

4.5 Real-Time Market Settlements

Transmission Customers and Customers taking service under this ISO Services Tariff or the ISO OATT, shall be subject to the Real-Time Market Settlement. All withdrawals, injections and Demand Reductions not scheduled on a Day-Ahead basis, including Real-Time deviations from any Day-Ahead External Transaction schedules, shall be subject to the Real-Time Market Settlement. Transmission Customers not taking service under this Tariff shall be subject to balancing charges as provided for under the ISO OATT. Settlements with Suppliers scheduling service from External Suppliers to the LBMP Market or to External Loads from the LBMP Market will be based upon scheduled withdrawals or injections. Real-Time Market Settlements for Energy provided by Resources supplying Regulation Service or Operating Reserves shall follow the rules which are described in Rate Schedules 15.3 and 15.4, respectively.

For the purposes of this section, the scheduled output of each of the following Generators in each RTD interval in which it has offered Energy shall retroactively be set equal to its actual output in that RTD interval:

(i) Generators, except for the Generator of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation

Resource and Generators in an Aggregation, providing Energy under contracts

executed and effective on or before November 18, 1999 (including PURPA

contracts) in which the power purchaser does not control the operation of the

supply source but would be responsible for penalties for being off-schedule, with

the exception of Generators under must-take PURPA contracts executed and

effective on or before November 18, 1999 who have not provided telemetering to

their local TO and historically have not been eligible to participate in the NYPP

- market, which will continue to be treated as TO Load modifiers under the ISO-administered markets;
- (ii) Existing topping turbine Generators and extraction turbine Generators producing electric Energy resulting from the supply of steam to the district steam system located in New York City (LBMP Zone J) in operation on or before November 18, 1999 and/or topping or extraction turbine Generators utilized in replacing or repowering existing steam supplies from such units (in accordance with good engineering and economic design) that cannot follow schedules, up to a maximum total of 523 MW of such units.

This procedure shall not apply to Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resources,

Aggregations or a Generator for those hours it has used the ISO-Committed Flexible or Self
Committed Flexible bid mode.

In Sections 4.5.1, 4.5.2, 4.5.3, and 4.5.4 of this Tariff, references to "scheduled" Energy injections and withdrawals shall encompass injections, including Demand Reductions, and withdrawals that are scheduled Day-Ahead, unless otherwise noted, as well as injections and withdrawals that occur in connection with real-time Bilateral Transactions. In Sections 4.5.2 and 4.5.3 of this Tariff, references to Energy Withdrawals and Energy Injections shall not include Energy Withdrawals or Energy Injections in Virtual Transactions, or Energy Withdrawals or Energy Injections at Trading Hubs. Generators, including Limited Energy Storage Resources and Aggregations, that are providing Regulation Service shall not be subject to the real-time Energy market settlement provisions set forth in this Section, but shall instead be subject to the Energy settlement rules set forth in Rate Schedule 15.3 of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.5.1 Settlement for Customers Scheduled To Sell Energy in Virtual Transactions in Load Zones

The Actual Energy Injection in a Load Zone by a Customer scheduled Day-Ahead to sell Energy in a Virtual Transaction is zero and the Customer shall pay a charge for the Energy imbalance equal to the product of: (a) the Real-Time LBMP calculated in that hour for the applicable Load Zone; and (b) the scheduled Day-Ahead Energy Injection of the Customer for that Hour in that Load Zone.

4.5.2 Real-Time Market Settlements for Energy

4.5.2.1 General Rules for Suppliers

A Supplier, which is not a DER Aggregation, shall pay or be paid for Energy imbalance to account for differences between Actual Energy Injections, compensable Demand Reductions, real-time Energy schedules and Day-Ahead Energy schedules.

A DER Aggregation shall pay or be paid for Energy imbalance based on the (1) Actual Energy Injections, real-time Energy schedules, Day-Ahead Energy schedules, and (2) all compensable Demand Reductions eligible for payment at the applicable LBMP pursuant to Services Tariff Section 4.5.7.

A Generator or Aggregation that is not following Base Point Signals shall not be compensated for Energy in excess of its Real-Time Scheduled Energy injection if its applicable upper operating limit has been reduced below its bid-in upper operating limit by the ISO in order to reconcile the ISO's dispatch with the Generator or Aggregation's actual output, or to address reliability concerns.

If the Energy provided by a Supplier over an RTD interval is less than the Supplier's Day-Ahead Energy schedule, and if the Supplier reduced the Energy it provides in response to instructions by the ISO or a Transmission Owner that were issued in order to maintain a secure

and reliable dispatch, the Supplier may be entitled to a Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment, pursuant to Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.5.2.1.1 Supplier Payments when LBMP is Positive

When the LBMP calculated in that RTD interval at the applicable Generator or

Aggregation's bus is positive, the Supplier payment shall be calculated as follows:

Supplier payment for Energy injections and withdrawals = $((MIN(AE_{iu},RTS_{iu}) - DAS_{hu})$

*
$$LBMP_{iu}^{RT}$$
) * $\frac{S_i}{3600}$

Where:

AE_{iu} = (1) average Actual Energy Injection by Supplier *u* in interval *i* expressed in terms of MW; or (2) average Actual Energy Withdrawal by an Energy Storage Resource *u* or Aggregation *u* that includes Energy Storage Resource(s)in interval *i* expressed in terms

of MW;

 RTS_{iu} = (1) real-time Energy scheduled by Supplier u in interval i plus Compensable

Overgeneration; or (2) real-time Energy scheduled for withdrawal by Energy Storage Resource u or Aggregation u that includes Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s) in interval i plus 3% of the absolute value of the Energy Storage Resource's or Aggregation's Lower Operating Limit; or (3) average Actual Energy Withdrawal by an Energy Storage Resource u or Aggregation u that includes Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s) in interval i when it has been designated as operating Out-of-Merit to withdraw at the request of a

Transmission Owner or the ISO;

 DAS_{hu} = Day-Ahead Energy schedule for Supplier u in hour h containing interval i;

 $LBMP_{iu}^{RT}$ = real-time price of Energy at the location of Supplier u in interval i;

 S_i = number of seconds in RTD interval i;

Supplier payment for Demand Reductions =

$$(MIN(ADR_{iu}, MAX(RTS_{iu} - AE_{iu}, 0)) * LBMP_{iu}^{RT}) * \frac{S_i}{3600}$$

Where:

 ADR_{iu} = average Actual Demand Reduction that is eligible for Energy payments pursuant to Services Tariff Section 4.5.7 by Supplier u in interval i, the ADR_{iu} term will be set to

zero if the Actual Demand Reduction is not eligible for Energy payments pursuant to Services Tariff Section 4.5.7;

The remaining variables are defined above in this Section 4.5.2.1.1.

4.5.2.1.2 Supplier Payments when LBMP is negative, during a large event reserve pickup, during a maximum generation pickup, or during a Transmission Owner initiated reserve pickup

When: (1) the LBMP calculated in that RTD interval at the applicable Generator or Aggregation bus is negative; or (2) the ISO initiates a large event reserve pickup or a maximum generation pickup under RTD-CAM that applies to the Load Zone where the Generator or Aggregation is located; or (3) a Transmission Owner initiates a reserve pickup in accordance with a Reliability Rule, including a Local Reliability Rule, then the Supplier payment shall be calculated as follows:

Supplier payment for Energy injections and withdrawals = $((AE_{iu} - DAS_{hu}) * LBMP_{iu}^{RT}) * \frac{s_i}{3600}$

Where:

The variables are defined above in this Section 4.5.2.1..1

Supplier payment for Demand Reductions = ADR_{iu} * LBMP_{iu}^{RT} * $\frac{S_i}{3600}$

Where:

 ADR_{iu} = average Actual Demand Reduction by Supplier u in interval i;

The remaining variables are defined above in Section 4.5.2.1.1.

4.5.2.1.3 Supplier Payments for Imports

Suppliers scheduling Imports shall pay or be paid for Energy imbalance to account for differences between real-time Energy schedules and Day-Ahead Energy schedules. For an

Import to the LBMP Market that is only scheduled in the Real-Time Market, or to the extent it is scheduled to supply additional or less Energy to the LBMP Market in real-time than it was scheduled to supply Day-Ahead, the Supplier payment shall be calculated as follows:

Supplier payment for Imports =
$$((RTS_{iup} - DAS_{hup}) * LBMP_{ip}^{RT}) * \frac{S_i}{3600}$$

Where:

 RTS_{iup} = real-time Energy scheduled for injection by Supplier u in interval i at Proxy Generator Bus p;

 DAS_{hup} = Day-Ahead Energy schedule for Supplier u in hour h containing interval i at Proxy Generator Bus p;

 $LBMP_{ip}^{RT}$ = real-time price of Energy at the Point of Receipt p (i.e., the Proxy Generator Bus) in interval i;

 S_i = number of seconds in RTD interval i;

4.5.2.2 Failed Transactions

If an Energy injection scheduled by RTC at a Proxy Generator Bus fails in the ISO's checkout process and the checkout failure occurred for reasons within the Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control, it will be required to pay the "Financial Impact Charge" described below. The ISO will determine whether the Transaction associated with an injection failed for reasons within a Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control.

If an Energy injection at a Proxy Generator Bus is determined to have failed for reasons within a Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control, the Financial Impact Charge will equal:

(i) the difference computed by subtracting the actual real-time Energy injection from the amount of the Import scheduled by RTC; multiplied by (ii) the greater of the Real-Time Market Congestion Component of the LBMP in the relevant interval, or zero.

If a Wheel Through fails for reasons within a Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control, the Financial Impact Charge will equal the sum of the Financial Impact Charge described in this section and the Financial Impact Charge described below in Section 4.5.3.2.

All Financial Impact Charges collected by the ISO shall be used to reduce the charges assessed under Rate Schedule 1 of this ISO Services Tariff. In the event that the Energy injections for an Import scheduled by RTC or RTD, at a Proxy Generator Bus is Curtailed at the request of the ISO, and (i) the real-time Energy Profile MW is equal to or greater than the Day-Ahead Energy Schedule for that interval, and (ii) the real-time Decremental Bid is less than or equal to the default real-time Decremental Bid amount as established by ISO procedures, then the Supplier or Transmission Customer that is subjected to the Curtailment, in addition to the charge for Energy Imbalance, shall be eligible to receive an Import Curtailment Guarantee Payment for its curtailed Import pursuant to Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.5.2.3 Capacity Limited Resources and Energy Limited Resources

For any hour in which: (i) a Capacity Limited Resource or an Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources is scheduled to supply Energy, Operating Reserves, or Regulation Service in the Day-Ahead Market; (ii) the sum of its schedules to provide these services exceeds its bid-in upper operating limit; (iii) the Capacity Limited Resource or Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources requests a reduction for Capacity limitation reasons; and (iv) the ISO reduces the Capacity Limited Resource's or the Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources upper operating limit to a level equal to, or greater than, its bid-in upper operating limit; the imbalance charge for Energy, Operating Reserve Service or Regulation Service imposed on that Capacity Limited Resource or Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources for that hour for its Day-Ahead

Market obligations above its Capacity limited upper operating limit shall be equal to the product of: (a) the Real-Time price for Energy, Operating Reserve Service and Regulation Capacity; and (b) the Capacity Limited Resource's or the Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources Day-Ahead schedule for each of these services minus the amount of these services that it has an obligation to supply pursuant to its ISO-approved schedule. When a Capacity Limited Resource's or the Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources Day-Ahead obligation above its Capacity limited upper operating limit is balanced as described above, any real-time variation from its obligation pursuant to its Capacity limited schedules shall be settled pursuant to the methodology set forth in Section 4.5.2.1.

For any day in which: (i) an Energy Limited Resource or an Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources is scheduled to supply Energy, Operating Reserves or Regulation Service in the Day-Ahead Market; (ii) the sum of its schedules to provide these services exceeds its bid-in Normal Upper Operating Limit; (iii) the Energy Limited Resource or the Aggregation comprised entirely of Capacity Limited Resources requests a reduction for Energy limitation reasons; and (iv) the ISO reduces the Energy Limited Resource's Day-Ahead Emergency Upper Operating Limit to a limit no lower than the Normal Upper Operating Limit; the Resource may be eligible to receive a Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment pursuant to Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.5.2.4 Demand Reductions

When the verified actual Demand Reduction over an hour from a Demand Reduction

Provider that is also the LSE providing Energy service to the Demand Side Resource(s) that

produced the reduction is less than the Demand Reduction scheduled for that hour, that-LSE shall

pay a Demand Reduction imbalance charge consisting of the product of: (a) the greater of the

Day-Ahead LBMP or the Real-Time LBMP for that hour and (b) the difference between the scheduled Demand Reduction and the verified actual Demand Reduction in that hour.

When the verified actual Demand Reduction over an hour from a Demand Reduction Provider that is not the LSE providing Energy service to the Demand Side Resource(s) that produced the reduction is less than the Demand Reduction scheduled over that hour, then (1) the LSE providing Energy service to the Demand Reduction Provider's Demand Side Resource(s) shall pay a Demand Reduction imbalance charge equal to the product of (a) the Day-Ahead LBMP calculated for that hour for the applicable Load bus and (b) the difference between the scheduled Demand Reduction and the verified actual Demand Reduction at that bus in that hour, and (2) the Demand Reduction Provider will pay an amount equal to (a) the product of (i) the higher of the Day-Ahead LBMP or the Real-Time LBMP calculated for that hour for the applicable Load bus, and (ii) the difference between the scheduled Demand Reduction and the verified actual Demand Reduction at that bus in that hour, and (b) minus the amount paid by the LSE providing service to the Demand Reduction Provider's Demand Side Resource(s) under (1), above.

4.5.3 Real-Time Market Settlements for Energy Withdrawals Other Than in Virtual Transactions

4.5.3.1 General Rules

A Customer (other than a Generator that is eligible to withdraw Energy) shall pay or be paid for Energy imbalance to account for differences between Actual Energy Withdrawals over an RTD interval and its Energy withdrawals scheduled Day-Ahead. The ISO shall charge the Customer as follows for each applicable Load Zone:

Customer Charge =
$$((AEW_{icz} - DAS_{hcz}) * LBMP_{iz}^{RT}) * \frac{S_i}{3600}$$

Where:

 AEW_{icz} = Actual Energy Withdrawal by Customer c in Load Zone z in interval i;

 DAS_{hcz} = Day-Ahead scheduled Energy withdrawals by Customer c in Load Zone z in hour h containing interval i;

 $LBMP_{iz}^{RT}$ = real-time price of Energy for Load Zone z in interval i;

 S_i = number of seconds in RTD interval i;

If the Generator of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource is not able to serve the Resource's Host Load at any time, any resulting Actual Energy Withdrawals that serve the Host Load will be charged to the Load Serving Entity responsible for serving the Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource.

4.5.3.1.1 Customer Settlements for Exports

Customers scheduling Exports shall pay or be paid for Energy imbalance to account for differences between real-time Energy schedules and Day-Ahead Energy schedules. For an Export from the LBMP Market that is only scheduled in the Real-Time Market, or to the extent it is scheduled to withdraw additional or less Energy from the LBMP Market in real-time than it was scheduled to withdraw Day-Ahead, the ISO shall charge the Customer as follows:

Customer Charge for Exports =
$$((RTS_{iup} - DAS_{hup}) * LBMP_{ip}^{RT}) * \frac{S_i}{3600}$$

Where:

 RTS_{iup} = real-time Energy scheduled for withdrawal by Customer u in interval i at Proxy Generator Bus p;

 DAS_{hup} = Day-Ahead Energy schedule for Customer u in hour h containing interval i at Proxy Generator Bus p;

 $LBMP_{ip}^{RT}$ = real-time price of Energy at the Point of Delivery p (i.e., the Proxy Generator Bus) in interval i;

 S_i = number of seconds in RTD interval i;

4.5.3.2 Failed Transactions

If an Energy withdrawal at a Proxy Generator Bus scheduled by RTC fails in the ISO's checkout process and the checkout failure occurred for reasons within the Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control, it will be required to pay the "Financial Impact Charge" described below. The ISO will determine whether the Transaction associated with a withdrawal failed for reasons within a Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control.

If an Energy withdrawal at a Proxy Generator Bus is determined to have failed for reasons within a Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control, the Financial Impact Charge will equal: (i) the difference computed by subtracting the actual real-time Energy withdrawal from the amount of the Export scheduled by RTC; multiplied by (ii) the product of negative one and the lesser of the Real-Time Market Congestion Component of the LBMP in the relevant interval, or zero.

If a Wheel Through fails for reasons within a Supplier's or Transmission Customer's control, the Financial Impact Charge will equal the sum of the Financial Impact Charge described in this subsection and the Financial Impact Charge described above in Section 4.5.2.2.

All Financial Impact Charges collected by the ISO shall be used to reduce the charges assessed under Rate Schedule 15.1 of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.5.4 Settlement for Customers Scheduled To Purchase Energy in Virtual Transactions in Load Zones

The Actual Energy Withdrawal in a Load Zone by a Customer scheduled Day-Ahead to purchase Energy in a Virtual Transaction is zero and the Customer shall be paid the product of:

(a) the Real-Time LBMP calculated in that hour for the applicable Load Zone; and (b) the scheduled Day-Ahead Energy Withdrawal of the Customer for that Hour in that Load Zone.

4.5.5 Settlement for Trading Hub Energy Owner when POI is a Trading Hub

Each Trading Hub Energy Owner who bids a Bilateral Transaction into the Real-Time Market with a Trading Hub as its POI and has its schedule accepted by the ISO will pay the product of: (a) the hourly integrated Real-Time LBMP for the Load Zone associated with that Trading Hub; and (b) the Bilateral Transaction scheduled MW.

4.5.6 Settlement for Trading Hub Energy Owner when POW is a Trading Hub

Each Trading Hub Energy Owner who bids a Bilateral Transaction into the Real-Time Market with a Trading Hub as its POW and has its schedule accepted by the ISO will be paid the product of: (a) the hourly integrated Real-Time LBMP for the Load Zone associated with that Trading Hub; and (b) the Bilateral Transaction scheduled MW.

4.5.7 Settlement for Demand Reductions

4.5.7.1 Monthly Net Benefits Test

The ISO shall perform the Net Benefits Test and post on its web site the Monthly Net Benefit Threshold for each month by the 15th of the preceding month in accordance with ISO Procedures. The Net Benefits Test shall establish the threshold price below which the dispatch of Energy from Demand Side Resources is not cost-effective. The Net Benefits Test shall consist of the following steps: (1) the ISO shall compile hourly supply curves for the Reference Month; (2) the ISO shall develop the average supply curve for the Study Month by updating the Reference Month supply curves for retirements and new entrants, and adjusting offers for changes in fuel prices; (3) the ISO shall apply an appropriate mathematical formula to smooth the average supply curve; and (4) the ISO shall evaluate the smoothed average supply curve to determine the Monthly Net Benefit Floor Threshold for the Study Month.

The ISO shall promptly post corrections, where necessary, to the Monthly Net Benefit Threshold. Corrections shall only apply to errors in conducting the calculations described above and/or in posting the properly calculated Monthly Net Benefit Threshold. Corrections shall not include recalculations based on changes in gas prices.

4.5.7.2 Settlement Eligibility for Demand Reductions

A DER Aggregation may offer into the Day-Ahead Market or Real-Time Market below the Monthly Net Benefit Threshold. However, when a DER Aggregation receives a real-time Energy schedule, and the Real-Time LBMP calculated in that RTD interval for the applicable Transmission Node is less than the Monthly Net Benefit Threshold price, Demand Reductions by the DER Aggregation shall not be eligible for Energy payments, Day Ahead Margin Assurance Payments or Bid Production Cost guarantee payments otherwise available under this Services Tariff. Provided, however, if the DER Aggregation is dispatched by the ISO or Transmission Owner to meet NYCA or local system reliability, the Demand Reductions shall be eligible for Energy payments. The DER Aggregation may also be eligible for Day Ahead Margin Assurance Payments pursuant to Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff and Bid Production Cost guarantee payments pursuant to Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

4.5.8 Performance Tracking

The ISO shall use a Performance Tracking System to compute the difference between the Energy actually supplied and the Energy scheduled by the ISO for all Suppliers located within the NYCA and shall use it to measure compliance with criteria associated with the provision of Energy and Ancillary Services as set forth in the ISO Procedures. The Performance Tracking System shall also be used to report metrics for Loads.

