it in a standard cash collateral deposit account. The Intermediate-Term Bond Fund would remain unaffected.

26.5.3 Pay-down Agreement

In lieu of providing any collateral or additional collateral otherwise required by the ISO's creditworthiness requirements, a Customer may execute a pay-down agreement with the ISO pursuant to which the Customer shall, upon written demand by the ISO, pay down the amount by which its Operating Requirement, as calculated pursuant to Article 26.3 of this Attachment K, exceeds the amount of its Unsecured Credit and any existing collateral. The ISO shall accept payment from a Customer at any time, but such payment shall eliminate the Customer's collateral requirements only if the payment is made pursuant to a pay-down agreement.

26.5.4 Alternative Security Arrangements

Alternative security arrangements substantially similar to the credit requirements set forth in this Attachment K may be made in exigent circumstances to protect the financial position of the ISO if proposed by the Customer and approved by the ISO.

30.4 Market Monitoring Unit

30.4.1 Mission of the Market Monitoring Unit

The Market Monitoring Unit's goals are (1) to ensure that the markets administered by the ISO function efficiently and appropriately, and (2) to protect both consumers and participants in the markets administered by the ISO by identifying and reporting Market Violations, market design flaws and market power abuses to the Commission in accordance with Sections 30.4.5.3 and 30.4.5.4 below.

30.4.2 Retention and Oversight of the Market Monitoring Unit

The Board shall retain a consulting or other professional services firm, or other similar entity, to advise it on the matters encompassed by Attachment O and to carry out the responsibilities that are assigned to the Market Monitoring Unit in Attachment O. The Market Monitoring Unit selected by the Board shall have experience and expertise appropriate to the analysis of competitive conditions in markets for electric capacity, energy and ancillary services, and financial instruments such as TCCs, and to such other responsibilities as are assigned to the Market Monitoring Unit under Attachment O, and must also have sufficient resources and personnel to be able to perform the Core Functions and other assigned functions.

The Market Monitoring Unit shall be accountable to the non-management members of the Board, and shall serve at the pleasure of the non-management members of the Board.

30.4.3 Market Monitoring Unit Ethics Standards

The Market Monitoring Unit, including all persons employed thereby, shall comply at all times with the ethics standards set forth below. The Market Monitoring Unit ethics standards set forth below shall apply in place of the standards set forth in the ISO's OATT Attachment F Code

of Conduct, and/or the more general policies and standards that apply to consultants retained by the ISO.

30.4.3.1 The Market Monitoring Unit and its employees must have no material affiliation with any Market Party or Affiliate of any Market Party.

30.4.3.2 The Market Monitoring Unit and its employees must not serve as an officer, employee, or partner of a Market Party.

30.4.3.3 The Market Monitoring Unit and its employees must have no material financial interest in any Market Party or Affiliate of a Market Party. Ownership of mutual funds by Market Monitoring Units and their employees that contain investments in Market Parties or their Affiliates is permitted so long as: (a) the fund is publicly traded; (b) the fund's prospectus does not indicate the objective or practice of concentrating its investment in Market Parties or their Affiliates; and (c) the Market Monitoring Unit/Market Monitoring Unit employee does not exercise or have the ability to exercise control over the financial interests held by the fund.

30.4.3.4 The Market Monitoring Unit and its employees are prohibited from engaging in transactions in the markets administered by the ISO, other than in the performance of duties under the ISO's Tariffs. This provision shall not, however, prevent the Market Monitoring Unit, or its employees, from purchasing electricity, power and Energy as retail customers for their own account and consumption.

30.4.3.5 The Market Monitoring Unit and its employees must not be compensated, other than by the ISO, for any expert witness testimony or other commercial

services, in connection with any legal or regulatory proceeding or commercial transaction relating to the ISO or to the markets that the ISO administers.

30.4.3.6 The Market Monitoring Unit and its employees may not accept anything that is of more than *de minimis* value from a Market Party.

30.4.3.7 The Market Monitoring Unit and its employees must advise the Board in the event they seek employment with a Market Party, and must disqualify themselves from participating in any matter that could have an effect on the financial interests of that Market Party until the outcome of the matter is determined.