5.12 Requirements Applicable to Installed Capacity Suppliers

5.12.1 Installed Capacity Supplier Qualification Requirements

In order to qualify as an Installed Capacity Supplier or be part of an Aggregation that is qualified as an Installed Capacity Supplier, Generators, controllable transmission projects electrically located in the NYCA, transmission projects with associated incremental transfer capability, and Distributed Energy Resources that have the ability to inject Energy must have obtained Capacity Resource Interconnection Service ("CRIS") pursuant to the applicable provisions of Attachment S to the ISO OATT and have entered service: controllable transmission projects must also have obtained Unforced Capacity Deliverability Rights and transmission projects with associated incremental transfer capability must also have obtained External-to-ROS Deliverability Rights. Even if a Resources has otherwise satisfied the requirements to participate in the ISO's Installed Capacity market, a Resources in Inactive Reserves, an ICAP Ineligible Forced Outage, a Mothball Outage, or that is Retired is ineligible to participate in the ISO's Installed Capacity market. A Resources that elects to participate in the ICAP Market, and is within a defined electrical boundary, electrically interconnected with, and routinely serves a Host Load (which Host Load does not consist solely of Station Power) at a single PTID may only participate in the Installed Capacity market as a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource. In order to participate as part of an Aggregation or as an Energy Storage Resource, such a resource may not participate with the Behind-The-Meter Net Generation configuration.

In addition, to qualify as an Installed Capacity Supplier in the NYCA, Energy Limited Resources, Generators, Installed Capacity Marketers, Intermittent Power Resources, Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resources, Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro Resources and System Resources rated 1 MW or greater, other than External System Resources and Control Area

System Resources which have agreed to certain Curtailment conditions as set forth in the third to last paragraph of Section 5.12.1 below, Responsible Interface Parties, existing municipally-owned generation, Energy Limited Resources, and Intermittent Power Resources, to the extent those entities are subject to the requirements of Section 5.12.11 of this Tariff, Aggregations with a capacity rating of 0.1 MW or greater, and Energy Storage Resources with a nameplate capacity rating that allows a minimum injection to the NYS Transmission System or distribution system of 0.1 MW or greater shall:

- 5.12.1.1 provide information reasonably requested by the ISO including the name and location of Resources, and System Resources;
- 5.12.1.2 in accordance with the ISO Procedures, perform DMNC or DMGC tests and submit the results to the ISO, or provide to the ISO appropriate historical production data;
- 5.12.1.3 abide by the ISO Generator maintenance coordination procedures;
- 5.12.1.4 provide the expected return date from any outages (including partial outages) to the ISO;
- 5.12.1.5 in accordance with the ISO Procedures,
- 5.12.1.5.1 provide documentation demonstrating that it will not use the same
 Unforced Capacity for more than one (1) buyer at the same time, and
- 5.12.1.5.2 in the event that the Installed Capacity Supplier supplies more Unforced Capacity than it is qualified to supply in any specific month (*i.e.*, is short on Capacity), documentation that it has procured sufficient Unforced Capacity to cover this shortfall.

- that depend upon wind or solar as their fuel or Aggregations that are comprised of Intermittent Power Resources that depend on the same type of fuel, with that fuel being wind or solar, Bid into the Day-Ahead Market, unless the Energy Limited Resource, Generator, Aggregation, Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro Resource or System Resource is unable to do so due to an outage as defined in the ISO Procedures or due to temperature related de-ratings. Resources may also enter into the MIS an upper operating limit that would define the operating limit under normal system conditions. The circumstances under which the ISO will direct a Resources to exceed its upper operating limit are described in the ISO Procedures;
- 5.12.1.7 provide Operating Data in accordance with Section 5.12.5 of this Tariff;
- 5.12.1.8 provide notice to the ISO of any proposed transfers of deliverability rights to be carried out pursuant to Sections 25.9.4 25.9.6 of Attachment S to the ISO OATT, on the Class Year Start Date if a request to transfer CRIS at a different location, and upon the submission of the request if it is a request to transfer CRIS at the same location;
- 5.12.1.9 comply with the ISO Procedures;
- 5.12.1.10 when the ISO issues a Supplemental Resource Evaluation request (an SRE), NYCA Resources must Bid into the in-day market unless (and only to the extent) the entity has a bid pending in the Real-Time Market when the SRE request is made or is unable to bid in response to the SRE request due to an

outage as defined in the ISO Procedures, or due to other operational issues, or due to temperature related deratings.

If an External Installed Capacity Supplier is a Generator, or if an External Generator is associated with an Unforced Capacity sale using UDRs or EDRs, then except to the extent such a Generator is unable to Bid in response to the SRE request due to an outage as defined in the ISO Procedures, due to physical operating limitations affecting the Generator, or due to other operational issues that are outside the Installed Capacity Supplier's control, as determined by the ISO, it must take all of the following actions for each hour of an SRE request (a) Bid an Import to the NYCA in a MW quantity equal to the lesser of (i) the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold, or (ii) the maximum MW the Generator is able to produce, at the approved Proxy Generator Bus, at the applicable minimum Bid Price, and (b) ensure that the External Generator is operating and is available to provide all of the MW that were Bid to be imported into the NYCA, up to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold, for the entire duration of the SRE request, and (c) obtain all reservations and transmission service necessary to deliver all of the MW that were Bid to be imported into the NYCA or to a Locality from the Generator, up to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold from the External Generator, at the approved Proxy Generator Bus.

If the External Installed Capacity Supplier that is a Generator, or the External Generator associated with an Unforced Capacity sale using UDRs or EDRs, is not able to Import the quantity of Energy equal to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold from the Generator or EDR to the NYCA, or if a UDR to the

Locality, for every hour of an SRE request then, except to the extent already addressed by a declared outage, the Generator shall provide to the ISO an explanation of the reasons for its failure or inability to perform, including evidence demonstrating any physical operating limitations or other operational issues that prevented the Generator from Importing the quantity of Energy equal to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold from the Generator to the NYCA. To the extent the ISO determines that the information and supporting evidence provided demonstrates that the failure or inability to deliver occurred for reasons outside the control of the External Installed Capacity Supplier or the External Generator associated with an Unforced Capacity sale using UDRs or EDRs, then the deficiency charge set forth in Section 5.12.12.2 below that applies solely to violations of this Section 5.12.1.10, shall not be assessed.

If an External Installed Capacity Supplier is a Control Area System Resource then, except to the extent it is unable to Bid in response to the SRE request due to an outage as defined in the ISO Procedures or due to operational issues that are outside the Installed Capacity Supplier's control, it must take all of the following actions for each hour of an SRE request (x) Bid an Import in a MW quantity equal to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold, at the approved Proxy Generator Bus, at the applicable minimum Bid Price, and (y) obtain all reservations and transmission service necessary to deliver the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold from the Control Area System Resource to the NYCA at the approved Proxy Generator Bus.

If the External Installed Capacity Supplier that is a Control Area System Resource is not able to Import the quantity of Energy equal to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold from the Control Area System Resource to the NYCA for every hour of an SRE request then, except to the extent already addressed by a declared outage, the External Installed Capacity Supplier shall provide to the ISO an explanation of the reasons for its failure or inability to perform, including evidence demonstrating any operational issues that prevented the External ICAP Supplier from Importing the quantity of Energy equal to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold from the Control Area System Resource to the NYCA. To the extent the ISO determines that the information and supporting evidence provided demonstrates that the failure or inability to deliver occurred for reasons outside the External Installed Capacity Supplier's control, then the deficiency charge set forth in Section 5.12.12.2 below that applies solely to violations of this Section 5.12.1.10, shall not be assessed. A Control Area System Resource must demonstrate that transmission outage(s) prevented delivery of all available Resources in order for the ISO to determine that the Control Area System Resource's failure to Import the quantity of Energy equal to the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold occurred for a reason that was outside the External Installed Capacity Supplier's control.

When an External Installed Capacity Supplier that is responding to an ISO SRE request Bids its Import at a Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus, its obligation to Bid an Import at the applicable minimum Bid Price includes the obligation to ensure that neither the External Installed Capacity Supplier nor any

- of its Affiliates are offering other Imports at an equivalent or greater economic priority at the Non-Competitive Proxy Generator Bus.
- 5.12.1.11 Installed Capacity Suppliers located East of Central-East shall Bid in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets all Capacity available for supplying 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserve (unless the Generator or Aggreegation is unable to meet its commitment because of an outage as defined in the ISO Procedures), except for the Resources described in Subsections 5.12.1.11.1, 5.12.1.11.2 and 5.12.1.11.3 below;
- 5.12.1.11.1 Generators providing Energy under contracts executed and effective on or before November 18, 1999 (including PURPA contracts) in which the power purchasers do not control the operation of the supply source but would be responsible for penalties for being off-schedule, with the exception of Generators under must-take PURPA contracts executed and effective on or before November 18, 1999, who have not provided telemetering to their local TO and historically have not been eligible to participate in the NYPP market, which will continue to be treated as TO Load modifiers under the ISO-administered markets;
- 5.12.1.11.2 Existing topping turbine Generators and extraction turbine Generators producing Energy resulting from the supply of steam to the district steam system located in New York City (LBMP Zone J) in operation on or before November 18, 1999 and/or topping or extraction turbine Generators used in replacing or repowering steam supplies from such units (in accordance with good engineering and economic design) that cannot follow schedules, up to a maximum total of 523 MW of such units; and

- 5.12.1.11.3 Resources that have demonstrated to the ISO that they are subject to environmental, contractual or other legal or physical requirements that would otherwise preclude them from providing 10-Minute NSR;
- 5.12.1.12 A Resource that was determined by the ISO to be qualified as a Behindthe-Meter Net Generation Resource and for which Net Unforced Capacity was
 calculated by the ISO for a Capability Year can annually, by written notice
 received by the NYISO prior to August 1, elect not to participate in the ISO
 Administered Markets as a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource. Such
 notice shall be in accordance with ISO Procedures. A Resource that makes such
 an election cannot participate as a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource for
 the entire Capability Year for which it made the election, but can, however, prior
 to August 1 of any subsequent Capability Year, provide all required information
 in order to seek to re-qualify as a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource.
- 5.12.1.13 For Energy Storage Resources, or Aggregations comprised entirely of Energy Storage Resources. An Energy Storage Resource may de-rate its maximum capability in order to meet the four (4) consecutive hour run-time requirement. ESRs electing to de-rate their maximum capability shall perform a DMNC test at an output level consistent with its de-rated capability in accordance with ISO Procedures (*see*, Installed Capacity Manual § 4).
- 5.12.1.14 Energy Limited Resources, Energy Storage Resources, Aggregations comprised entirely of Energy Storage Resources, DER Aggregations, and Aggregations that are Energy Limited Resources must elect an Energy Duration Limitation that corresponds to a Duration Adjustment Factor, as described in Section 5.12.14 below, and

validate the Energy Duration Limitation pursuant to Section 5.12.1.2 above. An Installed Capacity Supplier may elect any Energy Duration Limitation that it can demonstrate pursuant to Section 5.12.1.2.

The ISO shall inform each potential Installed Capacity Supplier that the ISO must receive and approve DMNC or DMGC data, as applicable of its approved DMNC or DMGC ratings for the Summer Capability Period and the Winter Capability Period in accordance with the ISO Procedures.

Requirements to qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers for External System Resources and Control Area System Resources located in External Control Areas that have agreed not to Curtail the Energy associated with such Installed Capacity or to afford it the same Curtailment priority that it affords its own Control Area Load shall be established in the ISO Procedures.

External Installed Capacity not associated with UDRs, including capacity associated with External CRIS Rights, EDRs, Grandfathered External Installed Capacity Agreements listed in Attachment E of the ISO Installed Capacity Manual, the Existing Transmission Capacity for Native Load listed for New York State Electric & Gas Corporation in Table 3 of Attachment L to the ISO OATT, Import Rights, and External System Resources, is only qualified to satisfy a NYCA Minimum Unforced Capacity Requirement and is not eligible to satisfy a Locational Minimum Installed Capacity Requirement.

Not later than 30 days prior to each ICAP Spot Market Auction, each Market Participant that may make offers to sell Unforced Capacity in such auction shall submit information to the ISO, in accordance with ISO Procedures and in the format specified by the ISO that identifies each Affiliated Entity, as that term is defined in Section 23.2.1 of Attachment H of the Services Tariff, of the Market Party or with which the Market Party is an Affiliated Entity. The names of

entities that are Affiliated Entities shall not be treated as Confidential Information, but such treatment may be requested for the existence of an Affiliated Entity relationship. The information submitted to the ISO shall identify the nature of the Affiliated Entity relationship by the applicable category specified in the definition of "Affiliated Entity" in Section 23.2.1 of Attachment H of the Services Tariff.

5.12.2 Additional Provisions Applicable to External Installed Capacity Suppliers

Terms in this Section 5.12.2 not defined in the Services Tariff have the meaning set forth in the OATT.

5.12.2.1 Provisions Addressing the Applicable External Control Area

External Generators, External System Resources, and Control Area System Resources qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers if they demonstrate to the satisfaction of the NYISO that the Installed Capacity Equivalent of their Unforced Capacity is deliverable to the NYCA; in the case of an entity using a UDR to meet a Locational Minimum Installed Capacity Requirement, to the NYCA interface associated with that UDR transmission facility and will not be recalled or curtailed by an External Control Area to satisfy its own Control Area Loads; in the case of an EDR, to the NYCA interface over which it creates increased transfer capability; and in the case of Control Area System Resources, if they demonstrate that the External Control Area will afford the NYCA Load the same curtailment priority that they afford their own Control Area Native Load Customers. The amount of Unforced Capacity that may be supplied by such entities qualifying pursuant to the alternative criteria may be reduced by the ISO, pursuant to ISO Procedures, to reflect the possibility of curtailment. External Installed Capacity associated with Import Rights, EDRs or UDRs is subject to the same deliverability requirements applied to Internal Installed Capacity Suppliers associated with UDRs.

5.12.2.2 Additional Provisions Addressing Internal Deliverability and Import Rights

In addition to the provisions contained in Section 5.12.2.1 above, External Installed Capacity not associated with UDRs, EDRs, or External CRIS Rights will be subject to the deliverability test in Section 25.7.8 and 25.7.9 of Attachment S to the ISO OATT. The deliverability of External Installed Capacity not associated with UDRs, EDRs, or External CRIS Rights will be evaluated annually as a part of the process that sets import rights for the upcoming Capability Year, to determine the amount of External Installed Capacity that can be imported to the New York Control Area across any individual External Interface and across all of those External Interfaces, taken together. The External Installed Capacity deliverability test will be performed using the ISO's forecast, for the upcoming Capability Year, of New York Control Area CRIS resources, transmission facilities, and load. Under this process (i) Grandfathered External Installed Capacity Agreements listed in Attachment E of the ISO Installed Capacity Manual, and (ii) the Existing Transmission Capacity for Native Load listed for New York State Electric & Gas Corporation in Table 3 of Attachment L to the ISO OATT, will be considered deliverable within the Rest of State. Additionally, 1090 MW of imports made over the Quebec (via Chateauguay) Interface will be considered to be deliverable until the end of the 2010 Summer Capability Period.

The import limit set for External Installed Capacity not associated with UDRs, EDRs or External CRIS Rights will be set no higher than the amount of imports deliverable into Rest of State that (i) would not increase the LOLE as determined in the upcoming Capability Year IRM consistent with Section 2.7 of the NYISO Installed Capacity Manual, "Limitations on Unforced Capacity Flow in External Control Areas," (ii) are deliverable within the Rest of State Capacity Region when evaluated with the New York Control Area CRIS resources (including EDRs and

UDRs) and External CRIS Rights forecast for the upcoming Capability Year, and (iii) would not degrade the transfer capability of any Other Interface by more than the threshold identified in Section 25.7.9 of Attachment S to the ISO OATT. Import limits set for External Installed Capacity will reflect the modeling of awarded External CRIS rights, but the awarded External CRIS rights will not be adjusted as part of import limit-setting process. Procedures for qualifying selling, and delivery of External Installed Capacity are detailed in the Installed Capacity Manual.

Until the grandfathered import rights over the Quebec (via Chateauguay) Interface expire at the end of the 2010 Summer Capability Period, the 1090 MW of grandfathered import rights will be made available on a first-come, first-served basis pursuant to ISO Procedures. Any of the grandfathered import rights over the Quebec (via Chateauguay) Interface not utilized for a Capability Period will be made available to other external resources for that Capability Period, pursuant to ISO Procedures, to the extent the unutilized amount is determined to be deliverable.

Additionally, any of the Existing Transmission Capacity for Native Load listed for New York State Electric & Gas Corporation not utilized by New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for a Capability Period will be made available to other external resources for that Capability Period, pursuant to ISO procedures, to the extent the unutilized amount is determined to be deliverable within the Rest of State Capacity Region.

LSEs with External Installed Capacity as of the effective date of this Tariff will be entitled to designate External Installed Capacity at the same NYCA Interface with another Control Area, in the same amounts in effect on the effective date of this Tariff. To the extent such External Installed Capacity corresponds to Existing Transmission Capacity for Native Load as reflected in Table 3 of Attachment L to the ISO OATT, these External Installed Capacity

rights will continue without term and shall be allocated to the LSE's retail access customers in accordance with the LSE's retail access program on file with the PSC and subject to any necessary filings with the Commission. External Installed Capacity rights existing as of September 17, 1999 that do not correspond to Table 3 of Attachment L to the ISO OATT shall survive for the term of the relevant External Installed Capacity contract or until the relevant External Generator is retired.

5.12.2.3 One-Time Conversion of Grandfathered Quebec (via Chateauguay) Interface Rights.

An entity can request to convert a specified number of MW, up to 1090 MW over the Quebec External Interface (via Chateauguay), into External CRIS Rights by making either a Contract Commitment or Non-Contract Commitment that satisfies the requirements of Section 25.7.11.1 of Attachment S to the ISO OATT. The converted number of MW will not be subject to further evaluation for deliverability within a Class Year Deliverability Study under Attachment S to the ISO OATT, as long as the External CRIS Rights are in effect.

- 5.12.2.3.1 The External CRIS Rights awarded under this conversion process will first become effective for the 2010-2011 Winter Capability Period.
- 5.12.2.3.2 Requests to convert these grandfathered rights must be received by the NYISO on or before 5:00 pm Eastern Time on February 1, 2010, with the following information: (a) a statement that the entity is electing to convert by satisfying the requirements of a Contract Commitment or a Non-Contract Commitment in accordance with Section 25.7.11.1 of Attachment S to the ISO OATT; (b) the length of the commitment in years; (c) for the Summer Capability Period, the requested number of MW; (d) for the Winter Capability Period, the Specified Winter Months, if any, and the requested number of MW; and (e) a

- minimum number of MW the entity will accept if granted ("Specified Minimum") for the Summer Capability Period and for all Specified Winter Months, if any.
- 5.12.2.3.3 An entity cannot submit one or more requests to convert in the aggregate more than 1090 MW in any single month.
- 5.12.2.3.4 If requests to convert that satisfy all other requirements stated herein are equal to or less than the 1090 MW limit, all requesting entities will be awarded the requested number of MW of External CRIS Rights. If conversion requests exceed the 1090 MW limit, the NYISO will prorate the allocation based on the weighted average of the requested MW times the length of the contract/commitment (*i.e.*, number of Summer Capability Periods) in accordance with the following formula:

Rights allocated to entity i
$$= 1090 \\ * (MW_i * contract/commitment \ length_i) \\ / \sum_i (MW_j * contract/commitment \ length_j)$$

j = 1,...# entities requesting import rights

In the formula, contract/commitment length means the lesser of the requested contract/commitment length and twenty (20) years. The NYISO will perform separate calculations for the Summer and Winter Capability Periods. The NYISO will determine whether the prorated allocated number of MW for any requesting entity is less than the entity's Specified Minimum. If any allocation is less, the NYISO will remove such request(s) and recalculate the prorated allocations among the remaining requesting entities using the above formula. This process

will continue until the prorated allocation meets or exceeds the specified minimum for all remaining requests.

5.12.2.3.5 Any portion of the previously grandfathered 1090 MW not converted through this process will no longer be grandfathered from deliverability.

Previously grandfathered rights converted to External CRIS Rights but then terminated will no longer be grandfathered from deliverability.