30.4.3.8 If the Market Monitoring Unit or any of its employees provide services to entities other than the ISO, the Market Monitoring Unit shall provide to the ISO's Board, and shall regularly update, a list of such entities and services. When the Market Monitoring Unit issues an opinion, report or recommendation to, for or addressing the ISO or the markets it administers that relates to, or could reasonably be expected to affect, an entity (other than the ISO) to which the Market Monitoring Unit or its employees provide services, the Market Monitoring Unit shall inform the ISO's Board of the opinion, report or recommendation it has issued, and that its opinion, report or recommendation relates to, or could reasonably be expected to affect, an entity to which the Market Monitoring Unit or its employees provide services.

30.4.4 Duties of the Market Monitoring Unit

The Market Monitoring Unit shall advise the Board, shall perform the Core Functions specified in Section 30.4.5 of Attachment O, and shall have such other duties and responsibilities

as are specified in Attachment O. The Market Monitoring Unit may, at any time, bring any matter to the attention of the Board that the Market Monitoring Unit may deem necessary or appropriate for achieving the purposes, objectives and effective implementation of Attachment O.

The Market Monitoring Unit shall not participate in the administration of the ISO's Tariffs, except for performing its duties under Attachment O. The Market Monitoring Unit shall not be responsible for performing purely administrative duties, such as enforcement of late fees or Market Party reporting obligations, that are not specified in Attachment O. The Market Monitoring Unit may (i) provide, or assist the ISO's efforts to develop, the inputs required to conduct mitigation, and (ii) assist the ISO's efforts to conduct "retrospective" mitigation (*see* Order 719 at PP. 369, 375) that does not change bids or offers (including physical bid or offer parameters) at or before the time such bids or offers (including physical bid or offer parameters) are considered in the ISO's market solution.

30.4.5 Core Market Monitoring Functions

The Market Monitoring Unit shall be responsible for performing the following Core Functions:

30.4.5.1 Evaluate existing and proposed market rules, tariff provisions and market design elements and recommend proposed rule and tariff changes to the ISO, to the Commission's Office of Energy Market Regulation staff, and to other interested entities, including the New York Public Service Commission, and participants in the ISO's stakeholder governance process. Provided that:

30.4.5.1.1 The Market Monitoring Unit is not responsible for systematic review of every tariff and market rule; its role is monitoring, not audit.

30.4.5.1.2 The Market Monitoring Unit is not to effectuate its proposed market design itself.

30.4.5.1.3 The Market Monitoring Unit's role in recommending proposed rule and Tariff changes is advisory in nature, unless a Tariff provision specifically concerns actions to be undertaken by the Market Monitoring Unit itself.

30.4.5.1.4 The Market Monitoring Unit must limit distribution of issues or concerns it identifies, and its recommendations to the ISO and to Commission staff in the event it believes broader dissemination could lead to exploitation. Limited distributions should include an explanation of why further dissemination should be avoided at that time.

30.4.5.2 Review and report on the performance of the wholesale markets to the ISO, the Commission, and other interested entities such as the New York Public Service Commission and participants in its stakeholder governance process on at least a quarterly basis, and issue a more comprehensive annual state of the market report. The Market Monitoring Unit may issue additional reports as necessary.

30.4.5.2.1 In order to perform the Core Functions, the Market Monitoring Unit shall perform daily monitoring of the markets that the ISO administers. The Market Monitoring Unit's daily monitoring shall include monitoring of virtual bidding.

30.4.5.2.2 The Market Monitoring Unit shall submit drafts of each of its reports to the ISO for review and comment sufficiently in advance of the report's issuance to provide an effective opportunity for review and comment by the ISO. The Market Monitoring Unit may disregard any suggestions with which it disagrees.

The ISO may not alter the reports prepared by the Market Monitoring Unit, nor dictate the Market Monitoring Unit's conclusions.

30.4.5.3 Identify and notify the Commission staff of instances in which a Market Party's or the ISO's behavior may require investigation, including, but not limited to, suspected Market Violations.

30.4.5.3.1 Except as provided in Section 30.4.5.3.2 below, in compliance with § 35.28(g)(3)(iv) of the Commission's regulations (or any successor provisions thereto) the Market Monitoring Unit shall submit a non-public referral to the Commission in all instances where it has obtained sufficient credible information to believe a Market Violation has occurred. Once the Market Monitoring Unit has obtained sufficient credible information to warrant referral to the Commission, the Market Monitoring Unit shall immediately refer the matter to the Commission and desist from further investigation of independent action related to the alleged Market Violation, except at the express direction of the Commission or Commission staff. The Market Monitoring Unit may continue to monitor for repeated instances of the reported activity by the same or other entities and shall respond to requests from the Commission for additional information in connection with the alleged Market Violation it has referred.

30.4.5.3.2 The Market Monitoring Unit is not required to refer the actions (or failures to act) listed in this Section 30.4.5.3.2 to the Commission as Market Violations, because they have: (i) already been reported by the ISO as a Market Problem under Article 3.5.1 of the ISO Services Tariff; and/or (ii) because they pertain to actions or failures that: (a) are expressly set forth in the ISO's Tariffs;

(b) involve objectively identifiable behavior; and (c) trigger a sanction or other consequence that is expressly set forth in the ISO Tariffs and that is ultimately appealable to the Commission. The actions (or failures to act) that are exempt from mandatory referral to the Commission are:

- 30.4.5.3.2.1 failure to meet a Contract or Non-Contract CRIS MW Commitment pursuant to Sections 25.7.11.1.1 and 25.7.11.1.2 of Attachment S to the ISO OATT that results in a charge or other a sanction under Section 25.7.11.1.3 of Attachment S of the ISO OATT;
- 30.4.5.3.2.2 Black Start performance that results in reduction or forfeitures of payments under Rate Schedule 5 to the ISO Services Tariff;
- 30.4.5.3.2.3 any failure by the ISO to meet the deadlines for completing System Impact Studies, or any failure by a Transmission Owner to meet the deadlines for completing Facilities Studies, under Sections 3.7 and 4.5 of the ISO OATT that results in the filing of a notice and/or the imposition of sanctions under those provisions;
- 30.4.5.3.2.4 failure of a Market Party to comply with the ISO's creditworthiness requirements set forth in Attachment K of the ISO Services tariff, or other action, that triggers sanctions under Section 7.5 of the ISO Services Tariff or Section 2.7.5 of the ISO OATT, specifically: (i) failure of a Market Party to make timely payment under Section 7.2.2 of the ISO Services Tariff or Section 2.7.3.2 of the ISO OATT that triggers a sanction under Sections 7.5.3(i) or 7.5.3(iv) of the ISO Services Tariff, or Sections 2.7.5.3(i), 2.7.5.3(iv), or 2.7.5.4 of the ISO OATT; (ii) failure of a Market Party to comply with a demand for additional credit support

under Article 26.5 of Attachment K of the ISO Services Tariff that triggers a sanction under Section 7.5.3(i) of the ISO Services Tariff or Section 2.7.5.3(i) of the ISO OATT; (iii) failure of a Market Party to cure a default in another ISO/RTO market under Sections 7.5.3(iii) of the ISO Services Tariff, or Section 2.7.5.3(iii) of the ISO OATT that triggers a sanction under either of those tariff provisions; (iv) failure of a Market Party that has entered into a Prepayment Agreement with the ISO under Appendix K-1 to Attachment K to the ISO Services Tariff to make payment in accordance with the terms of the Prepayment Agreement that triggers a sanction under the Prepayment Agreement or 7.5.3(i) of the ISO Services Tariff; and (v) failure of a Market Party to make timely payment on two occasions within a rolling twelve month period under Section 7.5.3(iv) of the ISO Services Tariff, or Section 2.7.5.3(iv) of the ISO OATT that triggers a sanction under either of those provisions.

30.4.5.3.2.5 bidding in a manner that results in a penalty under Section 23.4.3.3.4 of the Market Mitigation Measures.

30.4.5.3.2.6 submission of inaccurate fuel type information into the Day-Ahead Market that results in a penalty under Section 23.4.3.3.3 of the Market Mitigation Measures.

30.4.5.3.2.7 submission of inaccurate fuel type and/or fuel price information into the Real-Time Market that results in a penalty under Section 23.4.3.3.4 of the Market Mitigation Measures.

To the extent the above list enumerates specific Tariff provisions, the exclusions specified above shall also apply to re-numbered and/or successor provisions thereto. The Market

Monitoring Unit is not precluded from referring any of the activities listed above to the Commission.