5.12.2.4 Offer Cap Applicable to Certain External CRIS Rights

Notwithstanding any other capacity mitigation measures or obligations that may apply, the offers of External Installed Capacity submitted pursuant to a Non-Contract Commitment, as described in Section 25.7.11.1.2 of Attachment S of the ISO OATT, will be subject to an offer cap in each month of the Summer Capability Period and for all Specified Winter Months. This offer cap will be determined as the higher of:

- 5.12.2.4.1 1.1 times the price corresponding to all available Unforced Capacity determined from the NYCA ICAP Demand Curve for that Period; and
- 5.12.2.4.2 The most recent auction clearing price (a) in the External market supplying the External Installed Capacity, if any, and if none, then the most recent auction clearing price in an External market to which the capacity may be wheeled, less (b) any transmission reservation costs in the External market associated with providing the Installed Capacity, in accordance with ISO Procedures.

5.12.3 Installed Capacity Supplier Outage Scheduling Requirements

All Installed Capacity Suppliers, except for Control Area System Resources and
Responsible Interface Parties, that intend to supply Unforced Capacity to the NYCA shall submit

a confidential notification to the ISO of their proposed outage schedules in accordance with the ISO Procedures. Transmission Owners will be notified of these and subsequently revised outage schedules. Based upon a reliability assessment, if Operating Reserve deficiencies are projected to occur in certain weeks for the upcoming calendar year, the ISO will request voluntary rescheduling of outages. In the case of Installed Capacity Suppliers actually supplying Unforced Capacity to the NYCA, if voluntary rescheduling is ineffective, the ISO will invoke forced rescheduling of their outages to ensure that projected Operating Reserves over the upcoming year are adequate.

An Installed Capacity Supplier that refuses a forced rescheduling of its outages for any unit shall be prevented from supplying Unforced Capacity in the NYCA with that unit during any month where it undertakes such outages. The rescheduling process is described in the ISO Procedures.

An Installed Capacity Supplier that intends to supply Unforced Capacity in a given month that did not qualify as an Installed Capacity Supplier prior to the beginning of the Capability Period must notify the ISO in accordance with the ISO Procedures so that it may be subject to forced rescheduling of its proposed outages in order to qualify as an Installed Capacity Supplier. A Resource that refuses the ISO's forced rescheduling of its proposed outages shall not qualify as an Installed Capacity Supplier for that unit for any month during which it schedules or conducts an outage.

Outage schedules for External System Resources and Control Area System Resources shall be coordinated by the External Control Area and the ISO in accordance with the ISO Procedures.

5.12.4 Required Certification for Installed Capacity

- (a) Each Installed Capacity Supplier must confirm to the ISO, in accordance with ISO Procedures that the Unforced Capacity it has certified has not been sold for use in an External Control Area.
- (b) Each Installed Capacity Supplier holding rights to UDRs or EDRs from an External Control Area must confirm to the ISO, in accordance with ISO Procedures, that it will not use as self-supply or offer, and has not sold, Installed Capacity associated with the quantity of MW for which it has not made its one time capability adjustment year election pursuant to Section 5.11.4 (if applicable.)
- (c) On and after the execution of an RMR Agreement, and for the duration of its term, an RMR Generator shall not enter into any new agreement or extend any other agreement that impairs or otherwise diminishes its ability to comply with its obligation under an RMR Agreement, or that limits its ability to provide Energy, Capacity, or Ancillary Services directly to the ISO Administered Markets. An Interim Service Provider shall not enter into any new agreement or extend any other agreement that limits its ability to provide Energy, Capacity, or Ancillary Services directly to the ISO Administered Markets or otherwise meet its obligations as an Interim Service Provider.

5.12.5 Operating Data Reporting Requirements

To qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers in the NYCA, Resources shall submit to the ISO Operating Data in accordance with this Section 5.12.5 and the ISO Procedures. Resources that do not submit Operating Data in accordance with the following subsections and the ISO Procedures may be subject to the sanctions provided in Section 5.12.12.1 of this Tariff.

Resources that were not in operation on January 1, 2000 shall submit Operating Data to the ISO no later than one month after such Resources commence commercial operation, and in accordance with the ISO Procedures and the following subsections as applicable.

5.12.5.1 Generators, System Resources, Energy Limited Resources, Energy storage Resources Responsible Interface Parties, Intermittent Power Resources, Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro Resources -Municipally Owned Generation and Distributed Energy Resources

To qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers in the NYCA, Generators, External Generators, System Resources, External System Resources, Energy Limited Resources, Responsible Interface Parties, Intermittent Power Resources, Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro Resources, Energy Storage Resources, and municipally owned generation and Distributed Energy Resources or the purchasers of Unforced Capacity associated with those Resources shall submit GADS Data, data equivalent to GADS Data, and/or other Operating Data to the ISO in accordance with the ISO Procedures. Prior to the successful implementation of a software modification that allows gas turbines to submit multiple bid points, these units shall not be considered to be forced out for any hours that the unit was available at its base load capability in accordance with the ISO Procedures. This section shall also apply to any Installed Capacity Supplier, External or Internal, using UDRs to meet Locational Minimum Installed Capacity Requirements.

5.12.5.2 Control Area System Resources

To qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers in the NYCA, Control Area System Resources, or the purchasers of Unforced Capacity associated with those Resources, shall submit CARL Data and actual system failure occurrences data to the ISO each month in accordance with the ISO Procedures.

5.12.5.3 Transmission Projects Granted Unforced Capacity Deliverability Rights

An owner of a transmission project that receives UDRs must, among other obligations, submit outage data or other operational information in accordance with the ISO procedures to allow the ISO to determine the number of UDRs associated with the transmission facility.

5.12.5.4 Transmission Projects Granted External-to ROS Deliverability Rights

An owner of a transmission project that receives EDRs must, among other obligations, submit outage data or other operational information when determined applicable by the ISO and in accordance with ISO Procedures.

5.12.6 Capacity Calculations, Operating Data Default, Value and Collection5.12.6.1 ICAP Calculation for Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resources

The ISO shall calculate the amount of Net-ICAP for each Behind-the-Meter Net

Generation Resource as the Adjusted DMGC of the Generator of the Behind-the-Meter Net

Generation Resource minus the Resource's Adjusted Host Load in accordance with this Tariff
and ISO Procedures.

5.12.6.1.1 Adjusted DMGC

The ISO's calculation of the Adjusted DMGC of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource shall be the least of: (i) its DMGC for the Capability Period; (ii) its Adjusted Host Load plus its applicable Injection Limit; and (iii) its Adjusted Host Load plus the number of MW of CRIS it has obtained, as determined in accordance with OATT Section 25 (OATT Attachment S) and ISO Procedures.

If the Station Power of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource is separately metered from all other Load of the Resource, such that the Station Power Load can be independently measured and verified, the Generator of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation

Resource may elect to perform a DMNC Test instead of a DMGC Test pursuant to ISO Procedures. Such election must be made in writing to the ISO prior to the start of the DMNC Test Period.

If a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource elects to take a DMNC Test, the Station Power measured during such DMNC Test shall not be included in the Resource's Host Load. A Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource's DMNC value for the Capability Period shall be used in lieu of a DMGC value in the calculation of the Resource's Adjusted DMGC for the purposes of Sections 5.12.6.1 and 5.12.6.2 of this Services Tariff.

5.12.6.1.2 Adjusted Host Load

A Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource's Adjusted Host Load shall be equal to the product of the Average Coincident Host Load multiplied by one plus the Installed Reserve Margin.

The Adjusted Host Load shall be calculated by the ISO on an annual basis prior to the start of the Summer Capability Period and in accordance with ISO Procedures, based upon the Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource's Average Coincident Host Load for the prior Summer Capability Period and the Winter Capability Period before that.

5.12.6.1.2.1 Average Coincident Host Load

The ISO must receive the Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource's applicable metered Load data required to calculate an Average Coincident Host Load in accordance with ISO Procedures. The ISO shall compute the Average Coincident Host Load for each Capability Year (i) using the metered Host Load data for the applicable NYCA peak Load hours, except as provided below in this Section, and (ii) adjusted for weather normalization and Load growth as

determined by the ISO in relation to developing the NYCA Minimum Installed Capacity Requirement in accordance with ISO Procedures.

For each Capability Year, the NYISO shall use the average of the highest twenty (20) one-hour peak Loads of the Host Load of the Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource that occur during the top forty (40) NYCA peak Load hours of the prior Summer Capability Period and the Winter Capability Period before that to calculate the Average Coincident Host Load.

If a facility meets the criteria to be, and has not previously been, a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource, but does not have all of the appropriate meter data, its Average Coincident Host Load shall be a value forecasted by the Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource. The Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource's forecast shall be based on actual meter data, or if not available, billing data or other business data of the Host Load. An estimated Average Coincident Host Load can only be applicable to a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource until actual data becomes available, but in any event no longer than three (3) consecutive Capability Years beginning with the Capability Year it is first an Installed Capacity Supplier.

5.12.6.1.2.2 Determination of Adjusted Host Load

After the ISO has calculated a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource's Average Coincident Host Load, it shall then apply the NYCA Installed Reserve Margin. The Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource's Adjusted Host Load will be established by multiplying the Resource's Average Coincident Host Load for the Capability Year by the quantity of one plus the NYCA Installed Reserve Margin.

5.12.6.2 UCAP Calculations

The ISO shall calculate for each Resource the amount of Unforced Capacity that each Installed Capacity Supplier is qualified to supply in the NYCA in accordance with formulae

provided in the ISO Procedures. A Resource's Unforced Capacity will be the applicable

Adjusted Installed Capacity multiplied by the quantity of 1 minus the Resource's derating factor.

The amount of Unforced Capacity that each Generator, except for the Generator of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource, System Resource, Energy Limited Resource, Special Case Resource, and municipally-owned generation is authorized to supply in the NYCA shall be based on the ISO's calculations of individual Equivalent Demand Forced Outage Rates. The amount of Unforced Capacity that each Energy Storage Resource, Aggregation that is comprised entirely of Energy Storage Resources, and DER Aggregation is authorized to supply in the NYCA shall be based on the individual availability of the Energy Storage Resource or the availability of the Aggregation in the Real-Time Market and calculated by the ISO in accordance with ISO Procedures. Except as provided in Section 5.12.6.2.1 of this Services Tariff, this calculation shall not include hours in any month that the Energy Storage Resource or Aggregation was in an outage state that started on or after May 1, 2015 and that precluded its eligibility to participate in the Installed Capacity market. The amount of Unforced Capacity that each Control Area System Resource is authorized to supply in the NYCA shall be based on the ISO's calculation of each Control Area System Resource's availability. The amount of Unforced Capacity that each Intermittent Power Resource or an Aggregation that is entirely comprised of Intermittent Power Resources that depend on the same type of fuel is authorized to supply in the NYCA shall be based on the NYISO's calculation of the amount of capacity that the Intermittent Power Resource or an Aggregation that is entirely comprised of Intermittent Power Resources that depend on the same type of fuel can reliably provide during system peak Load hours in accordance with ISO Procedures. Except as provided in Section 5.12.6.2.1 of this Services Tariff, this calculation shall not include hours in any month that the Intermittent Power Resource

or an Aggregation that is entirely comprised of Intermittent Power Resources that depend on the same type of fuel was in an outage state that started on or after May 1, 2015 and that precluded its eligibility to participate in the Installed Capacity market. The amount of Unforced Capacity that each Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro Resource is authorized to provide in the NYCA shall be determined separately for Summer and Winter Capability Periods as the rolling average of the hourly net Energy provided by each such Resource during the 20 highest NYCA integrated real-time load hours in each of the five previous Summer or Winter Capability Periods, as appropriate, stated in megawatts. Except as provided in Section 5.12.6.2.1 of this Services Tariff, for a Limited Control Run-of-River Hydro Resource in an outage state that started on or after May 1, 2015 and that precluded its eligibility to participate in the Installed Capacity market during one of the 20 highest NYCA integrated real-time load hours in any one of the five previous Summer or Winter Capability Periods, the ISO shall replace that Winter or Summer Capability Period, as appropriate, with the next most recent Winter or Summer Capability Period such that the rolling average of the hourly net Energy provided by each such Resource shall be calculated from the 20 highest NYCA integrated real-time load hours in the five most recent prior Summer or Winter Capability Periods in which the Resource was not in an outage state that precluded its eligibility to participate in the Installed Capacity market on one of the 20 highest NYCA integrated real-time load hours in that Capability Period.

The ISO shall calculate separate Summer and Winter Capability Period Unforced Capacity values for each Generator, System Resource, Special Case Resource, Energy Limited Resource, and municipally owned generation and update them periodically using a twelve-month calculation in accordance with formulae provided in the ISO Procedures; provided, however, except as provided in Section 5.12.6.2.1 of this Services Tariff, for a Generator in an outage state

that started on or after May 1, 2015 and that precluded its eligibility to participate in the Installed Capacity market at any time during any month from which GADS or other operating data would otherwise be used to calculate an individual Equivalent Demand Forced Outage Rate, the ISO shall replace such month's GADS or other operating data with GADS or other operating data from the most recent prior month in which the Generator was not in an outage state that precluded its eligibility to participate in the Installed Capacity market.

The ISO shall calculate separate Summer and Winter Capability Period Unforced Capacity values for Energy Storage Resources and individual Distributed Energy Resources and update them seasonally as described in ISO Procedures.

The ISO shall calculate separate Summer and Winter Capability Period Unforced

Capacity values for Intermittent Power Resources and update them seasonally as described in

ISO Procedures.

The amount of Unforced Capacity that each Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource is authorized to supply in the NYCA shall be its Net-UCAP. Net-UCAP is the lesser of (i) the ISO's calculation of the Generator of the Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource Adjusted DMGC multiplied by one minus its Equivalent Demand Forced Outage Rate, and then decreased by its Adjusted Host Load translated into Unforced Capacity terms consistent with Section 5.11.1 of this Tariff, and (ii) the Resource's Net-ICAP.

5.12.6.2.1 Exceptions

A Resource returning to the Energy market after taking an outage that precluded its participation in the Installed Capacity market and which returns with modifications to its operating characteristics determined by the ISO to be material and which, therefore, requires the submission of a new Interconnection Request will receive, as the initial derating factor for

calculation of the Resource's Unforced Capacity upon its return to service, the derating factor it would have received as a newly connecting unit in lieu of a derating factor developed from unit-specific data. A Resource returning to the Energy market after taking an outage that precluded its participation in the Installed Capacity market and which, upon its return, uses as its primary fuel a fuel not previously used at the facility for any purpose other than for ignition purposes will receive, as the initial derating factor for calculation of the Resource's Unforced Capacity upon its return to service, the default derating factor in lieu of a derating factor developed from unit-specific data even if the modifications to allow use of a new primary fuel are not material and do not require the submission of a new Interconnection Request.

This Section 5.12.6.2.1 shall apply to a Resource returning to the Energy market after taking an outage that started on or after May 1, 2015 and that precluded its participation in the Installed Capacity market.

5.12.6.3 Default Unforced Capacity

In its calculation of Unforced Capacity, the ISO shall deem a Resource to be completely forced out for each month for which the Resource has not submitted its Operating Data in accordance with Section 5.12.5 of this Tariff and the ISO Procedures. A Resource that has been deemed completely forced out for a particular month may submit new Operating Data, for that month, to the ISO at any time. The ISO will use such new Operating Data when calculating, in a timely manner in accordance with the ISO Procedures, an Unforced Capacity value for the Resource.

Upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances, the ISO retains the discretion to accept at any time Operating Data which have not been submitted in a timely manner, or which do not fully conform with the ISO Procedures.

5.12.6.4 Exception for Certain Equipment Failures

When a Generator, Special Case Resource, Energy Limited Resource, or System Resource is forced into an outage by an equipment failure that involves equipment located on the high voltage side of the electric network beyond the step-up transformer, and including such step-up transformer, the outage will not be counted for purposes of calculating that Resource's Equivalent Demand Forced Outage Rate.

5.12.6.5 Unforced Capacity, Outage Data and Operational Information Associated with External-to-ROS Deliverability Rights

The ISO shall calculate the availability of the External interface associated with each project granted EDRs, in accordance with ISO Procedures. The availability factor (percentage) of the interface will be used to reduce the amount of EDRs for which Unforced Capacity may be offered. This calculation is distinct from and in addition to the calculation the ISO performs for each Installed Capacity Resource qualified for use with EDRs.

5.12.7 Availability Requirements

Subsequent to qualifying, each Installed Capacity Supplier shall, except as noted in Section 5.12.11 of this Tariff, on a daily basis: (i) schedule a Bilateral Transaction; (ii) Bid Energy in each hour of the Day-Ahead Market in accordance with the applicable provisions of Section 5.12.1 of this Tariff; or (iii) notify the ISO of any outages. Installed Capacity Suppliers with Energy Duration Limitations corresponding to a Duration Adjustment Factor, as described in Section 5.12.14 below, must on a daily basis during the Peak Load Window and for the number of consecutive hours that correspond to its Energy Duration Limitation, or for the entirety of the Peak Load Window for an Energy Storage Resource or an Aggregation comprised entirely of Energy Storage Resources: (i) schedule a Bilateral Transaction; (ii) Bid Energy in the

Day-Ahead Market in accordance with the applicable provisions of Section 5.12.1 of this Tariff; or (iii) notify the ISO of any outages. The ISO may adjust the Peak Load Window that Installed Capacity Suppliers with Energy Duration Limitations will be responsible for scheduling, bidding, or notifying for, with scheduling or bidding in hours outside the Peak Load Window in Section 5.12.14. An RMR Generator can only schedule a Bilateral Transaction to the extent expressly authorized in its RMR Agreement. The total amount of Energy that an Installed Capacity Supplier schedules, bids, or declares to be unavailable on a given day must equal or exceed the Installed Capacity Equivalent of the Unforced Capacity it supplies.

5.12.8 Unforced Capacity Sales

Each Installed Capacity Supplier will, after satisfying the deliverability requirements set forth in the applicable provisions of Attachment X, Attachment Z and Attachment S to the ISO OATT, be authorized to supply an amount of Unforced Capacity during each Obligation Procurement Period, based on separate seasonal Unforced Capacity calculations performed by the ISO for the Summer and Winter Capability Periods. Unforced Capacity may be sold in six-month strips, or in monthly, or multi-monthly segments.

External Unforced Capacity (except External Installed Capacity associated with UDRs) may only be offered into Capability Period Auctions or Monthly Auctions for the Rest of State, and ICAP Spot Market Auctions for the NYCA, and may not be offered into a Locality for an ICAP Auction. Bilateral Transactions which certify External Unforced Capacity using Import Rights, EDRs, or External CRIS Rights may not be used to satisfy a Locational Minimum Unforced Capacity Requirement.

UCAP from an RMR Generator may only be offered into the ICAP Spot Market Auction, except and only to the extent that the RMR Agreement expressly permits the RMR Generator's UCAP to be certified in a Bilateral Transaction.

If an Energy Limited Resource's, Generator's, System Resource's, Control Area System Resource's, or Aggregation's DMNC rating, or the DMGC rating of a Generator of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource, if applicable, is determined to have increased during an Obligation Procurement Period, pursuant to testing procedures described in the ISO Procedures, the amount of Unforced Capacity that it shall be authorized to supply in that or future Obligation Procurement Periods shall also be increased on a prospective basis in accordance with the schedule set forth in the ISO Procedures provided that it first has satisfied the deliverability requirements set forth in the applicable provisions of Attachment X, Attachment Z and Attachment S to the ISO OATT.

New Resources and Resources that have increased their Capacity since the previous Summer Capability Period due to changes in their generating equipment and/or Demand Reduction capabilities may, after satisfying the deliverability requirements set forth in the applicable provisions of Attachment X, Attachment Z and Attachment S to the ISO OATT, qualify to supply Unforced Capacity on a foregoing basis during the Summer Capability Period based upon a DMNC test, or the DMGC test of a Resource of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource, that is performed and reported to the ISO after March 1 and prior to the beginning of the Summer Capability Period DMNC Test Period. The Resource will be required to verify the claimed DMNC or DMGC rating by performing an additional test during the Summer DMNC Test Period. Any shortfall between the amount of Unforced Capacity supplied by the Resource for the Summer Capability Period and the amount verified during the Summer

DMNC Test Period will be subject to deficiency charges pursuant to Section 5.14.2 of this Tariff. The deficiency charges will be applied to no more than the difference between the Resource's previous Summer Capability Period Unforced Capacity and the amount of Unforced Capacity equivalent the Resource supplied for the Summer Capability Period.