30.4.5.4 Identify and notify the Commission staff of perceived market design flaws that could be effectively remedied by rule or tariff changes.

30.4.5.4.1 In compliance with § 35.28(g)(3)(v) of the Commission's regulations (or any successor provisions thereto) the Market Monitoring Unit shall submit a referral to the Commission when the Market Monitoring Unit has reason to believe that a market design flaw exists, that the Market Monitoring Unit believes could effectively be remedied by rule or tariff changes.

30.4.5.4.1.1 If the Market Monitoring Unit believes broader dissemination of the possible market design flaw, and its recommendation could lead to exploitation, the Market Monitoring Unit shall limit distribution of its referral to the ISO and to the Commission. The referral shall explain why further dissemination should be avoided.

30.4.5.4.1.2 Following referral of a possible market design flaw, the Market Monitoring Unit shall continue to provide to the Commission additional information regarding the perceived market design flaw, its effects on the market, any additional or modified observations concerning the Market Monitoring Unit's proposed market rule or tariff change, any recommendations made by the Market Monitoring Unit to the ISO, its stakeholders, Market Parties or state public service commissions regarding the perceived market design flaw, and any actions taken by the ISO regarding the perceived market design flaw.

30.4.6 Market Monitoring Unit Responsibilities Set Forth Elsewhere in the ISO's Tariffs

30.4.6.1 Supremacy of (Attachment O)

Provisions addressing the Market Monitoring Unit, its responsibilities and its authority, have been centralized in Attachment O. However, provisions that address the Market Monitoring Unit can also be found in the Market Mitigation Measures that are set forth in Attachment H to the ISO Services Tariff, and elsewhere in the ISO's Tariffs. In the event of any inconsistency between the provisions of Attachment O and any other provision of the ISO OATT, the ISO Services Tariff, or any of their attachments and schedules, with regard to the Market Monitoring Unit, its responsibilities and its authority, the provisions of Attachment O shall control.

30.4.6.2 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in the Market Mitigation Measures

30.4.6.2.1 The ISO and its Market Monitoring Unit shall monitor the markets the ISO administers for conduct that the ISO or the Market Monitoring Unit determine constitutes an abuse of market power but that does not trigger the thresholds specified in the Market Mitigation Measures for the imposition of mitigation measures by the ISO. If the ISO identifies or is made aware of any such conduct, and in particular conduct exceeding the thresholds for presumptive market effects specified in Section 23.3.2.3 of the Market Mitigation Measures, it shall make a filing under § 205 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 824d (1999) ("§ 205") with the Commission requesting authorization to apply appropriate mitigation measures. Any such filing shall identify the particular conduct the ISO believes warrants mitigation, shall propose a specific mitigation

measure for the conduct, shall incorporate or address the recommendation of its Market Monitoring Unit, and shall set forth the ISO's justification for imposing that mitigation measure. The Market Monitoring Unit's reporting obligations are specified in Sections 30.4.5.3 and 30.4.5.4 of Attachment O. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.1.2.

30.4.6.2.2 The ISO and the Market Monitoring Unit shall monitor the ISO Administered Markets for other categories of conduct, whether by a single firm or by multiple firms acting in concert, that have material effects on prices or guarantee payments in an ISO Administered Market. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.2.4.4.

30.4.6.2.3 If (i) the ISO determines, following consultation with the Market Party and review by the Market Monitoring Unit, that the Market Party or its representative has, over a time period of at least one week, submitted inaccurate fuel type or fuel price information that was, taken as a whole, biased in the Market Party's favor, *then* the ISO shall cease using the fuel type and fuel price information submitted to the ISO's Market Information System along with the Generator's Bid(s) to develop reference levels for the affected Generator(s) in the relevant (Day-Ahead or real-time) market for the durations specified in Sections 23.1.4.7.8.1, 23.3.1.4.7.8.2, and 23.3.1.4.7.8.3 of the Mitigation Measures. *See* Section 23.3.1.4.7.8 of the Market Mitigation Measures

30.4.6.2.4 When it has the capability to do so, the ISO shall determine the effect on prices or guarantee payments of questioned conduct through the use of sensitivity analyses performed using the ISO's SCUC, RTC and RTD computer models, and

such other computer modeling or analytic methods as the ISO shall deem appropriate following consultation with its Market Monitoring Unit. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.3.2.2.1.