New Resources and Resources that have increased their Capacity since the previous Winter Capability Period due to changes in their generating equipment and/or Demand Reduction capabilities may, after satisfying the deliverability requirements set forth in the applicable provisions of Attachment X, Attachment Z and Attachment S to the ISO OATT, qualify to supply Unforced Capacity on a foregoing basis during the Winter Capability Period based upon a DMNC test, or the DMGC test of a Resource of a Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource, that is performed and reported to the ISO after September 1 and prior to the beginning of the Winter Capability Period DMNC Test Period. The Resource will be required to verify the claimed DMNC or DMGC rating by performing an additional test during the Winter Capability Period DMNC Test Period. Any shortfall between the amount of Unforced Capacity certified by the Resource for the Winter Capability Period and the amount verified during the Winter Capability Period DMNC Test Period will be subject to deficiency charges pursuant to Section 5.14.2 of this Tariff. The deficiency charges will be applied to no more than the difference between the Resource's previous Winter Capability Period Unforced Capacity and the amount of Unforced Capacity equivalent the Resource supplied for the Winter Capability Period.

Any Installed Capacity Supplier, except as noted in Section 5.12.11 of this ISO Services

Tariff, which fails on a daily basis to schedule, Bid, or declare to be unavailable in the Day
Ahead Market an amount of Unforced Capacity, expressed in terms of Installed Capacity

Equivalent, that it certified for that day, rounded down to the nearest 0.1 MW, or rounded down to the nearest whole MW for an External Installed Capacity Suppler, is subject to sanctions pursuant to Section 5.12.12.2 of this Tariff. If an entity other than the owner of an Energy Limited Resource, Generator, System Resource, Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resource, Control Area System Resource, or Aggregation that is providing Unforced Capacity is responsible for fulfilling bidding, scheduling, and notification requirements, the owner and that entity must designate to the ISO which of them will be responsible for complying with the scheduling, bidding, and notification requirements. The designated bidding and scheduling entity shall be subject to sanctions pursuant to Section 5.12.12.2 of this ISO Services Tariff.

5.12.9 Sales of Unforced Capacity by System Resources

Installed Capacity Suppliers offering to supply Unforced Capacity associated with Internal System Resources shall submit for each of their Resources the Operating Data and DMNC testing data or historical data described in Sections 5.12.1 and 5.12.5 of this ISO Services Tariff in accordance with the ISO Procedures. Such Installed Capacity Suppliers will be allowed to supply the amount of Unforced Capacity that the ISO determines pursuant to the ISO Procedures to reflect the appropriate Equivalent Demand Forced Outage Rate. Installed Capacity Suppliers offering to sell the Unforced Capacity associated with System Resources may only aggregate Resources in accordance with the ISO Procedures.

5.12.10 Curtailment of External Transactions In-Hour

All Unforced Capacity that is not out of service, or scheduled to serve the Internal NYCA

Load in the Day-Ahead Market may be scheduled to supply Energy for use in External

Transactions provided, however, that such External Transactions shall be subject to Curtailment

within the hour, consistent with ISO Procedures. Such Curtailment shall not exceed the Installed Capacity Equivalent committed to the NYCA.

5.12.11 Responsible Interface Parties, Municipally-Owned Generation, Energy Limited Resources, Intermittent Power Resources, and Installed Capacity Suppliers with Energy Duration Limitations

5.12.11.1 Responsible Interface Parties

Responsible Interface Parties may qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers, without having to comply with the daily bidding, scheduling, and notification requirements set forth in Section 5.12.7 of this Tariff, if their Special Case Resources are available to operate at the direction of the ISO in order to reduce Load from the NYS Transmission System and/or the distribution system for a minimum of four (4) consecutive hours each day following notice of the potential need to operate twenty-one (21) hours in advance if notification is provided by 3:00 P.M. ET, or twenty-four (24) hours in advance otherwise, and a notification to operate two (2) hours ahead. Special Case Resources will be considered to have a four (4) hour Energy Duration Limitation to align with their obligation. In order for a Responsible Interface Party to enroll an SCR that uses an eligible Local Generator, any amount of generation that can reduce Load from the NYS Transmission System and/or distribution system at the direction of the ISO that was produced by the Local Generator during the hour coincident with the NYCA or Locality peaks, upon which the LSE Unforced Capacity Obligation of the LSE that serves that SCR is based, must be accounted for when the LSE's Unforced Capacity Obligation for the upcoming Capability Year is established. Responsible Interface Parties must provide this generator data in accordance with ISO Procedures so that the ISO can adjust upwards the LSE Unforced Capacity Obligation to prevent double-counting.

Responsible Interface Parties supplying Unforced Capacity cannot offer the Demand Reduction associated with such Unforced Capacity in the Emergency Demand Response Program. A Resource with sufficient metering to distinguish MWs of Demand Reduction may participate as a Special Case Resource and in the Emergency Demand Response Program provided that the same MWs are not committed both as Unforced Capacity and to the Emergency Demand Response Program.

The ISO will have discretion, pursuant to ISO Procedures, to exempt Local Generators that are incapable of starting in two (2) hours from the requirement to operate on two (2) hours notification. Local Generators that can be operated to reduce Load from the NYS Transmission System and/or distribution system at the direction of the ISO and Loads capable of being interrupted upon demand, that are not available on certain hours or days will be derated by the ISO, pursuant to ISO Procedures, to reflect the Load serving equivalence of the hours they are actually available.

Responsible Interface Parties must submit a Minimum Payment Nomination, in accordance with ISO Procedures. The ISO may request Special Case Resource performance from less than the total number of Special Case Resources within the NYCA or a Load Zone in accordance with ISO Procedures.

Special Case Resources with Local Generators that can be operated to reduce Load from the NYS Transmission System and/or distribution system at the direction of the ISO and Special Case Resources with Loads capable of being interrupted upon demand will be required to comply with verification and validation procedures set forth in the ISO Procedures. Such procedures will not require metering other than interval billing meters on customer Load or testing other than DMNC or sustained disconnect, as appropriate, unless agreed to by the

customer, except that Special Case Resources not called to supply Energy in a Capability Period will be required to run a test once every Capability Period in accordance with the ISO Procedures.

Unforced Capacity supplied in a Bilateral Transaction by a Special Case Resource pursuant to this subsection may only be resold if the purchasing entity or the Installed Capacity Marketer has agreed to become a Responsible Interface Party and comply with the ISO notification requirements for Special Case Resources. LSEs and Installed Capacity Marketers may become Responsible Interface Parties and aggregate Special Case Resources and sell the Unforced Capacity associated with them in an ISO-administered auction if they comply with ISO notification requirements for Special Case Resources.

Responsible Interface Parties that were requested to reduce Load in any month shall submit performance data to the NYISO, within 75 days of each called event or test, in accordance with ISO Procedures. Failure by a Responsible Interface Party to submit performance data for any Special Case Resources required to respond to the event or test within the 75-day limit will result in zero performance attributed to those Special Case Resources for purposes of satisfying the Special Case Resource's capacity obligation as well as for determining energy payments. All performance data are subject to audit by the NYISO and its market monitoring unit. If the ISO determines that it has made an erroneous payment to a Responsible Interface Party, the ISO shall have the right to recover it either by reducing other payments to that Responsible Interface Parties or by resolving the issue pursuant to other provisions of this Services Tariff or other lawful means.

Provided the Responsible Interface Party supplies evidence of such reductions in 75 days, the ISO shall pay the Responsible Interface Party that, through their Special Case Resources,

caused a verified Load reduction in response to (i) an ISO request to perform due to a forecast reserve shortage (ii) an ISO declared Major Emergency State, (iii) an ISO request to perform made in response to a request for assistance for Load relief purposes or as a result of a Local Reliability Rule, or (iv) a test called by the ISO, for such Load reduction, in accordance with ISO Procedures. Subject to performance evidence and verification, in the case of a response pursuant to clauses (i), (ii), of (iii) of this subsection, Suppliers that schedule Responsible Interface Parties shall be paid the zonal Real-Time LBMP for the period of requested performance or four (4) hours, whichever is greater, in accordance with ISO Procedures.

In the event that a Responsible Interface Party's Minimum Payment Nomination for a Special Case Resource, for the number of hours of requested performance or the minimum four (4) hour period, whichever is greater, exceeds the LBMP revenue received, the Special Case Resource will be eligible for a Bid Production Cost Guarantee to make up the difference, in accordance with Section 4.23 of this Services Tariff and ISO Procedures. Subject to performance evidence and verification, in the case of a response pursuant to clause (iv) of this subsection, payment for participation in tests called by the ISO shall be equal to the zonal Real Time LBMP for the MWh of Energy reduced within the test period.

Transmission Owners that require assistance from enrolled Special Case Resources with Local Generators larger than 100 kW and Special Case Resources with Loads capable of being interrupted upon demand for Load relief purposes or as a result of a Local Reliability Rule, shall direct their requests for assistance to the ISO for implementation consistent with the terms of this section. Within Load Zone J, participation in response to an ISO request to perform made as a result of a request for assistance from a Transmission Owner for less than the total number of Special Case Resources, for Load relief purposes or as a result of a Local Reliability Rule, in

accordance with ISO Procedures, shall be voluntary and the responsiveness of the Special Case Resource shall not be taken into account for performance measurement.

5.12.11.1.1 Special Case Resource Average Coincident Load

The ISO must receive from the Responsible Interface Party that enrolls a Special Case Resource, the applicable metered Load data required to calculate an ACL for that SCR as provided below and in accordance with ISO Procedures. The ACL shall be computed using the metered Load for the applicable Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours that indicates the Load consumed by each SCR that is supplied by the NYS Transmission System and/or distribution system and is exclusive of any generation produced by a Local Generator, other behind-the-meter generator, or other supply source located behind the SCR's meter, that served some of the SCR's Load.

Beginning with the Winter 2011-2012 Capability Period and thereafter, the ISO shall use the average of the highest twenty (20) one-hour peak Loads of the SCR taken from the Load data reported for the Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours during the Prior Equivalent Capability Period, and taking into account the resource's reported verified Load reduction in a Transmission Owner's demand response program in hours coincident with any of these hours, to create a SCR ACL baseline. The ISO will post to its website the Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours for each zone ninety (90) days prior to the beginning of the Capability Period for which the ACL will be in effect.

In the SCR enrollment file uploaded by the RIP each month within the Capability Period, among other required information, the RIP shall provide the SCR's metered Load values for the applicable Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours necessary to compute the ACL for each SCR.

The exception to this requirement to report the required metered Load data for the ACL, when enrolling a SCR prior to the Summer 2014 Capability Period, is if (i) the SCR has not previously been enrolled with the ISO and (ii) never had interval metering Load data for each month in the Prior Equivalent Capability Period needed to compute the SCR's ACL. Beginning with the Summer 2014 Capability Period, the exception to this requirement to report the required metered Load data for the ACL, is dependent upon one or more of the eligibility conditions for SCR enrollment with a Provisional ACL provided in Section 5.12.11.1.2 of this Services Tariff and ISO Procedures. For SCRs that meet the criteria to enroll with a Provisional ACL, the ISO must receive from the RIP a Provisional ACL as provided in Section 5.12.11.1.2 of this Services Tariff and in accordance with ISO Procedures.

Beginning with the Summer 2014 Capability Period, in addition to the requirement for RIPs to report each SCR's metered Load values that occurred during the Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours, in accordance with this Services Tariff and ISO Procedures during the enrollment process, any qualifying increase in a SCR's Load that will be supplied by the NYS Transmission System and/or distribution system may be reported as an Incremental ACL, subject to the limitations and verification reporting requirements provided in Section 5.12.11.1.5 of this Services Tariff and in accordance with ISO Procedures. Incremental ACL values must be reported using the required enrollment file that may be uploaded by the RIP during each month's enrollment period. RIPs may not report Incremental ACL values for any SCRs that are enrolled in the Capability Period with a Provisional ACL.

A reduction in a SCR's Load that is supplied by the NYS Transmission System and/or distribution system and meets the criteria for a SCR Change of Status must be reported as a SCR

Change of Status as provided by Section 5.12.11.1.3 of this Services Tariff and in accordance with ISO Procedures.

The ACL is the basis for the upper limit of ICAP, except in circumstances when the SCR has reported a SCR Change of Status or reported an Incremental ACL pursuant to Sections 5.12.11.1.3 and 5.12.11.1.5 of this Services Tariff. The basis for the upper limit of ICAP for a SCR that has experienced a SCR Change of Status or reported an Incremental ACL shall be the Net ACL.

5.12.11.1.2 Use of a Provisional Average Coincident Load

Prior to the Summer 2014 Capability Period, as provided in Section 5.12.11.1.1 of this Services Tariff, if a new Special Case Resource has not previously been enrolled with the ISO and never had interval billing meter data from the Prior Equivalent Capability Period, its Installed Capacity value shall be its Provisional Average Coincident Load for the Capability Period for which the new SCR is enrolled. The Provisional ACL may be applicable to a new SCR for a maximum of three (3) consecutive Capability Periods, beginning with the Capability Period in which the SCR is first enrolled.

Beginning with the Summer 2014 Capability Period, a SCR may be enrolled using a Provisional ACL in lieu of an ACL when one of the following conditions has been determined by the ISO to apply: (i) the SCR has not previously been enrolled with the ISO for the seasonal Capability Period for which the SCR enrollment with a Provisional ACL is intended, (ii) the SCR was enrolled with a Provisional ACL in the Prior Equivalent Capability Period and was required to report fewer than twenty (20) hours of metered Load verification data that correspond with the Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours based on the meter installation date of the SCR, (iii) the RIP attempting to enroll the SCR with a Provisional ACL is not the same RIP

that enrolled the SCR in the Prior Equivalent Capability Period and interval billing meter data for the SCR from the Prior Equivalent Capability Period is not obtainable by the enrolling RIP and not available to be provided to the enrolling RIP by the ISO. The Provisional ACL may be applicable to a SCR for a maximum of three (3) consecutive Capability Periods when enrolled with the same RIP, beginning with the Capability Period in which the SCR is first enrolled by the RIP.

A SCR enrolled in the Capability Period with a Provisional ACL may not be enrolled by another RIP for the remainder of the Capability Period and the Provisional ACL value shall apply to the resource for the entire Capability Period for which the value is established.

The Provisional ACL is the RIP's forecast of the SCR's ACL and shall be the basis for the upper limit of ICAP for which the RIP may enroll the SCR during the Capability Period.

Any SCR enrolled with a Provisional ACL shall be subject to actual in-period verification. A Verified ACL shall be calculated by the ISO using the top twenty (20) one-hour peak Loads reported for the SCR from the Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours that are applicable to verify the Provisional ACL in accordance with ISO Procedures and taking into account the resource's reported verified Load reductions in a Transmission Owner's demand response program that are coincident with any of the applicable Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours.

Following the Capability Period for which a resource with a Provisional ACL was enrolled, the RIP shall provide to the ISO the metered Load data required to compute the Verified ACL of the resource. The ISO shall compare the Provisional ACL to the Verified ACL to determine, after applying the applicable performance factor, whether the UCAP of the SCR had been oversold and whether a shortfall has occurred as provided under Section 5.14.2 of this

Services Tariff. If the RIP fails to provide verification data required to compute the Verified ACL of the resource enrolled with a Provisional ACL by the deadline: (a) the Verified ACL of the resource shall be set to zero for each Capability Period in which the resource with a Provisional ACL was enrolled and verification data was not reported, and (b) the RIP may be subject to penalties in accordance with this Services Tariff.

5.12.11.1.3 Reporting a SCR Change of Load or SCR Change of Status5.12.11.1.3.1 SCR Change of Load

The Responsible Interface Party shall report any SCR Change of Load in accordance with ISO Procedures. The RIP is required to document the SCR Change of Load and when the total Load reduction for SCRs that have a SCR Change of Load within the same Load Zone is greater than or equal to 5 MWs, the RIP shall report the SCR Change of Load for each SCR in accordance with ISO Procedures.

5.12.11.1.3.2 SCR Change of Status

The Responsible Interface Party shall report any SCR Change of Status in accordance with ISO Procedures. The ISO shall adjust the reported ACL of the SCR for a reported SCR Change of Status to the Net ACL, for all prospective months to which the SCR Change of Status is applicable. When a SCR Change of Status is reported under clause (i), (ii) or (iii) within the definition of a Qualified Change of Status Condition and the SCR has sold capacity, the SCR shall be evaluated for a potential shortfall under Section 5.14.2 of this Services Tariff. Failure by the RIP to report a SCR Change of Status shall be evaluated as a potential shortfall under Section 5.14.2 of this Service Tariff and evaluated for failure to report under Section 5.12.12.2 of this Services Tariff.

Beginning with the Summer 2014 Capability Period, SCRs that were required to perform in the first performance test in the Capability Period in accordance with ISO Procedures and that subsequently report or change a reported SCR Change of Status value after the first performance test in the Capability Period shall be required to demonstrate the performance of the resource against the Net ACL value in the second performance test in the Capability Period. The exceptions to this provision occur when a SCR's eligible Installed Capacity is set to zero throughout the period of the SCR Change of Status, when a SCR's eligible Installed Capacity is decreased by at least the same kW value as the reported SCR Change of Status, or if a SCR Change of Status is reported, and prior to the second performance test, the SCR returns to the full applicable ACL enrolled prior to the SCR Change of Status. Performance in both performance tests shall be used in calculation of the resource's performance factors and all associated performance factors, deficiencies and penalties. If the RIP fails to report the performance for a resource that was required to perform in the second performance test in the Capability Period: (a) the resource will be assigned a performance of zero (0) for the test hour, and (b) the RIP shall be evaluated for failure to report under Section 5.12.12.2 of this Services Tariff.

5.12.11.1.4 Average Coincident Load of an SCR Aggregation

The ISO shall compute the Average Coincident Load of an SCR Aggregation each month in accordance with ISO Procedures.

5.12.11.1.5 Use of an Incremental Average Coincident Load

Beginning with the Summer 2014 Capability Period, a Responsible Interface Party may report any qualifying increase to a Special Case Resource's Average Coincident Load as Incremental Average Coincident Load in the RIP enrollment file upload and in accordance with this Services Tariff and ISO Procedures.

For SCRs with a total Load increase equal to or greater than twenty (20) percent and less than thirty (30) percent of the applicable ACL, the RIP may enroll the SCR with an Incremental ACL provided that the eligible Installed Capacity does not increase from the prior enrollment months within the same Capability Period and prior to enrollment with an Incremental ACL. If the SCR is enrolled with an Incremental ACL and it is the first month of the SCR's enrollment in the applicable Capability Period, the enrolled eligible Installed Capacity value shall not exceed the maximum eligible Installed Capacity of the SCR from the Prior Equivalent Capability Period. When no enrollment exists for the SCR in the Prior Equivalent Capability Period and it is the first month of the SCR's enrollment in the applicable Capability Period, the enrolled eligible Installed Capacity of the SCR shall not exceed the ACL calculated from the Capability Period SCR Load Zone Peak Hours. For SCRs with a total Load increase equal to or greater than thirty (30) percent of the applicable ACL, the RIP may enroll the SCR with an Incremental ACL and an increase to the SCR's eligible Installed Capacity and is required to test as described in this section of the Service Tariff.

The ISO shall adjust the ACL of the SCR for an Incremental ACL for all months for which the Incremental ACL is reported by the RIP. For resources reporting an Incremental ACL, the Net ACL shall equal the enrolled ACL plus the reported Incremental ACL less any applicable SCR Change of Status and shall be the basis for the upper limit of ICAP for which the RIP may enroll the SCR during the Capability Period.

An Incremental ACL is a discrete change to the SCR operations that is expected to result in an increase to the Load that the SCR will consume from the NYS Transmission System and/or distribution system. It is not available to account for random fluctuations in Load, such as those caused by weather or other seasonal Load variations. Therefore, the ACL of a SCR may only be

increased once per Capability Period and the amount of the increase enrolled must remain the same for all months for which the Incremental ACL is reported. A SCR enrolled in the Capability Period with an Incremental ACL may not be enrolled by another RIP for the remainder of the Capability Period. A SCR enrolled in the Capability Period with a Provisional ACL is not eligible to enroll with an Incremental ACL.