30.4.6.2.5 Pending development of the capability to use automated market models, the ISO, following consultation with its Market Monitoring Unit, shall determine the effect on prices or guarantee payments of questioned conduct using the best available data and such models and methods as they shall deem appropriate. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.3.2.2.2.

30.4.6.2.6 If through the application of an appropriate index or screen or other monitoring of market conditions, conduct is identified that (i) exceeds an applicable threshold, and (ii) has a material effect, as specified above, on one or more prices or guarantee payments in an ISO Administered Market, the ISO shall, as and to the extent specified in Attachment O or in Section 23.3.3.2 of the Market Mitigation Measures, contact the Market Party engaging in the identified conduct to request an explanation of the conduct. If a Market Party anticipates submitting bids in a market administered by the ISO that will exceed the thresholds specified in Section 23.3.1 of the Market Mitigation Measures for identifying conduct inconsistent with competition, the Market Party may contact the ISO to provide an explanation of any legitimate basis for any such changes in the Market Party's bids. If a Market Party's explanation of the reasons for its bidding indicates to the satisfaction of the ISO that the questioned conduct is consistent with competitive behavior, no further action will be taken. Market Parties shall ensure that the information they submit to the ISO, including but not

limited to fuel price and fuel type information, is accurate. Except as set forth in Section 23.3.1.4.7.7 of the Market Mitigation Measures, the ISO may not retroactively revise a reference level to reflect additional fuel costs if a Market Party or its representative did not timely submit accurate fuel cost information. Unsupported speculation by a Market Party does not present a valid basis for the ISO to determine that Bids that a Market Party submitted are consistent with competitive behavior, or to determine that submitted costs are appropriate for inclusion in the ISO's development of reference levels. Consistent with Sections 30.6.2.2 and 30.6.3.2 of the Plan, the Market Party shall retain the documents and information supporting its Bids and the costs it proposes to include in reference levels. A preliminary determination by the ISO shall be provided to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and the ISO shall consider the Market Monitoring Unit's recommendations in reaching its decision. Upon request, the ISO shall also consult with a Market Party with respect to the information and analysis used to determine reference levels under Section 23.3.1.4 of the Market Mitigation Measures for that Market Party. If cost data or other information submitted by a Market Party indicates to the satisfaction of the ISO that the reference levels for that Market Party should be changed, revised reference levels shall be determined by the ISO, reviewed by the Market Monitoring Unit and, following the ISO's consideration of the Market Monitoring Unit's recommendation, communicated to the Market Party, and implemented by the ISO as soon as practicable. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.3.3.1.

30.4.6.2.7 With regard to a Market Party's request for consultation that satisfies the requirements of Sections 23.3.3.3.1.4 and 23.3.3.3.1.7 of the Market Mitigation Measures, and consistent with the duties assigned to the ISO in Section 23.3.3.3.1.7.1 of the Market Mitigation Measures, a preliminary determination by the ISO regarding the Market Party's consultation request shall be provided to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and the ISO shall consider the Market Monitoring Unit's recommendations in reaching its decision. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.3.3.3.1.7.1 and 23.3.3.3.1.7.2.

30.4.6.2.8 Reasonably in advance of the deadline for submitting offers in an External Reconfiguration Market and in accordance with the deadlines specified in ISO Procedures, the Responsible Market Party for External Sale UCAP may request the ISO to provide a projection of ICAP Spot Auction clearing prices for the New York City Locality over the Comparison Period for the External Reconfiguration Market. Prior to completing its projection of ICAP Spot Auction clearing prices for the New York City Locality over the Comparison Period for the External Reconfiguration Market, the ISO shall consult with the Market Monitoring Unit regarding such price projection. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.4.5.4.3.

30.4.6.2.9 Prior to reaching its decision regarding whether the presumption of control of Unforced Capacity has been rebutted, the ISO shall provide its preliminary determination to the Market Monitoring Unit for review and comment. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.4.5.5.

30.4.6.2.10 Any proposal or decision by a Market Participant to retire or otherwise remove an Installed Capacity Supplier from the In-City Unforced Capacity market, or to de-rate the amount of Installed Capacity available from such supplier, may be subject to audit and review by the ISO if the ISO determines that such action could reasonably be expected to affect Market-Clearing Prices in one or more ICAP Spot Market Auctions for the New York City Locality subsequent to such action. Such an audit or review shall assess whether the proposal or decision has a legitimate economic justification or is based on an effort to withhold Installed Capacity physically in order to affect prices. The ISO shall provide the preliminary results of its audit or review to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and comment. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.4.5.6.

30.4.6.2.11 When evaluating a request by a Developer or Interconnection Customer pursuant to Section 23.4.5.7 of the Market Mitigation Measures, the ISO shall seek comment from the Market Monitoring Unit on matters relating to the determination of price projections and cost calculations. *See* Market Mitigation Measures Section 23.4.5.7.

30.4.6.3 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in the ISO Services Tariff

30.4.6.3.1 The ICAP Demand Curve periodic review schedule and procedures shall provide an opportunity for the Market Monitoring Unit to review and comment on the draft request for proposals, the independent consultant's report, and the ISO's proposed ICAP Demand Curves. *See* ISO Services Tariff Section 5.14.1.2.5.

30.4.6.4 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in the Rate Schedules to the ISO Services Tariff.

30.4.6.4.1 Responsibilities related to the Regulation Service Demand Curve

In order to respond to operational or reliability problems that arise in real-time, the ISO may procure Regulation Service at a quantity and/or price point different than those specified in Section 15.3.7 of Rate Schedule 3 to the ISO Services Tariff. The ISO shall post a notice of any such purchase as soon as reasonably possible and shall report on the reasons for such purchases at the next meeting of its Business Issues Committee. The ISO shall also immediately initiate an investigation to determine whether it is necessary to modify the quantity and price points specified above to avoid future operational or reliability problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit when it conducts this investigation.

If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the quantity and/or price points specified above in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems it may temporarily modify them for a period of up to 90 days. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification.

After the first year the Regulation Service Demand Curve is in place, the ISO shall perform periodic reviews, subject to the scope requirement specified in Section 15.3.7 of Rate Schedule 3 to the ISO Services Tariff, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall be given the opportunity to review and comment on the ISO's periodic reviews of the Regulation Service Demand Curve. *See* Section 15.3.7 of Rate Schedule 3 to the ISO Services Tariff.

30.4.6.4.2 Responsibilities related to the Operating Reserves Demand Curves

In order to respond to operational or reliability problems that arise in real-time, the ISO may procure any Operating Reserve product at a quantity and/or price point different than those specified in Section 15.4.7 of Rate Schedule 4 to the ISO Services Tariff. The ISO shall post a notice of any such purchase as soon as reasonably possible and shall report on the reasons for such purchases at the next meeting of its Business Issues Committee. The ISO shall also immediately initiate an investigation to determine whether it is necessary to modify the quantity and price points specified above to avoid future operational or reliability problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit when it conducts this investigation.

If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the quantity and/or price points specified in Section 15.4.7 of Rate Schedule 4 to the ISO Services Tariff in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems it may temporarily modify them for a period of up to 90 days. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification.

After the first year the Operating Reserves Demand Curves are in place, the ISO shall perform periodic reviews, subject to the scope requirement specified in Section 15.4.7 of Rate Schedule 4 to the ISO Services Tariff, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall be given the opportunity to review and comment on the ISO's periodic reviews of the Operating Reserve Demand Curves. *See* Section 15.4.7 of Rate Schedule 4 to the ISO Services Tariff.

30.4.6.5 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in the Attachments to the ISO Services Tariff (other than the Market Mitigation Measures).

30.4.6.5.1 Responsibilities related to Transmission Shortage Cost

The ISO may periodically evaluate the Transmission Shortage Cost to determine whether it is necessary to modify the Transmission Shortage Cost to avoid future operational or reliability problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit after it conducts this evaluation.

If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the Transmission Shortage Cost in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems the resolution of which would otherwise require recurring operator intervention outside normal market scheduling procedures, in order to avoid among other reliability issues, a violation of NERC Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits or System Operating Limits, it may temporarily modify it for a period of up to 90 days, provided however the ISO shall file such change with the Commission pursuant to § 205 of the Federal Power Act within 45 days of such modification. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification and shall explain the reasons for the change. *See* Section 17.1.4 of Attachment B to the ISO Services Tariff.