Following the Capability Period for which a SCR has been enrolled with an Incremental ACL, the RIP shall provide the hourly metered Load verification data that corresponds to the Monthly SCR Load Zone Peak Hours identified by the ISO for all months in which an Incremental ACL value was reported for the SCR. For each month for which verification data was required to be reported, the ISO shall calculate a Monthly ACL that will be used in the calculation of a Verified ACL. The Monthly ACL shall equal the average of the SCR's top twenty (20) one-hour metered Load values that correspond with the applicable Monthly SCR Load Zone Peak Hours, and taking into account (i) the resource's reported verified Load reduction in a Transmission Owner's demand response program in hours coincident with any of these hours, The Verified ACL shall be the average of the two (2) highest Monthly ACLs during the Capability Period in which the SCR was enrolled with an Incremental ACL within the same Capability Period.

For any month in which verification data for the Incremental ACL is required but not timely submitted to the ISO in accordance with ISO procedures, the ISO shall set the metered Load values to zero. When a Monthly ACL is set to zero, the Verified ACL will be calculated as the average of: a) the two (2) highest Monthly ACLs during the Capability Period in which the SCR was enrolled with an Incremental ACL within the same Capability Period; plus b) the Monthly ACLs for all months in which the SCR was enrolled within the same Capability Period

with an Incremental ACL in the Capability Period in which the RIP failed to provide the minimum verification data required. In addition, a RIP may be subject to a penalty for each month for which verification data was required and not reported in accordance with this Services Tariff.

For each SCR that is enrolled with an Incremental ACL, the ISO shall compare the Net ACL calculated from the resource enrollment (ACL plus Incremental ACL less any applicable SCR Change of Status) to the Verified ACL calculated for the SCR to determine if the RIP's use of an Incremental ACL may have resulted in a shortfall pursuant to Section 5.14.2.

A Special Case Resource that was required to perform in the first performance test in the Capability Period in accordance with ISO Procedures and was subsequently enrolled using an Incremental ACL and an increase in the amount of Installed Capacity that the SCR is eligible to sell, shall be required to demonstrate performance against the maximum amount of eligible Installed Capacity reported for the SCR in the second performance test in the Capability Period. Performance in this test shall be measured from the Net ACL. Performance in both performance tests shall be used in calculation of the resource's performance factor and all associated performance factors, deficiencies and penalties. If the RIP fails to report the performance for a resource that was required to perform in the second performance test in the Capability Period: (a) the resource will be assigned a performance of zero (0) for the test hour, and (b) the RIP shall be evaluated for failure to report under Section 5.12.12.2 of this Services Tariff.

5.12.11.2 Existing Municipally-Owned Generation

A municipal utility that owns existing generation in excess of its Unforced Capacity requirement, net of NYPA-provided Capacity may, consistent with the deliverability requirements set forth in Attachment X and Attachment S to the ISO OATT, offer the excess

Capacity for sale as Installed Capacity provided that it is willing to operate the generation at the ISO's request, and provided that the Energy produced is deliverable to the New York State Power System. Such a municipal utility shall not be required to comply with the requirement of Section 5.12.7 of this Tariff that an Installed Capacity Supplier bid into the Energy market or enter into Bilateral Transactions. Municipal utilities shall, however, be required to submit their typical physical operating parameters, such as their start-up times, to the ISO. This subsection is only applicable to municipally-owned generation in service or under construction as of December 31, 1999.

5.12.11.3 Energy Limited Resources

An Energy Limited Resource or an Aggregation that is comprised entirely of a single Resource-type Energy Limited Resource may, consistent with the deliverability requirements set forth in Attachment X and Attachment S to the ISO OATT, qualify as an Installed Capacity Supplier if it Bids its Installed Capacity Equivalent into the Day-Ahead Market each day and if it is able to provide the Energy equivalent of the Unforced Capacity for the number of consecutive hours that correspond to its Energy Duration Limitation each day. Energy Limited Resources or Aggregations that are Energy Limited Resources shall also Bid a Normal Upper Operating Limit or Emergency Upper Operating Limit, as applicable, designating their desired operating limits. Energy Limited Resources or Aggregations that are Energy Limited Resources that are not scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market to operate at a level above their bid-in upper operating limit, may be scheduled in the RTC, or may be called in real-time pursuant to a manual intervention by ISO dispatchers, who will account for the fact that Energy Limited Resource or an Aggregation that is an Energy Limited Resource may not be capable of responding.

5.12.11.4 Intermittent Power Resources

Intermittent Power Resources that depend upon wind or solar as their fuel or Aggregations that are entirely comprised of Intermittent Power Resources that depend on the same type of fuel, with that fuel being wind or solar, may qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers, without having to comply with the daily bidding and scheduling requirements set forth in Section 5.12.7 of this Tariff, and may, consistent with the deliverability requirements set forth in Attachment X and Attachment S to the ISO OATT, claim up to their nameplate Capacity as Installed Capacity. To qualify as Installed Capacity Suppliers, such Intermittent Power Resources shall comply with the requirements of Section 5.12.1 and the outage notification requirements of 5.12.7 of this Tariff.

5.12.11.5 Installed Capacity Suppliers with an Energy Duration Limitation

A Resource with an Energy Duration Limitation may, consistent with the deliverability requirements set forth in Attachment X and Attachment S to the ISO OATT, qualify as an Installed Capacity Supplier with an Energy Duration Limitation if it Bids its Installed Capacity Equivalent into the Day-Ahead Market each day and if it is able to provide the Energy equivalent of the Unforced Capacity for the number of consecutive hours that correspond to its Energy Duration Limitation each day. Installed Capacity Suppliers with an Energy Duration Limitation shall also Bid a Normal Upper Operating Limit or Emergency Upper Operating Limit, as applicable, designating their desired operating limits. Installed Capacity Suppliers with an Energy Duration Limitation that are not scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market to operate at a level above their bid-in upper operating limit, may be scheduled in the RTC, or may be called in real-time pursuant to a manual intervention by ISO dispatchers, who will account for the fact that

Installed Capacity Suppliers with an Energy Duration Limitation may not be capable of responding.

5.12.12 Sanctions Applicable to Installed Capacity Suppliers and Transmission Owners

Pursuant to this section, the ISO may impose financial sanctions on Installed Capacity Suppliers and Transmission Owners that fail to comply with certain provisions of this Tariff. The ISO shall notify Installed Capacity Suppliers and Transmission Owners prior to imposing any sanction and shall afford them a reasonable opportunity to demonstrate that they should not be sanctioned and/or to offer mitigating reasons why they should be subject to a lesser sanction. The ISO may impose a sanction lower than the maximum amounts allowed by this section at its sole discretion. Installed Capacity Suppliers and Transmission Owners may challenge any sanction imposed by the ISO pursuant to the ISO Dispute Resolution Procedures.

Any sanctions collected by the ISO pursuant to this section will be applied to reduce the Rate Schedule 1 charge under this Tariff.

5.12.12.1 Sanctions for Failing to Provide Required Information

If (i) an Installed Capacity Supplier fails to provide the information required by Sections 5.12.1.1, 5.12.1.2, 5.12.1.3, 5.12.1.4, 5.12.1.7 or 5.12.1.8 of this Tariff in a timely fashion, or (ii) a Supplier of Unforced Capacity from External System Resources located in an External Control Area or from a Control Area System Resource that has agreed not to Curtail the Energy associated with such Installed Capacity, or to afford it the same Curtailment priority that it affords its own Control Area Load, fails to provide the information required for certification as an Installed Capacity Supplier established in the ISO Procedures, the ISO may take the following actions: On the first day that required information is late, the ISO shall notify the Installed

Capacity Supplier that required information is past due and that it reserves the right to impose financial sanctions if the information is not provided by the end of the following day. Starting on the third day that the required information is late, the ISO may impose a daily financial sanction of up to the higher of \$500 or \$5 per MW of Installed Capacity that the Generator, System Resource, or Control Area System Resource in question is capable of providing. Starting on the tenth day that the required information is late, the ISO may impose a daily financial sanction of up to the higher of \$1000 or \$10 per MW of Installed Capacity that the Generator, System Resource, or Control Area System Resource in question is capable of providing.

If an Installed Capacity Supplier fails to provide the information required by Subsection 5.12.1.5 of this Tariff in a timely fashion, the ISO may take the following actions: On the first calendar day that required information is late, the ISO shall notify the Installed Capacity Supplier that required information is past due and that it reserves the right to impose financial sanctions if the information is not provided by the end of that first calendar day. Starting on the second calendar day that the required information is late, the ISO may impose a daily financial sanction up to the higher of \$500 or \$5 per MW of Installed Capacity that the Generator, System Resource, or Control Area System Resource in question is capable of providing.

If a TO fails to provide the information required by Subsection 5.11.3 of this Tariff in a timely fashion, the ISO may take the following actions: On the first day that required information is late, the ISO shall notify the TO that required information is past due and that it reserves the right to impose financial sanctions if the information is not provided by the end of the following day. Starting on the third day that the required information is late, the ISO may impose a daily financial sanction up to \$5,000 a day. Starting on the tenth day that required information is late, the ISO may impose a daily financial sanction up to \$10,000.

5.12.12.2 Sanctions for Failing to Comply with Scheduling, Bidding, and Notification Requirements

On any day in which an Installed Capacity Supplier fails to comply with the scheduling, bidding, or notification requirements of Sections 5.12.1.6 or 5.12.1.10, or with Section 5.12.7 of this Tariff, or in which a Supplier of Installed Capacity from External System Resources or Control Area System Resources located in an External Control Area that has agreed not to Curtail the Energy associated with such Installed Capacity, or to afford it the same Curtailment priority that it affords its own Control Area Load, fails to comply with scheduling, bidding, or notification requirements for certification as an Installed Capacity Supplier established in the ISO Procedures, the ISO may impose a financial sanction up to the product of a deficiency charge (pro-rated on a daily basis for Installed Capacity Suppliers) and the maximum number of MWs that the Installed Capacity Supplier failed to schedule or Bid in any hour in that day provided, however, that no financial sanction shall apply to any Installed Capacity Supplier who demonstrates that the Energy it schedules, bids, or declares to be unavailable on any day is not less than the Installed Capacity that it supplies for that day rounded down to the nearest 0.1 MW, or rounded down to the nearest whole MW for an External Installed Capacity Supplier. For Installed Capacity Suppliers that have an Energy Duration Limitation, the deficiency charge will be pro-rated on a daily basis only taking into account hours during the Peak Load Window corresponding with the Resource's Energy Duration Limitation obligation, excluding Energy Storage Resources which will be evaluated over all hours during the Peak Load Window, and the maximum number of MWs that the Installed Capacity Supplier with an Energy Duration Limitation failed to schedule or Bid in any hour in the Peak Load Window of that day provided, however, that no financial sanction shall apply to any Installed Capacity Supplier that demonstrates that the Energy it schedules, bids, or declares to be unavailable on any day is not

less than the Installed Capacity that it supplies for that day rounded down to the nearest 0.1 MW. The deficiency charge may be up to one and one-half times the applicable Market-Clearing Price of Unforced Capacity determined in the ICAP Spot Market Auction corresponding to where the Installed Capacity Supplier's capacity cleared, and for each month in which the Installed Capacity Supplier is determined not to have complied with the foregoing requirements.

In addition, if any Installed Capacity Supplier fails to comply with the scheduling, bidding, or notification requirements of Sections 5.12.1.6 or 5.12.1.10, or with Section 5.12.7 of this Tariff, or if an Installed Capacity Supplier of Unforced Capacity from an External Control Area fails to comply with the scheduling, bidding, or notification requirements for certification as an Installed Capacity Supplier established in the ISO Procedures, during an hour in which the ISO curtails Exports associated with NYCA Installed Capacity Suppliers consistent with Section 5.12.10 of this Tariff and with ISO Procedures, then the ISO may impose an additional financial sanction equal to the product of the number of MWs the Installed Capacity Supplier failed to schedule during that hour and the corresponding Real-Time LBMP at the applicable Proxy Generator Bus.

To the extent an Installed Capacity Supplier of Unforced Capacity from an External Control Area or an External Generator associated with an Unforced Capacity sale using UDRs or EDRs fails to comply with Section 5.12.1.10 of this Tariff, the Installed Capacity Supplier or External Generator associated with an Unforced Capacity sale using UDRs or EDRs shall be subject to a deficiency charge calculated in accordance with the formula set forth below for each Obligation Procurement Period:

$$Deficiency\ charge = 1.5*PRICE*\left(\frac{1000kW}{1MW}\right)*\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\max\left(ICAP_{n}^{MWh}-SRE_{n}^{MWh},0\right)\right)}{N}\right)$$

Where:

- N = total number of hours of SRE calls during the relevant Obligation Procurement

 Period
- PRICE = ICAP Spot Market Auction clearing price for the relevant Obligation

 Procurement Period
- Period, the ICAP equivalent of the UCAP sold from the External Installed
 Capacity Supplier that is a Generator, or the External Generator associated
 with an Unforced Capacity sale using UDRs or EDRs, or the Control Area
 System Resource in MWh, minus (x) any MWh that are unavailable due to an
 outage as defined in the ISO Procedures, or due to due to physical operating
 limitations affecting-the External Installed Capacity Supplier that is a
 Generator, or the External Generator associated with an Unforced Capacity
 sale using UDRs or EDRs, or due to other operational issues that the ISO
 determines to be outside the Installed Capacity Supplier's control, and (y) any
 MWh that were Bid as Imports to the NYCA at the appropriate Proxy
 Generator Bus at a price that was designed to ensure the Import was scheduled
 to the greatest extent possible, but that were not scheduled by the ISO
- $SRE_n^{MWh} = MWh$ provided to the NYCA at the appropriate Proxy Generator Bus from the External Installed Capacity Supplier that is a Generator, or the External Generator associated with an Unforced Capacity sale using UDRs or EDRs, or the Control Area System Resource, during each hour n of SRE calls during the relevant Obligation Procurement Period.

If an Installed Capacity Supplier's failure to fully comply with this Tariff would, in addition to being assessed a deficiency charge calculated in accordance with the formula set forth above, also permit the ISO to impose a different deficiency charge or a financial sanction under this Section 5.12.12.2, or to impose a deficiency charge for a shortfall under Section 5.14.2.2 of this Tariff, then the ISO shall only impose the penalty for failure to comply with Section 5.12.1.10 of this Tariff on the Installed Capacity Supplier for the hour(s) in which the Installed Capacity Supplier failed to meet its obligations under Section 5.12.1.10 of this Tariff.

If the Installed Capacity Supplier is a Responsible Interface Party that enrolled a SCR with an Incremental ACL in accordance with this Services Tariff, and also reported an increase to the Installed Capacity the SCR has eligible to sell after the first performance test in the Capability Period, the ISO may impose an additional financial sanction due to the failure of the RIP to report the required performance of the SCR against the Net ACL value in the second performance test in the Capability Period. This sanction shall be the value of the reported increase in the eligible Installed Capacity associated with the SCR that was sold by the RIP in each month of the Capability Period, during which the reported increase was in effect, multiplied by up to one and one-half times the applicable Market-Clearing Price of Unforced Capacity determined in the ICAP Spot Market Auction for each such month.

If the Installed Capacity Supplier is a Responsible Interface Party, and the Average Coincident Load of the Special Case Resource has been decreased after the first performance test in the Capability Period, due to a SCR Change of Status in accordance with this Services Tariff and ISO Procedures, the ISO may impose an additional financial sanction resulting from the failure of the RIP to report the required performance of the SCR against the Net ACL value of the SCR when the SCR was required to perform in the second performance test in the Capability

Period in accordance with Section 5.12.11.1.3.2 of this Services Tariff. This sanction shall be the value of the Unforced Capacity equivalent of the SCR Change of Status MW reported for the SCR during the months for which the SCR was enrolled with a SCR Change of Status and was required to demonstrate in the second performance test as specified in Section 5.12.11.1.3.2 of this Services Tariff, multiplied by up to one and one-half times the applicable Market-Clearing Price of Unforced Capacity determined in the ICAP Spot Market Auction for each such month.

If a RIP fails to provide the information required by Section 5.12.11.1.3 of this Services Tariff in accordance with the ISO Procedures for reporting a Qualified Change of Status Condition, and the ISO determines that a SCR Change of Status occurred within a Capability Period, the ISO may impose a financial sanction equal to the difference, if positive, between the enrolled ACL and the maximum one hour metered Load for the month multiplied by up to one-half times the applicable Market-Clearing Price of Unforced Capacity determined in the ICAP Spot Market Auction for each month the Installed Capacity Supplier is deemed to have a shortfall in addition to the corresponding shortfall penalty as provided in Section 5.14.2.

For each month in which a RIP fails to report required verification data and the applicable ACL value is set to zero in accordance with Section 5.12.11 of this Services Tariff, the ISO shall have the right to recover any energy payments made to the RIP for performance of the SCR by reducing other payments or other lawful means.

5.12.13 Aggregations

5.12.13.1 Resources Changing Aggregations

Individual Distributed Energy Resources may elect to leave their current Aggregation and join a new Aggregation pursuant to the Resources Changing Aggregation rules set forth in this Services Tariff section below and in Services Tariff section 4.1.10.3. The Installed Capacity of a

Distributed Energy Resource that enters a new Aggregation will be assigned to the new Aggregation on a monthly basis beginning on the first day of the month in which the Distributed Energy Resource enters the new Aggregation. The Installed Capacity of a Distributed Energy Resource that exits an Aggregation will be removed from the Aggregation on the last day in which the Distributed Energy Resource is registered in the Aggregation. The specific processes for transferring a Distributed Energy Resource and its Installed Capacity to another Aggregation are located in the ISO Procedures.

An individual resource within an Aggregation and/or an Aggregation may only change from a homogenous Aggregation that is not a DER Aggregation to a DER Aggregation at the beginning of a Capability Year, provided that the Aggregation notifies the ISO by August 1 of the year prior to the beginning of the Capability Year. An individual resource within an Aggregation and/or an Aggregation may only change from a DER Aggregation to a homogeneous Aggregation that is not a DER Aggregation at the beginning of a Capability Year, provided that the Aggregation notifies the ISO by August 1 of the year prior to the beginning of the Capability Year. If the composition of a homogeneous Aggregation that is not a DER Aggregation changes during a Capability Year such that the homogeneous Aggregation that is not a DER Aggregation would no longer qualify as a homogeneous Aggregation that is not a DER Aggregation, the homogeneous Aggregation that is not a DER Aggregation will maintain the qualifications as a homogeneous Aggregation that is not a DER Aggregation for the remainder of the Capability Year, and, it will have to elect (i) a different Aggregation by August 1, (ii) to participate in the ISO Administered Markets as a Generator, if qualified, or (iii) to leave the ISO Administered Markets for the following Capability Year. If the composition of a DER Aggregation changes during a Capability Year such that the DER Aggregation would no longer

qualify as a DER Aggregation, the DER Aggregation will maintain the qualifications as a DER Aggregation for the remainder of the Capability Year, and, it will have to elect (i) a different Aggregation by August 1, (ii) to participate in the ISO Administered Markets as a Generator, if qualified, or (iii) to leave the ISO Administered Markets for the following Capability Year. An individual Distributed Energy Resource seeking to participate in the ISO-administered Installed Capacity auctions that has previously acted as a retail load modifier may only register as an Installed Capacity Supplier for the upcoming Capability Year, provided that Resource notified the ISO of its intention to become an Installed Capacity Supplier by August 1 of the year prior to the start of the Capability Year and provided the output data in accordance with ISO Procedures.

5.12.13.2 Time-stacking Resources in an Aggregation

An Aggregator may sequentially stack individual Distributed Energy Resources within an Aggregation in order to meet the Energy Duration Limitations specified in Section 5.12.14. In addition to the requirements and obligations described in this section 5.12.13, the following rules apply to an Aggregation that seeks to sequentially stack individual Distributed Energy Resources:

- 5.12.13.2.1 each individual Distributed Energy Resource must be able to provide Energy for a minimum of one 1-hour block each day;
- 5.12.13.2.2 individual Distributed Energy Resources duration will be rounded-down to the nearest hour and stacked in whole-hour increments;
- 5.12.13.2.3 Time-stacked Aggregations will be qualified for the amount of Capacity it can sustain over the run-time requirement; and

The specific processes related to time-stacking Distributed Energy Resources in an Aggregation are located in the ISO Procedures.