30.4.6.5.2 Responsibilities under Appendix 4 to the Operating Protocol for the Implementation of Commission Opinion No. 476 (the “Operating Protocol”)

The ISO and PJM and their Market Monitoring Units shall, to the extent compatible with their respective tariffs and with any other market monitoring procedures that they have filed with the Commission:

30.4.6.5.2.1 Conduct such investigations as may be necessary to ensure that gaming, abuse of market power, or similar activities do not take place with regard to power transfers under the 600/400 MW contracts;

30.4.6.5.2.2 Conduct investigations that go into the region of the other ISO jointly with the ISO, PJM and both Market Monitoring Units;

30.4.6.5.2.3 Inform each other of any such investigations; and

30.4.6.5.2.4 Share information related to such investigations, as necessary to conduct joint investigations, subject to the requirements of Section C of Appendix 4 to the Operating Protocol and Section 30.6.6 of Attachment O.

See Section A of Appendix 4 to Attachment M-1 to the ISO Services Tariff.

30.4.6.6 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in the ISO OATT

30.4.6.7 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in the Rate Schedules to the ISO OATT

30.4.6.8 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in the Attachments to the ISO OATT

30.4.6.8.1 Responsibilities related to Transmission Shortage Cost

The ISO may periodically evaluate the Transmission Shortage Cost to determine whether it is necessary to modify the Transmission Shortage Cost to avoid future operational or reliability

problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit after it conducts this evaluation.

If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the Transmission Shortage Cost in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems the resolution of which would otherwise require recurring operator intervention outside normal market scheduling procedures, in order to avoid among other reliability issues, a violation of NERC Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits or System Operating Limits, it may temporarily modify it for a period of up to 90 days, provided however the ISO shall file such change with the Commission pursuant to §205 of the Federal Power Act within 45 days of such modification. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification and shall explain the reasons for the change. *See* Section 17.1.4 of Attachment B to the Services Tariff.

30.4.6.8.2 Following the Management Committee vote, the draft Reliability Needs Assessment (RNA), with working group, Operating Committee, and Management Committee input, will be forwarded to the ISO Board for review and action. Concurrently, the draft RNA will be provided to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and consideration of whether market rules changes are necessary to address an identified failure, if any, in one of the ISO's competitive markets. *See* Section 31.2.3.2 of Attachment Y to the ISO OATT.

30.4.6.8.3 Following the Management Committee vote, the draft Comprehensive Reliability Plan (CRP), with working group, Operating Committee, and

Management Committee input, will be forwarded to the ISO Board for review and action. Concurrently, the draft CRP will also be provided to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and consideration of whether market rule changes are necessary to address an identified failure, if any, in one of the ISO's competitive markets. *See* Section 31.2.6.2 of Attachment Y to the ISO OATT.

30.4.6.8.4 Following the Management Committee vote, the draft Congestion Analysis and Resource Integration Study (CARIS), with Business Issues Committee and Management Committee input, will be forwarded to the ISO Board for review and action. Concurrently, the draft CARIS will be provided to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and consideration. *See* Section 31.3.2.2 of Attachment Y to the ISO OATT.

30.4.6.9 Market Monitoring Unit responsibilities set forth in other documents that have been formally filed with the Commission.

30.4.7 Availability of Data and Resources to Market Monitoring Unit

30.4.7.1 The ISO shall ensure that the Market Monitoring Unit has sufficient access to ISO resources, personnel and market data to enable the Market Monitoring Unit to carry out its functions under Attachment O. Consistent with Section 30.6.1 of Attachment O, the Market Monitoring Unit shall have complete access to the ISO's databases of market information.

30.4.7.2 Any data created by the Market Monitoring Unit, including but not limited to reconfiguration of the ISO's data, will be kept within the exclusive control of the Market Monitoring Unit. The Market Monitoring Unit may share the data it creates, subject to the limitations on distribution of and obligation to protect the

confidentiality of Protected Information that are contained in Attachment O, the ISO Services Tariff, and the ISO's Code of Conduct.

30.4.7.3 Where data outside the ISO's geographic footprint would be helpful to the Market Monitoring Unit in carrying out its duties, the Market Monitoring Unit should seek out that data (with assistance from the ISO, where appropriate).