5.12.14 Energy Duration Limitations and Duration Adjustment Factors for Installed Capacity Suppliers

Starting with the Capability Year that begins on May 1, 2021, Resources with a limited run-time that meet the Energy Duration Limitations identified in the tables below may qualify to participate as Installed Capacity Suppliers. Resources with a limited run-time must elect an Energy Duration Limitation that is less than or equal to the Resource's ability to demonstrate sustained output at its qualified MW amount. Resources that do not have an Energy Duration Limitation will have a Duration Adjustment Factor of 100%. The Adjusted Installed Capacity for an Installed Capacity Supplier shall be calculated using the applicable Energy Duration Limitations and Duration Adjustment Factors, and in accordance with ISO Procedures, starting with the 2021/2022 Capability Year, as determined by the MW count of incremental penetration of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations as listed below:

Table 1:

Incremental Penetration of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations is less than 1000 MW	
Energy Duration Limitations (hours)	Duration Adjustment Factor (%)
8	100
6	100
4	90
2	45

Table 2:

Incremental Penetration of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations 1000 MW and above		
Energy Duration Limitations (hours)	Duration Adjustment Factor (%)	

8	100
6	90
4	75
2	37.5
	2.1.0

While Table 1 is in effect, Resources with an Energy Duration Limitation of 6 hours or less must fulfill the availability requirements given in Section 5.12.7 for a 6-hour Peak Load Window. While Table 2 is in effect, Resources with an Energy Duration Limitation of 6 hours or less must fulfill the availability requirements given in Section 5.12.7 for an 8-hour Peak Load Window. Resources with an Energy Duration Limitation of 8 hours must always fulfill the availability requirements given in Section 5.12.7 for an 8-hour Peak Load Window. The 6 hour Peak Load Window for the Summer Capability Period is HB 13 through HB 18, and the 6 hour Peak Load Window for the Winter Capability Period is HB 16 through HB 21. The 8 hour Peak Load Window for the Summer Capability Period is HB 12 through HB 19, and the 8 hour Peak Load Window for the Winter Capability Period is HB 14 through HB 21.

5.12.14.1 Counting Incremental Penetration of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations

The penetration levels of CRIS MW will be the sum of CRIS for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations that have elected to participate in ISO Administered Markets with less than 8 hour duration and that have entered into service after January 1, 2019 and incremental CRIS awarded after January 1, 2019 to Resources with Energy Duration Limitations that have elected to participate in ISO Administered Markets with less than 8 hour duration as specified below.

Penetration levels of CRIS MW for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations will be calculated in accordance with ISO Procedures as the sum of CRIS for Resources with Energy

Duration Limitations of 2 hours, CRIS for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations of 4 hours and CRIS for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations of 6 hours that have entered into service and have participated in the ISO Administered Markets after January 1, 2019. Penetration levels of Demand Side Resources will be calculated as the sum of the Demand Side Resource MW that have elected to participate in the ISO Capacity markets with less than 8 hour duration as of July 1, as pursuant to ISO Procedures. The MW count of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations that were in service prior to January 1, 2019 and have Retired will include CRIS for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations of 2 hours, CRIS for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations of 6 hours that have Retired as of July 1 each year, pursuant to ISO Procedures. Resources that obtained CRIS and were in service prior to January 1, 2019 that qualify as Resources with Energy Duration Limitations at a later date will not be included in the penetration levels of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations.

The MW count of incremental penetration of Resources with Energy Duration

Limitations used to determine the applicable Duration Adjustment Factors provided in Section

5.12.14 for the upcoming Capability Year will be calculated in accordance with ISO Procedures
as the sum of the penetration levels of CRIS MW, as described above, and penetration levels of
Demand Side Resources, as described above, less the sum of CRIS MW for Resources with
Energy Duration Limitations that have Retired, as described above, and less 1309.1 MW of SCR

MW. The MW count of incremental penetration of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations
with their Energy Duration Limitation election will be counted as of July 1 and posted by July

15. Once there are 1000 MW or more incremental penetration of Resources with Energy

Duration Limitations, the Duration Adjustment Factors listed in Table 2 provided above in

Section 5.12.14 will be effective May 1 of the following Capability Year and Table 2 will be effective notwithstanding future MW count of incremental penetration of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations.

5.12.14.2 Adjusted Installed Capacity

Starting with the Capability Year beginning May 1, 2021, a Resource's Unforced Capacity shall reflect the applicable Duration Adjustment Factor for the Resource's elected Energy Duration Limitation. The Adjusted Installed Capacity is equal to a Resource's Installed Capacity multiplied by the Duration Adjustment Factor. If a Resource or Aggregation wants to change its duration election it must inform the ISO by August 1 preceding the upcoming Capability Year.

5.12.14.3 Periodic Review of Capacity Values

Starting in 2022 and occurring every four (4) years, the independent consultant for the ISO shall perform a review of the Capacity Values to re-evaluate the reliability benefit of Resources with Energy Duration Limitations in meeting Resource Adequacy criteria for the four (4) year period coinciding with the four (4) Capability Years covered by the next Demand Curve Reset filing, pursuant to Services Tariff Section 5.14.1.2.2. The periodic review shall: (i) identify the methodologies and data used to determine the Duration Adjustment Factors, (ii) evaluate the appropriate Energy Duration Limitations, (iii) re-evaluate the Duration Adjustment Factors for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations, and (iv) re-evaluate the Peak Load Window associated with the bidding requirement for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations specified below.

The periodic review shall be conducted in accordance with the schedule and procedures specified in the ISO Procedures. A proposed schedule will be reviewed with stakeholders no

later than September 1 of the second year prior to the Demand Curve Reset filing year, pursuant to Section 5.14.1.2.2. The schedule and procedures shall provide for:

- 5.12.14.3.1 ISO development, with stakeholder review and comment, of a request for study, scope, assumptions, and methodology to provide consulting services to determine recommended values for the Duration Adjustment Factors specified above, and appropriate methodologies for such determination;
- 5.12.14.3.2 Selection of a consultant in accordance with the request in Section 5.12.14.3.1;
- 5.12.14.3.3 Submission to the ISO and the stakeholders of a draft report from the consultant on the consultant's determination of recommended values for the Energy Duration Limitations and the associated Duration Adjustment Factors, and Peak Load Windows specified above;
- 5.12.14.3.4 Stakeholder review of and comment on the data, assumptions and conclusions in the consultant's draft report, with participation by the responsible person or persons providing the consulting services;
- 5.12.14.3.5 An opportunity for the Market Monitoring Unit to review and comment on the draft request for the proposals, the consultant's report, and the ISO's proposed Energy Duration Limitations and the associated Duration Adjustment Factors, and Peak Load Windows for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations (the responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Service's Tariff are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.3.1 of Attachment O);
- 5.12.14.3.6 Issuance by the consultant of a final report;

- 5.12.14.3.7 Issuance of a draft of the ISO's recommended adjustments to the Energy Duration Limitations and the associated Duration Adjustment Factors, and Peak Load Windows for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations for stakeholder review and comment; and
- 5.12.14.3.8 Issuance of the ISO's proposed Energy Duration Limitations and the associated Duration Adjustment Factors, and Peak Load Windows for Resources with Energy Duration Limitations, taking into account the report of the consultant, the recommendations of the Market Monitoring Unit, and the views of the stakeholders together with the rationale for accepting or rejecting any such inputs.

15.3 Rate Schedule 3 - Payments for Regulation Service

This Rate Schedule applies to Suppliers that provide Regulation Service to the ISO. The following Resources are not eligible to provide Regulation Service: (1) Behind-the-Meter Net Generation Resources that are comprised of more than one generating unit and that are dispatched as a single aggregate unit, (2) Aggregations that are comprised of one or more generating units (unless each of those generating units use inverter-based energy storage technology), and (3) Aggregations of Demand Side Resources where at least one Demand Side Resource facilitates its Demand Reduction by utilizing a Local Generator (unless each Local Generator uses inverter-based energy storage technology). Transmission Customers will purchase Regulation Service from the ISO under the ISO OATT.

15.3.1 Obligations of the ISO and Suppliers

15.3.1.1 The ISO shall:

- (a) Establish Regulation Service criteria and requirements in the ISO Procedures to ensure that Suppliers follow changes in Load consistent with the Reliability Rules;
- (b) Provide RTD Base Point Signals and AGC Base Point Signals to Suppliers providing Regulation Service to direct their output;
- (c) Establish criteria in the ISO Procedures that Suppliers must meet to qualify, or re-qualify, to supply Regulation Service;
- (d) Establish minimum metering requirements and telecommunication capability required for a Supplier to be able to respond to AGC Base Point Signals and RTD Base Point Signals sent by the ISO;

- (e) Select Suppliers to provide Regulation Service in the Day-Ahead Market and Real-Time Market and establish Regulation Service schedules, in MWs of Regulation Capacity, for each scheduled Regulation Supplier in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets, as described in Section 15.3.2 of this Rate Schedule;
- (f) Pay Suppliers for providing Regulation Service as described in this RateSchedule;
- (g) Monitor Suppliers' performance to ensure that they provide Regulation Service as required, as described in Section 15.3.3 of this Rate Schedule; and
- (h) Take into account the speed and accuracy of regulation resources in determining reserve requirements for Regulation Service.

15.3.1.2 Each Supplier shall:

- (a) Register with the ISO the Regulation Capacity its resources are qualified to bid in the Regulation Services market;
- (b) Provide the ISO with the Resource's Regulation Capacity Response Rate and the Resource's Regulation Movement Response Rate;
- (c) Offer only Resources that are; (i) ISO-Committed Flexible or Self-Committed

 Flexible, within the dispatchable portion of their operating range, and; (ii) able to
 respond to AGC Base Point Signals sent by the ISO pursuant to the ISO

 Procedures, to provide Regulation Service;
- (d) Not use, contract to provide, or otherwise commit Regulation Capacity that is selected by the ISO to provide Regulation Service to provide Energy or Operating Reserves to a Balancing Authority other than the ISO;
- (e) Pay any charges imposed under this Rate Schedule;

(f) Ensure that all of its Resources that are selected to provide Regulation Service comply with Base Point Signals issued by the ISO at all times pursuant to the ISO Procedures; and ensure that all of its Resources that are selected to provide Regulation Service comply with all criteria and ISO Procedures that apply to providing Regulation Service.

15.3.2 Selection of Suppliers in the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market

- (a) The ISO shall select Suppliers in the Day-Ahead Market to provide Regulation

 Service for each hour in the following Dispatch Day and in the Real-Time Market

 to provide Regulation Service for each interval in the Dispatch Day, from those
 that have Bid to provide Regulation Service from Resources and that meet the
 qualification standards and criteria established in Section 15.3.1 of this Rate

 Schedule and in the ISO Procedures.
- (b) In order to schedule Suppliers in the Day-Ahead Market to provide Regulation

 Service for each hour in the following Dispatch Day, the ISO shall use, as each

 Supplier's Regulation Service Bid price, the sum of: a) the Supplier's Day-Ahead

 Regulation Capacity Bid Price and b) the product of the Supplier's Day-Ahead

 Regulation Movement Bid Price and the applicable Regulation Movement

 Multiplier.
- (c) In order to schedule Suppliers in the Real-Time Market to provide Regulation

 Service for each interval in the Dispatch Day the ISO shall use, as each Supplier's

 Regulation Service Bid price, the sum of: a) the Supplier's Real-Time Regulation

 Capacity Bid Price and b) the product of the Supplier's Real-Time Regulation

 Movement Bid Price and the applicable Regulation Movement Multiplier.

Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market under Sections 15.3.4, 15.3.5 and 15.3.7 of this Rate Schedule and shall establish a Real-Time Regulation Movement Market Price under Section 15.3.5.1 of this Rate Schedule. The ISO shall also compute Regulation Revenue Adjustment Payments and Regulation Revenue Adjustment Charges under Section 15.3.6 of this Rate Schedule.

15.3.2.1 Bidding Process

- (a) A Supplier may submit a Bid in the Day- Ahead Market or the Real-Time Market to provide Regulation Service from eligible Resources, provided, however, that Bids submitted by Suppliers that are attempting to re-qualify to provide Regulation Service, after being disqualified pursuant to Section 15.3.3 of this Rate Schedule 3, may be limited by the ISO pursuant to ISO Procedures.
- (b) Bids rejected by the ISO may be modified and resubmitted by the Supplier to the ISO in accordance with the terms of the ISO Tariff.
- (c) Each Bid shall contain the following information: (i) the maximum amount of capability (in MW) that the Resource is willing to provide as Regulation Capacity; (ii) the Supplier's Bid Price (in \$/MW) for Regulation Capacity; and (iii) the Suppliers Bid Price (in \$/MW) for Regulation Movement.
- (d) Regulation Service Offers from Limited Energy Storage Resources: The ISO may reduce the real-time Regulation Capacity offer (in MWs) from a Limited Energy Storage Resource or an Aggregation of Limited Energy Storage Resources to account for the Energy storage capacity of such Resource.

(e) Regulation Service Offers from Energy Storage Resources: The ISO may reduce the real-time Regulation Capacity (in MW) from an Energy Storage Resource or an Aggregation of Limited Energy Storage Resources to account for the Energy Level of such Resource.

15.3.3 Monitoring Regulation Service Performance and Performance Related Payment Adjustments

- (a) The ISO shall establish (i) Resource performance measurement criteria; (ii) procedures to disqualify Suppliers whose Resources consistently fail to meet those criteria; and (iii) procedures to re-qualify disqualified Suppliers, which may include a requirement to first demonstrate acceptable performance for a time.
- (b) The ISO shall establish and implement a Performance Tracking System to monitor the performance of Suppliers that provide Regulation Service. The ISO shall develop performance indices, which may vary with Control Performance, as part of the ISO Procedures. The ISO shall use the values provided by the Performance Tracking System to adjust settlements for real-time Regulation Movement pursuant to Section 15.3.5.4.1 and to compute a performance charge to apply to real-time Regulation Service providers pursuant to Section 15.3.5.4.2 of this Rate Schedule.
- (c) Resources that consistently fail to perform adequately may be disqualified by the ISO, pursuant to ISO Procedures.

15.3.4 Regulation Service Settlements - Day-Ahead Market

15.3.4.1 Calculation of Day-Ahead Market Prices

The ISO shall calculate a Day-Ahead Regulation Capacity Market Price for each hour of the following day. The Day-Ahead Regulation Capacity Market Price for each hour shall equal the Day-Ahead Shadow Price of the ISO's Regulation Service constraint for that hour, which shall be established under the ISO Procedures, minus the product of i) the Day-Ahead Regulation Movement Bid Price of the marginal Resource selected to provide Regulation Service; and ii) the applicable Regulation Movement Multiplier. Day-Ahead Shadow Prices will be calculated by the ISO's SCUC. Each hourly Day-Ahead Shadow Price shall equal the marginal Bid cost of scheduling Resources to provide additional Regulation Service in that hour, including any impact on the Bid Production Cost of procuring Energy or Operating Reserves that would result from procuring an increment of Regulation Service in that hour, as calculated during the fifth SCUC pass described in Section 17.1.3 of Attachment B to this ISO Services Tariff. As a result, the Shadow Price shall include the Day-Ahead Regulation Service Bids of the marginal Resource selected to provide Regulation Service, plus any margins on the sale (or purchase by a Withdrawal-Eligible Generator) of Energy or the sale of Operating Reserves in the Day-Ahead Market that the Resource would forego if scheduling it to provide additional Regulation Service would lead to it being scheduled to provide (or for a Withdrawal-Eligible Generator to withdraw) less Energy or to provide less Operating Reserves (or the applicable price on the Regulation Service Demand Curve during shortage conditions). Shadow Prices consistent with the Regulation Service Demand Curves described in Section 15.3.7 of this Rate Schedule will ensure that Regulation Service is not scheduled by SCUC at a cost greater than the Regulation Service Demand Curve.

Each Supplier that is scheduled Day-Ahead to provide Regulation Service shall be paid the Day-Ahead Regulation Capacity Market Price in each hour, multiplied by the amount of Regulation Capacity that it is scheduled Day-Ahead to provide in that hour.

15.3.4.2 Other Day-Ahead Payments

A Supplier that bids on behalf of a Generator or Aggregation that provides Regulation Service may be eligible for a Day-Ahead Bid Production Cost guarantee payment pursuant to Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

No payments shall be made to any Supplier providing Regulation Service in excess of the amount of Regulation Service scheduled by the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market, except to the extent that a Supplier is directed to provide the excess amount by the ISO.

15.3.5 Regulation Service Settlements - Real-Time Market

15.3.5.1 Calculation of Real-Time Market Prices

The ISO shall calculate a Real-Time Regulation Capacity Market Price and a Real-Time Regulation Movement Market Price for every RTD interval, except as noted in Section 15.3.8 of this Rate Schedule. The Real-Time Regulation Capacity Market Price for each interval shall equal the real-time Shadow Price for the ISO's Regulation Service constraint for that RTD interval, which shall be established under the ISO Procedures, minus the product of: i) the real-time Regulation Movement Bid of the marginal Resource selected to provide Real-Time Regulation Service; and ii) the applicable Regulation Movement Multiplier. Real-time Shadow Prices will be calculated by the ISO's RTD. Each Real-Time Shadow Price in each RTD interval shall equal the marginal Bid cost of scheduling Resources to provide additional Regulation Service in that interval, including any impact on the Bid Production Cost of procuring Energy or Operating Reserves that would result from procuring an increment of

Regulation Service in that interval. As a result, the Shadow Price shall include the Real-Time Regulation Service Bids of the marginal Resource selected to provide Regulation Service, plus any margins on the sale (or for Withdrawal-Eligible Generators, the purchase) of Energy or the sale of Operating Reserves in the Real-Time Market that Resource would forego if scheduling it to provide additional Regulation Service would lead to it being scheduled to provide or withdraw less Energy or to provide less Operating Reserves (or the applicable price on the Regulation Service Demand Curve during shortage conditions). Shadow Prices consistent with the Regulation Service Demand Curves described in Section 15.3.7 of this Rate Schedule will ensure that Regulation Service is not scheduled at a cost greater than the Demand Curve indicates.

During any period when the ISO sets Resources' Regulation Service Schedules to zero, pursuant to Section 15.3.8 of this Rate Schedule, the Real-Time Regulation Capacity Market Price and the Real-Time Regulation Movement Market Price shall automatically be set to zero, which shall be the price used for real-time balancing and settlement purposes.

The ISO shall calculate a Real-Time Regulation Movement Market Price for every RTD interval. The Real-Time Regulation Movement Market Price shall be the Regulation Movement Bid of the marginal Resource selected to provide Regulation Service in that interval.

15.3.5.2 Real-Time Regulation Capacity Balancing Payments, Regulation Movement Payments and Performance Charges

Any deviation from a Supplier's Day-Ahead schedule to provide Regulation Service shall be settled pursuant to the following rules. In addition, Suppliers scheduled to provide Regulation Service in real-time shall be settled pursuant to the following rules.

(a) When the Supplier's real-time Regulation Capacity schedule is less than its Day-Ahead Regulation Capacity schedule, the Supplier shall pay a charge for the imbalance equal to the product of: (i) the Real-Time Regulation Capacity Market

- Price; and (ii) the difference between the Supplier's Day-Ahead Regulation Capacity schedule and its real-time Regulation Capacity schedule.
- (b) When the Supplier's real-time Regulation Capacity schedule is greater than its

 Day-Ahead Regulation Capacity schedule, the ISO shall pay the Supplier an
 amount to compensate it for the imbalance equal to the product of: (i) the RealTime Regulation Capacity Market Price; and (ii) the difference between the
 Supplier's real-time Regulation Capacity schedule and its Day-Ahead Regulation
 Capacity schedule.
- time payment for Regulation Movement provided in each interval. The payment amount shall equal the product of: (a) the Real-Time Regulation Movement Market Price in that interval; (b) the Regulation Movement instructed during the interval, and (c) the performance factor calculated for that Regulation Service provider in that interval pursuant to Section 15.3.5.4.1.
- (d) The ISO shall assess a performance charge, pursuant to Section 15.3.5.4.2 to all Suppliers of Regulation Service with real-time Regulation Service schedules.
- (e) No payments shall be made to any Supplier providing Regulation Service in excess of the amount of Regulation Service scheduled by the ISO in the Real Time Market, except to the extent that a Supplier is directed to provide the excess amount by the ISO.

15.3.5.3 Other Real-Time Regulation Service Payments

A Supplier that bids on behalf of a Regulation Service provider may be eligible for a real-time Bid Production Cost guarantee payment pursuant to Section 4.6.6 and Attachment C of this ISO Services Tariff.

A Supplier that bids on behalf of a Regulation Service provider may also be eligible for a Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment pursuant to Section 4.6.5 and Attachment J of this ISO Services Tariff.

15.3.5.4 Performance-Based Adjustment to Payments for Regulation Service Providers and Performance Based Charges

15.3.5.4.1 Performance-Based Adjustment to Payments for Regulation Service Suppliers

The amount paid to each Supplier for providing Regulation Movement in each RTD interval, pursuant to Section 15.3.5.2 shall be reduced to reflect the Supplier's performance using a performance factor developed pursuant to the following equation:

$$K_{PIi} = (PI_i - PSF)/(1 - PSF)$$

Where:

 K_{PIi} = the performance factor derived from the Regulation Service Performance index for the Resource for interval i;

 PI_i = the performance index of the Resource for interval i, with a value between 0.0 and 1.0 inclusive, derived from each Supplier's Regulation Service performance, as measured by the performance indices set forth in the ISO Procedures; and

PSF = the payment scaling factor, established pursuant to ISO Procedures. The PSF shall be set between 0 and the minimum performance index required for payment for Regulation Service.

The PSF is established to reflect the extent of ISO compliance with the standards established by NERC, NPCC or Good Utility Practice for Control Performance and System Security. The PSF is set initially at zero. Should the ISO's compliance with these measures deteriorate, in a manner that can be improved if regulation performance improves, the PSF will be increased. Resources providing Regulation Service will be required to increase their performance index to obtain the same total Regulation Service payment as they received during periods of good ISO performance, as measured by these standards.

15.3.5.4.2 Performance-Based Charge to Suppliers of Regulation Service

In addition, each Supplier that is scheduled in real-time to provide Regulation Service shall be assessed a performance charge for interval *i* in accordance with the following formula.

 $Performance\ Charge_i$

$$= \left(\left((1 - K_{PIi}) * RTRinccap_i * -1.1 * RTMPreg_i \right) \right. \\ \\ \left. + \left(\left((1 - K_{PIi}) * (RTRcap_i - RTRinccap_i) * -1.1 \right) * Max(DAMPreg_i, RTMPreg_i) \right) \right) * (S_i/3600)$$

DAMPreg_i = is the applicable Regulation Capacity Market Price (in \$/MW), in the Day-Ahead Market, as established by the ISO pursuant to Section 15.3.4.1 of this Rate Schedule for the hour that includes RTD interval *i*;

RTMPreg_i = is the applicable Regulation Capacity Market Price (in \$/MW), in the Real-Time Market as established by the ISO under Section 15.3.5.1 of this Rate Schedule in RTD interval *i*;

RTRcap_i = is the Regulation Capacity (in MW) offered by the Resource_and selected by the ISO in the Real-Time Market in RTD interval *i*;

RTRinccap_i = is the incremental Regulation Capacity (in MW) offered by the Resource and selected by the ISO in the Real-Time Market in the RTD interval i which is in excess of Regulation Capacity offered and selected by the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market for the hour that includes interval i;

 S_i = is the number of seconds in interval i; and

 K_{PIi} = is the performance factor for the Resource for interval *i* as defined in Section 15.3.5.4.1.

15.3.6 Energy Settlement Rules for Suppliers Providing Regulation Service 15.3.6.1 Energy Settlements

- A. For any interval in which a Generator or Aggregation that is not a Limited Energy Storage Resource or an Aggregation of Limited Energy Storage Resources is providing Regulation Service, it shall receive a settlement payment for Energy consistent with a real-time Energy injection equal to the lower of the actual Energy it provides or its AGC Base Point Signal. Demand Side Resources providing Regulation Service shall not receive a settlement payment for Energy.
- B. Demand Reductions from Aggregations providing Regulation Service are only eligible for payment for Energy when the real-time LBMP, at the Aggregation's Transmission Node, meets or exceeds the Net Benefits Test Threshold calculated in accordance with Section 4.5.7 of the Services Tariff for the applicable period. When the Net Benefits Test Threshold is satisfied, such Aggregations shall receive an Energy payment for Demand Reductions equal to the lower of the Demand Reductions' contribution to the actual Energy provided or the Aggregation's AGC Base Point Signal.
- C. For any hour in which a Limited Energy Storage Resource or Aggregation of
 Limited Energy Storage Resources has injected or withdrawn Energy, pursuant to
 an ISO schedule to do so, it shall receive a settlement payment (if the amount
 calculated below is positive) or charge (if the amount calculated below is
 negative) for Energy pursuant to the following formula:

Where:

 $Net\ MWHR_h =$ the amount of Energy injected by the Limited Energy Storage Resource

or Aggregation of Limited Energy Storage Resources in hour *h* minus the amount of Energy withdrawn by that Limited Energy Storage Resource or Aggregation of Limited Energy Storage Resources in hour

h

 $LBMP_h$ = the time-weighted average LBMP in hour h calculated for the location

of that Limited Energy Storage Resource or Aggregation of Limited

Energy Storage Resources

15.3.6.2 Additional Payments/Charges

For any interval in which a Supplier that is providing Regulation Service receives an AGC Base Point Signal that differs from its RTD Base Point Signal, it shall receive or pay a Regulation Revenue Adjustment Payment ("RRAP") or Regulation Revenue Adjustment Charge ("RRAC") calculated under the terms of this subsection, provided however no RRAP shall be payable and no RRAC shall be charged to a Limited Energy Storage Resource or Aggregation of Limited Energy Storage Resources.

15.3.6.2.1 Additional Payments/Charges When AGC Base Point Signals Exceed RTD Base Point Signals

For any interval in which a Supplier that is providing Regulation Service receives an AGC Base Point Signal that is higher than its RTD Base Point Signal, it shall receive or pay a RRAP or RRAC calculated under the terms of this subsection. If the Energy Bid Price of such a Supplier is higher than the LBMP at its location in that interval, the Supplier shall receive a RRAP. Conversely, for any interval in which such a Supplier's Energy Bid Price is lower than the LBMP at is location at that interval, the Supplier shall be assessed a RRAC. RRAPs and RRACs shall be calculated using the following formula:

$$max(RTD\ BasePoint\ Signal,min(AGC\ BasePoint\ Signal,Actual\ Output))$$

$$Payment/Charge = \int\limits_{RTD\ Base\ Point\ Signal} [Bid-LBMP]*\ S/3600$$

Where:

S = the number of seconds in the RTD interval;

If the result of the calculation is positive then the Supplier shall receive a RRAP. If it is negative then the Supplier shall be subject to a RRAC. For purposes of applying this formula, whenever the Supplier's actual Bid exceeds the applicable LBMP the "Bid" term shall be set at a level equal to the lesser of the Supplier's actual Bid or its reference Bid plus \$100/MWh.

15.3.6.2.2 Additional Charges/Payments When AGC Base Point Signals Are Lower than RTD Base Point Signals

For any interval in which a Supplier that is providing Regulation Service receives an AGC Base Point Signal that is lower than its RTD Base Point Signal, it shall receive or pay a RRAP or RRAC calculated under the terms of this subsection. If the Energy Bid Price of such a Supplier is higher than the LBMP at its location in that interval, the Supplier shall be assessed a RRAC. Conversely, for any interval in which such a Supplier's Energy Bid Price is lower than the LBMP at its location in that interval, the Supplier shall receive a RRAP. RRAPs and RRACs shall be calculated using the following formula:

$$Payment/Charge = \int\limits_{min(RTD\;BasePoint\;Signal,max(AGC\;BasePoint\;Signal,Actual\;Output))} -[Bid-LBMP]*\;S/3600$$

Where:

S = the number of seconds in the RTD interval;

If the result of the calculation is positive then the Supplier shall receive a RRAP. If it is negative then the Supplier shall be subject to a RRAC. For purposes of this formula, whenever

the Supplier's actual Bid is lower than the applicable LBMP the "Bid" term shall be set at a level equal to the higher of the Supplier's actual Bid or its reference Bid minus \$100/MWh.

15.3.7 Regulation Service Demand Curve

The ISO shall establish a Regulation Service Demand Curve that will apply to both the Day-Ahead and real-time Regulation Capacity Market Price and settlements. The Regulation Capacity Market Prices calculated pursuant to Sections 15.3.4.1 and 15.3.5.1 of this Rate Schedule shall take account of the demand curve established in this Section so that Regulation Capacity is not scheduled by SCUC, RTC, or RTD at a cost higher than the demand curve indicates should be paid in the relevant market.

The ISO shall establish and post a target level of Regulation Service for each hour, which will be the number of MW of Regulation Capacity that the ISO would seek to maintain as its Regulation Service requirement in that hour. The ISO will then define a Regulation Service demand curve for that hour as follows:

For quantities of Regulation Capacity that are less than or equal to the target level of Regulation Service minus 80 MW, the price on the Regulation Service demand curve shall be \$775/MW.

For quantities of Regulation Capacity that are less than or equal to the target level of Regulation Service minus 25 MW but that exceed the target level of Regulation Service minus 80 MW, the price on the Regulation Service demand curve shall be \$525/MW.

For quantities of Regulation Capacity that are less than or equal to the target level of Regulation Service but that exceed the target level of Regulation Service minus 25 MW, the price on the Regulation Service demand curve shall be \$25/MW.

For all other quantities, the price on the Regulation Service demand curve shall be \$0/MW. However, the ISO shall not schedule more Regulation Service than the target level for the requirement for that hour.

In order to respond to operational or reliability problems that arise in real-time, the ISO may procure Regulation Capacity at a quantity and/or price point different than those specified above. The ISO shall post a notice of any such purchase as soon as reasonably possible and shall report on the reasons for such purchases at the next meeting of its Business Issues Committee. The ISO shall also immediately initiate an investigation to determine whether it is necessary to modify the quantity and price points specified above to avoid future operational or reliability problems. The ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit when it conducts this investigation.

If the ISO determines that it is necessary to modify the quantity and/or price points specified above in order to avoid future operational or reliability problems it may temporarily modify them for a period of up to ninety days. If circumstances reasonably allow, the ISO will consult with its Market Monitoring Unit, the Business Issues Committee, the Commission, and the PSC before implementing any such modification. In all circumstances, the ISO will consult with those entities as soon as reasonably possible after implementing a temporary modification.

Not later than 90 days after the implementation of the Regulation Service Demand Curve the ISO, in consultation with its Advisor, shall conduct an initial review in accordance with the ISO Procedures. The scope of the review shall be upward or downward in order to optimize the economic efficiency of any, or all, the ISO-Administered Markets. The ISO and the Market Advisor shall perform additional quarterly reviews, subject to the same scope requirement, during the remainder of the first year that this Section 15.3.7 is in effect. After the first year, the

ISO shall perform periodic reviews, subject to the same scope requirement, and the Market Monitoring Unit shall be given the opportunity to review and comment on the ISO's periodic reviews of the Regulation Service Demand Curve.

The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in the above section of Rate Schedule 3 to the Services Tariff are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.4.1 of Attachment O.

15.3.8 Temporary Suspension of Regulation Service Markets During Reserve Pickups and Maximum Generation

During any period in which the ISO has activated its RTD-CAM software and called for a "large event" or "small event" reserve or maximum generation pickup, as described in Article 4.4.4.1 of this ISO Services Tariff, the ISO will set all Regulation Service schedules to zero, The ISO will establish real-time Regulation Market Prices for Regulation Capacity and Regulation Movement of zero for settlement and balancing purposes. The ISO will restore real-time Regulation Service schedules as soon as possible after the end of the reserve or maximum generation pickup.

17.2 Accounting For Transmission Losses

17.2.1 Charges

Subject to Attachment K to the ISO OATT, the ISO shall charge all Transmission

Customers for transmission system losses based on the marginal cost of losses on either a bus

(including a Transmission Node) or zonal basis, described below.

17.2.1.1 Loss Model

The ISO's RTD software will use a power flow model and penalty factors to estimate losses incurred in performing generation dispatch (including dispatch of DER Aggregations) and billing functions for losses.

17.2.1.2 Residual Loss Payment

The ISO will determine the difference between the payments by Transmission Customers for losses and the payments to Suppliers for losses associated with all Transactions (LBMP Market or Transmission Service under Parts 3, 4 and 5 of the ISO OATT) for both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets. The accounting for losses at the margin may result in the collection of more revenue than is required to compensate Generators and Aggregations for the Energy they produced to supply the actual losses in the system. This over collection is termed residual loss payments. The ISO shall calculate residual loss payments revenue on an hourly basis and will credit them against the ISO's Residual Adjustment (See Rate Schedule 1 of the ISO OATT).

17.2.2 Computation of Residual Loss Payments

17.2.2.1 Marginal Losses Component LBMP

The ISO shall utilize the Marginal Losses Component of the LBMP on an Internal bus, an External bus, or a zone basis for computing the marginal contribution of each Transaction to the system losses. The computation of these quantities is described in this Attachment.

17.2.2.1.1 Marginal Losses Component Day-Ahead

The ISO shall utilize the Marginal Losses Component computed by SCUC for computing the marginal contributions of each Transaction in the Day-Ahead Market.

17.2.2.1.2 Marginal Losses Component Real -Time

The ISO shall utilize the Marginal Losses Component calculated by the (i) RTD programs in most cases; or, (ii) during intervals when the conditions specified in Part 17.1 of this Attachment B exist at Proxy Generator Buses, the RTC program, for computing the Marginal Losses Component associated with each Transaction scheduled in the Real-Time Market (or deviations from Transactions scheduled in the Day-Ahead Market). The computations will be performed on an RTD-interval basis and aggregated to an hourly total.

17.2.2.2 Payments and Charges

Payments and charges to reflect the impact of Energy supplied (or withdrawn by Withdrawal-Eligible Generators) by each Generator and Aggregation, consumed by each Load, or transmitted by each Transmission Customer on the Marginal Losses Component shall be determined as follows. Each of these payments or charges may be negative.

17.2.2.3 Day-Ahead Payments and Charges

As part of the LBMP paid to all Suppliers scheduled Day-Ahead to provide Energy to the LBMP Market, the ISO shall pay each such Supplier the product of: (a) the injection scheduled Day-Ahead from each of that Supplier's Generators or Aggregations in each hour, in MWh; and

(b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP at each of those Generators' buses or Aggregation's Transmission Nodes, in \$/MWh.

As part of the LBMP charged to all LSEs scheduled Day-Ahead to purchase Energy from the LBMP Market, the ISO shall charge each such LSE the product of: (a) the withdrawal scheduled Day-Ahead in each Load Zone by that LSE in each hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP in that Load Zone, in \$/MWh.

As part of the TUC charged to all Transmission Customers whose Transmission Service has been scheduled Day-Ahead, the ISO shall charge each such Transmission Customer the product of: (a) the amount of Energy scheduled Day-Ahead to be injected and withdrawn by that Transmission Customer in each hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP at the Point of Delivery (*i.e.*, Load Zone in which Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn or the bus where Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn if the Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn at a location outside the NYCA), minus the Marginal Losses Component of the Day-Ahead LBMP at the Point of Receipt, in \$/MWh.

17.2.2.4 Real-Time Payments and Charges

As part of the LBMP paid to all Suppliers providing Energy to the Real-Time LBMP Market, the ISO shall pay each such Supplier the product of: (a) the amount of Energy actually injected by each of that Supplier's Generators or Aggregations in each hour (to the extent that actual injections do not exceed the AGC or RTD Base Points Signals sent to that Supplier for those Generators plus any Compensable Overgeneration payable pursuant to ISO Procedures), minus the amount of Energy each of those Generators or Aggregations was scheduled Day-Ahead to inject in that hour, in MWh; and (b) the loss component of the Real-Time LBMP at each of those Generator's buses or Aggregation's Transmission Nodes, in \$/MWh.

As part of the LBMP charged to all LSEs that purchase Energy from the LBMP Market, the ISO shall charge each such LSE the product of (a) the Actual Energy Withdrawals by that

LSE in each Load Zone in each hour, minus the Energy withdrawal scheduled Day-Ahead in that Load Zone by that LSE for that hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP in that Load Zone, in \$MWh.

As part of the TUC charged to all Transmission Customers whose Transmission Service was scheduled after the determination of the Day-Ahead schedule, or who schedule additional Transmission Service after the determination of the Day-Ahead schedule, the ISO shall charge each such Transmission Customer the product of: (a) Actual Energy Withdrawals scheduled RTD in each hour, minus the amount of Energy scheduled Day-Ahead to be withdrawn by that Transmission Customer in that hour, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP at the Point of Delivery (i.e., the Load Zone in which Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn or the External bus where Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn if Energy is scheduled to be withdrawn at a location outside the NYCA), minus the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP at the Point of Receipt, in \$MWh.

As part of the LBMP paid to all Suppliers providing an amount of Energy that differs from the amount of Energy those Suppliers were scheduled by RTD to provide Energy in an hour in association with Bilateral Transactions, the ISO shall pay each such Supplier the product of:

(a) the amount of Energy actually injected by each of that Supplier's Generators and/or Aggregation in each hour (to the extent that actual injections do not exceed the AGC or RTD Base Points Signals sent to that Supplier for those Generators or Aggregations plus any Compensable Overgeneration payable pursuant to ISO Procedures) minus the amount of Energy each of those Generators or Aggregations was scheduled by RTD to provide Energy in that hour in association with Bilateral Transactions, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP at each of those Generators' buses, or Aggregation's Transmission Node in \$/MWh.

As part of the LBMP charged to all LSEs consuming an amount of Energy that deviates from the amount of Energy those LSEs were scheduled by RTD to consume in an hour in

association with Bilateral Transactions, the ISO shall charge each such LSE the product of: (a) the Actual Energy Withdrawals by that LSE in each Load Zone in each hour, minus the Energy withdrawal scheduled by RTD in that Load Zone by that LSE for that hour in association with Bilateral Transactions, in MWh; and (b) the Marginal Losses Component of the Real-Time LBMP in that Load Zone, in \$/MWh.

23.3 Criteria for Imposing Mitigation Measures

23.3.1 Identification of Conduct Inconsistent with Competition

Conduct that may potentially warrant the imposition of a mitigation measure includes the categories described in Section 23.2.4 above, which shall be detected through the use of indices and screens developed, adopted and made available as specified in Attachment O. The thresholds listed in Sections 23.3.1.1 to 23.3.1.3 below shall be used to identify substantial departures from competitive conduct indicative of an absence of workable competition.

23.3.1.1 Thresholds for Identifying Physical Withholding

- 23.3.1.1.1 The following initial thresholds will be employed by the ISO to identify physical withholding of a Generator or generation or an Aggregation by a Market Party and its Affiliates:
- 23.3.1.1.1.1 Except for conduct addressed in Section 23.3.1.1.1.2: Withholding that exceeds (i) 10 percent of a Generator's or an Aggregation's capability, or (ii) 100 MW of a Generator's or an Aggregation's capability, or (iii) 5 percent of the total capability of a Market Party and its Affiliates, or (iv) 200 MW of the total capability of a Market Party and its Affiliates.

For a Generator or an Aggregation or a Market Party in a Constrained Area for intervals in which an interface or facility into the area in which the Generator or generation or Aggregation is located has a Shadow Price greater than \$0.04/MWh, indicating an active constraint, withholding that exceeds (i) 10 percent of a Generator's or an Aggregation's capability, or (ii) 50 MW of a Generator's or an Aggregation's capability, or (iii) 5 percent of the total capability

of a Market Party and its Affiliates, or (iv) 100 MW of the total capability of a Market Party and its Affiliates.

23.3.1.1.1.2 Operating a Generator or generation or an Aggregation in real-time at a lower output level than would have been expected had the Market Party's and its Affiliate's Generator or generation or Aggregation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, resulting in a difference in output that exceeds (i) 15 minutes times a Generator's or Aggregation's stated response rate per minute at the output level that would have been expected had the Generator or Aggregation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, or (ii) 100 MW for a Generator or Aggregation, or (iii) 200 MW of the total capability of a Market Party and its Affiliates. For a Generator or an Aggregation or a Market Party in a Constrained Area for intervals in which an interface or facility into the area in which the generation or Aggregation is located has a Shadow Price greater than \$0.04/MWh, indicating an active constraint, operating a Generator or generation or an Aggregation in realtime at a lower output level than would have been expected had the Market Party's and its Affiliate's Generator or generation or Aggregation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, resulting in a difference in output that exceeds (i) 15 minutes times a Generator's or an Aggregation's stated response rate per minute at the output level that would have been expected had the Generator or Aggregation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, or (ii) 50 MW of a Generator's or an Aggregation's capability, or (iii) 100 MW of the total capability of a Market Party and its Affiliates.

- 23.3.1.1.2 The amounts of generating capacity considered withheld for purposes of applying the thresholds in this Section 23.3.1.1 shall include unjustified deratings, and the portions of a Generator's or an Aggregation's output that is not Bid or subject to economic withholding. The amounts deemed withheld shall not include (i) generating output that is subject to a forced outage, subject to verification by the ISO as may be appropriate that an outage was forced, (ii) capacity that is out of service for maintenance in accordance with an ISO maintenance schedule, or (iii) generating capacity that is not Bid in the Real-Time Market, because and to the extent it would have to use unauthorized natural gas to operate, subject to verification by the ISO as may be appropriate that operation would require the use of unauthorized natural gas. See Section 23.3.1.4.6.2.1.1 below.
- 23.3.1.1.3 A transmission facility shall be deemed physically withheld if it is not operated in accordance with ISO instructions and such failure to conform to ISO instructions causes or contributes to transmission congestion. A transmission facility shall not be deemed withheld if it is subject to a forced outage or is out of service for maintenance in accordance with an ISO maintenance schedule.

23.3.1.2 Thresholds for Identifying Economic Withholding

23.3.1.2.1 The following thresholds shall be employed by the ISO to identify economic withholding that may warrant the mitigation of a Generator or an Aggregation in an area that is not a Constrained Area, or in a Constrained Area during periods not subject to transmission constraints affecting the Constrained Area, and shall be determined with respect to a reference level determined as specified in Section 23.3.1.4:

- 23.3.1.2.1.1 Incremental Energy and Minimum Generation Bids: An increase exceeding 300 percent or \$100 per MWh, whichever is lower; provided, however, that Incremental Energy or Minimum Generation Bids below \$25 per MWh shall be deemed not to constitute economic withholding when evaluating Bids to provide Energy.
- 23.3.1.2.1.1.1 Threshold for Bids to withdraw Incremental Energy: an increase exceeding 300 percent or \$100 per MWh, whichever is lower. However, the threshold for Bids to withdraw Incremental Energy that have an associated reference level that is between -\$25 and \$25 per MWh (inclusive) is, instead, \$75 per MWh.
- 23.3.1.2.1.1.2 Additional Thresholds used to assess Bids for Generators and Aggregations that the ISO evaluates as a price spread for purposes of scheduling and dispatch.

The following hourly and daily thresholds will be employed to evaluate the spread between the minimum and maximum dollar values included in an Energy Storage Resource's or an Aggregation that consists solely of Energy Storage Resources' multi-step incremental Energy Bid. The time periods over which the comparisons are performed are specified below.

(a) Hourly Threshold (applies to both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets)—the Incremental Energy Bid spread is compared to the Incremental Energy reference level spread for the same market hour. The Bid spread is determined by subtracting the least Incremental Energy Bid price from the greatest Incremental Energy Bid price. This value is compared to the reference

level spread, which is determined by subtracting the Incremental Energy reference level price that corresponds to the least Incremental Energy Bid price from the Incremental Energy reference level price that corresponds to the greatest Incremental Energy Bid price. A Bid spread that exceeds the reference level spread by more than 300 percent or by more than \$100 per MWh, whichever is lower, exceeds the conduct threshold. However, if the reference level spread is less than \$25 per MWh, then the Hourly Threshold shall be \$75 per MWh.

(b) Daily Threshold (only applies to the Day-Ahead Market)—the Incremental Energy Bid spread across the Day-Ahead market day is compared to the Incremental Energy reference level spread. The Bid spread is determined by subtracting the least Incremental Energy Bid price submitted for any hour of the Day-Ahead market day ("Hour X") from the greatest Incremental Energy Bid price submitted for any hour of the same market-day ("Hour Y"). Hour X and Hour Y can be the same market hour. This value is compared to the reference level spread, which is determined by subtracting the Incremental Energy reference level price Bid that corresponds to the least Incremental Energy Bid price in Hour X from the Incremental Energy reference level price that corresponds to the greatest Incremental Energy Bid price in Hour Y. A Bid spread that exceeds the reference level spread by more than 300 percent or by more than \$100 per MWh, whichever is lower, exceeds the conduct threshold. However, if the reference level spread is less than \$25 per MWh, then the Hourly Threshold shall be \$75 per MWh.

23.3.1.2.1.2 Operating Reserves and Regulation Service Bids:

- 23.3.1.2.1.2.1 Operating Reserves and Regulation Capacity Bids: A 300 percent increase or an increase of \$50 per MW, whichever is lower; provided, however, that such Bids below \$5 per MW shall be deemed not to constitute economic withholding.
- 23.3.1.2.1.2.2 Regulation Movement Bids: A 300 percent increase.
- 23.3.1.2.1.3 Start-Up Bids: A 200 percent increase.
- 23.3.1.2.1.4 Time-based Bid parameters: An increase of 3 hours, or an increase of 6 hours in total for multiple time-based Bid parameters. Time-based Bid parameters include, but are not limited to, start-up times, minimum run times, minimum down times, and temporal minimum and maximum parameters related to the withdrawal and injection of Energy by Withdrawal-Eligible Generators or Aggregations containing Withdrawal-Eligible Generators.
- 23.3.1.2.1.5 Bid parameters expressed in units other than time or dollars, including the MW component of a Minimum Generation Bid (also referred to as the "minimum operating level"): A 100 percent increase for parameters that are minimum values, or a 50 percent decrease for parameters that are maximum values (including but not limited to ramp rates, maximum stops, and operating parameters related to the withdrawal and injection of Energy by Withdrawal-Eligible Generators or Aggregations containing Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s)).
- 23.3.1.2.2 The following thresholds shall be employed by the ISO to identify economic withholding that may warrant the mitigation of a Generator or an Aggregation in an area that is a Constrained Area, and shall be determined with respect to a reference level determined as specified in Section 23.3.1.4:

23.3.1.2.2.1 For Energy and Minimum Generation Bids for the Real-Time Market: for intervals in which an interface or facility into the area in which a Generator or an Aggregation is located has a Shadow Price greater than \$0.04/MWh, indicating an active constraint, the lower of the thresholds specified for areas that are not Constrained Areas or a threshold determined in accordance with the following formula:

$$Threshold = \frac{2\% * Average \ Price * 8760}{Constrained \ Hours}$$

where:

Average Price =

the average price in the Real-Time Market in the Constrained Area over the past 12 months, adjusted for fuel price changes, and adjusted for Out-of-Merit Generation dispatch as feasible and appropriate; and

Constrained Hours = the total number of minutes over the prior 12 months, converted to hours (retaining fractions of hours), in which the real-time Shadow Price has been greater than \$0.04/MWh, indicating an active constraint, on any interface or facility leading into the Constrained Area in which the Generator is located. For the In-City area, "Constrained Hours" shall also include the number of minutes that a Storm Watch is in effect. Determination of the number of Constrained Hours shall be subject to adjustment by the ISO to account for significant changes in system conditions.

- 23.3.1.2.2.2 For so long as the In-City area is a Constrained Area, the thresholds specified in subsection 23.3.1.2.2.1 shall also apply: (a) in intervals in which the transmission capacity serving the In-City area is subject to Storm Watch limitations; (b) to an In-City Generator or Aggregation that is operating as Out-of-Merit Generation; and (c) to a Generator or an Aggregation dispatched as a result of a Supplemental Resource Evaluation.
- 23.3.1.2.2.3 For Energy and Minimum Generation Bids for the Day-Ahead Market: for all Constrained Hours for the Generator or Aggregation being Bid, a threshold

determined in accordance with the formula specified in subsection 23.3.1.2.2.1 above, but where Average Price shall mean the average price in the Day-Ahead Market in the Constrained Area over the past twelve months, adjusted for fuel price changes, and where Constrained Hours shall mean the total number of hours over the prior 12 months in which the Shadow Price in the Day-Ahead Market has been greater than \$0.04/MWh, indicating an active constraint, on any interface or facility leading into the Constrained Area in which the Generator or Aggregation is located. Determination of the number of Constrained Hours shall be subject to adjustment by the ISO to account for significant changes in system conditions.

- 23.3.1.2.2.4 For Start-Up Bids; a 50% increase.
- 23.3.1.2.2.5 The thresholds listed in Sections 23.3.1.2.1.2 and 23.3.1.2.1.4 through 23.3.1.2.1.5.
- 23.3.1.2.2.6 For intervals in which an interface or facility into the area in which a

 Generator is located has a Shadow Price greater than \$0.04/MWh, indicating an
 active constraint in the Day-Ahead Market or in the Real-Time Market, the
 additional thresholds used to assess Bids for Generators and Aggregations that the
 ISO evaluates as a price spread for purposes of scheduling and dispatch are set
 forth below. The evaluation method is described in Section 23.3.1.2.1.1.2 of
 these Mitigation Measures.
 - (a) Hourly Threshold (applies to both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets)—the Incremental Energy Bid spread is compared to the Incremental Energy reference level spread for the same market hour. The Bid spread is determined by subtracting the least Incremental Energy Bid price from the

greatest Incremental Energy Bid price. This value is compared to the reference level spread, which is determined by subtracting the Incremental Energy reference level price that corresponds to the least Incremental Energy Bid price from the Incremental Energy reference level price that corresponds to the greatest Incremental Energy Bid price. A Bid spread that exceeds the reference level spread by more than the lower of the threshold specified for areas that are not Constrained Areas, or a threshold determined in accordance with the formulae set forth in Section 23.3.1.2.2.1 (real-time) or Section 23.3.1.2.2.3 (Day-Ahead) of these Mitigation Measures, exceeds the conduct threshold.

(b) Daily Threshold (only applies to the Day-Ahead Market)—the Incremental Energy Bid spread across the Day-Ahead market day is compared to the Incremental Energy reference level spread. The Bid spread is determined by subtracting the least Incremental Energy Bid price submitted for any hour of the Day-Ahead market day ("Hour X") from the greatest Incremental Energy Bid price submitted for any hour of the same market-day ("Hour Y"). Hour X and Hour Y can be the same market hour. This value is compared to the reference level spread, which is determined by subtracting the Incremental Energy reference level price Bid that corresponds to the least Incremental Energy Bid price in Hour X from the Incremental Energy reference level price that corresponds to the greatest Incremental Energy Bid price in Hour Y. A Bid spread that exceeds the reference level spread by more than the lower of the threshold specified for areas that are not Constrained Areas, or a threshold determined in accordance with the

formula set forth in Section 23.3.1.2.2.3 (Day-Ahead) of these Mitigation Measures, exceeds the conduct threshold.

23.3.1.2.3 The following thresholds shall be employed by the ISO to identify economic withholding that requires the mitigation of a Generator or Aggregation that is committed outside the ISO's economic evaluation process to protect NYCA or local area reliability in an area that is not a designated Constrained Area. Whether the thresholds specified in Sections 23.3.1.2.3.3(i) through 23.3.1.2.3.3(vi) below have been exceeded shall be determined with respect to a reference level determined as specified in Section 23.3.1.4 of these Mitigation Measures.

If provisions 23.3.1.2.3.1 and 23.3.1.2.3.2 below are met for a Generator or Aggregation in the New York Control Area that is not located in a designated Constrained Area, the ISO shall substitute a reference level for each Bid, or component of a Bid, for which the applicable threshold specified in provisions 23.3.1.2.3.3(i) through 23.3.1.2.3.3(vi) below is exceeded. Where mitigation is determined to be appropriate, the mitigated results will be used in all aspects of the NYISO's settlement process.

23.3.1.2.3.1 The Generator or Aggregation was committed outside the ISO's economic merit order selection process to protect or maintain New York Control Area or local system reliability as a Day-Ahead Reliability Unit ("DARU") or via a Supplemental Resource Evaluation ("SRE"), or was committed as a DARU or via SRE and was also dispatched Out-of-Merit above its minimum generation level to protect or maintain New York Control Area or local system reliability; and

- 23.3.1.2.3.2 One of the following three (i) (iii) conditions in this Section 23.3.1.2.3.2 must be satisfied in order for mitigation to be applied:
- i the Market Party (including its Affiliates) that owns or offers the Generator or Aggregation is the only Market Party that could effectively solve the reliability need for which the Generator or Aggregation was committed or dispatched, or
- when evaluating an SRE that was issued to address a reliability need that multiple

 Market Parties' Generators or Aggregations are capable of solving, the NYISO

 only received Bids from one Market Party (including its Affiliates), or
- when evaluating a DARU, if the Market Party was notified of the need for the reliability commitment of its Generator or Aggregation prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market.
- 23.3.1.2.3.3 The Bids or Bid components submitted for the Generator or Aggregation that were accepted outside the economic evaluation process to protect or maintain New York Control Area or local system reliability:
- i exceeded the Generator's Minimum Generation Bid reference level by the greater of 10% or \$10/MWh, or
- ii. exceeded the Generator's or Aggregation's Incremental Energy Bid reference level by the greater of 10% or \$10/MWh, or
- iii. exceeded the Generator's Start-Up Bid reference level by 10%, or
- iv. exceeded the Generator's minimum run time, start-up time, and minimum down time reference levels by more than one hour in aggregate, or
- v. exceeded the Generator's minimum generation MW reference level by more than 10%, or

- vi. decreased the Generator's maximum number of stops per day below the

 Generator's reference level by more than one stop per day, or to one stop per day.
- 23.3.1.2.4 For In-City Generators or Aggregations committed in the Day-Ahead Market for local reliability, additional Mitigation Measures are specified in Section 23.5.2.1.

23.3.1.3 Thresholds for Identifying Uneconomic Production and Uneconomic Withdrawal of Energy

- 23.3.1.3.1 The following thresholds will be employed by the ISO to identify uneconomic production that may warrant the imposition of a mitigation measure:
- 23.3.1.3.1.1 Energy scheduled at an LBMP that is less than 20 percent of the applicable reference level and causes or contributes to transmission congestion; or
- 23.3.1.3.1.2 Real-time output from a Generator or generation or an Aggregation resulting in real-time operation at a higher output level than would have been expected had the Market Party's and the Affiliate's Generator or generation or Aggregation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, if such failure to follow ISO dispatch instructions in real-time causes or contributes to transmission congestion, and it results in an output difference that exceeds (i) 15 minutes times a Generator's or an Aggregation's stated response rate per minute at the output level that would have been expected had the Generator or Aggregation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, or (ii) 100 MW for a Generator or an Aggregation, or (iii) 200 MW of the total capability of a Market Party and its Affiliates.
- 23.3.1.3.2 The following thresholds will be employed by the ISO to identify uneconomic withdrawals of Energy by Withdrawal-Eligible Generators or

- Aggregations containing Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s) that may warrant the imposition of a mitigation measure:
- 23.3.1.3.2.1 Energy withdrawn at an LBMP that is at least 300 percent or \$75/MWh, whichever is greater, more than the applicable reference level of a Withdrawal-Eligible Generator or of an Aggregation that contains Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s), and that causes or contributes to transmission congestion; provided, however, that schedules to withdraw Energy that are determined by the ISO based on the economics of an offer to withdraw Energy, including the Incremental Energy Bid spread of a Withdrawal-Eligible Generator or of an Aggregation that contains Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s), shall not be considered uneconomic withdrawals under this Section 23.3.1.3.2.1; or
- 23.3.1.3.2.2 Real-time withdrawals by a Withdrawal-Eligible Generator or an Aggregation containing Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s) resulting in different real-time operation than would have been expected had the Market Party's and the Affiliate's Generator or generation or Aggregation followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, if such failure to follow ISO dispatch instructions in real-time causes or contributes to transmission congestion, and it results in an output difference that exceeds (i) 15 minutes times a Generator's or an Aggregation's stated response rate per minute at the output level that would have been expected had the Generator followed the ISO's dispatch instructions, or (ii) 100 MW for a Generator or an Aggregation, or (iii) 200 MW of the total capability of a Market Party and its Affiliates.

23.3.1.4 Reference Levels

23.3.1.4.1 Except as provided in Sections 23.3.1.4.3 – 23.3.1.4.6 below, a reference level for each component of a Generator's or an Aggregation's Bid to provide Energy shall be calculated on the basis of the following methods, listed in the order of preference subject to the existence of sufficient data.

A reference level for an Energy Storage Resource's or an Aggregation that contains one or more Energy Storage Resources' Incremental Energy Bid to provide or withdraw Energy shall be calculated consistent with Sections 23.3.1.4.1.3 or 23.3.1.4.2 below, subject to the existence of sufficient data.

23.3.1.4.1.1 The lower of the mean or the median of a Generator's or an Aggregation's accepted Bids or Bid components, in hour beginning 6 to hour beginning 21 but excluding weekend and designated holiday hours, in competitive periods over the most recent 90 day period for which the necessary input data are available to the ISO's reference level calculation systems, adjusted for changes in fuel prices consistent with Section 23.3.1.4.6, below. To maintain appropriate reference levels (i) the ISO shall exclude all Incremental Energy and Minimum Generation Bids below \$15/MWh from its development of Bid-based reference levels, (ii) the ISO shall exclude Minimum Generation Bids submitted for a Generator or an Aggregation that was committed on the day prior to the Dispatch Day for the hours during the Dispatch Day that the Generator or Aggregation needs to operate in order to complete the minimum run time specified in the Bid it submitted for the hour in which it was committed, and (iii) the ISO may exclude other Bids that would cause a reference level to deviate substantially from a Generator's or an Aggregation's marginal cost when developing Bid-based reference levels;

- 23.3.1.4.1.2 Calculate incremental energy and minimum generation reference levels for a Generator or an Aggregation using the mean of the LBMP at the Generator's or Aggregation's location during the lowest-priced 50 percent of the hours that the Generator or Aggregation was dispatched over the most recent 90 day period for which the necessary LBMP data are available to the ISO's reference level calculation systems, adjusted for changes in fuel prices consistent with Section 23.3.1.4.6, below. To maintain appropriate reference levels (i) the ISO shall exclude all LBMPs below \$15/MWh from its development of LBMP-based reference levels, (ii) the ISO shall exclude LBMPs during hours when a Generator or an Aggregation was scheduled as a Day-Ahead Reliability Unit or via a Supplemental Resource Evaluation or was Out-of-Merit Generation, from its development of that Generator's or Aggregation's LBMP-based reference levels, (iii) for a Generator or an Aggregation that was committed on the day prior to the Dispatch Day, the ISO shall exclude LBMPs for the hours during the Dispatch Day that the Generator or Aggregation needs to operate in order to complete the minimum run time specified in the Bid it submitted for the hour in which the Generator or Aggregation was committed from the ISO's development of that Generator's or Aggregation's LBMP-based reference levels, and (iv) the ISO may exclude LBMPs that would cause a reference level to deviate substantially below a Generator's or an Aggregation's marginal cost when developing LBMP-based reference levels; or
- 23.3.1.4.1.3 A level determined in consultation with the Market Party submitting the Bid or Bids at issue, provided such consultation has occurred prior to the

occurrence of the conduct being examined by the ISO, and provided the Market Party has provided data on a Generator's or an Aggregation's operating costs in accordance with specifications provided by the ISO.

The reference level for a Generator's or an Aggregation's Energy and Ancillary Service Bids are intended to reflect the Generator's or Aggregation's marginal costs. The ISO's determination of a Generator's or an Aggregation's Energy marginal costs shall include an assessment of the Generator's or Aggregation's incremental operating costs in accordance with the following formula:

Opportunity cost is the cost, in dollars, representing (a) the total net revenue in the future time periods that is expected to be forgone by being dispatched by the ISO in the current time period, or (b) the total net cost in future time periods that is expected to be avoided by being dispatched by the ISO in the current time period. Opportunity costs are limited to costs that the ISO reasonably determines to be appropriate based on such data as may be furnished by the Market Party or otherwise available to the ISO. Reference levels shall also include such other factors or adjustments as the ISO shall reasonably determine to be appropriate based on such data as may be furnished by the Market Party or otherwise available to the ISO.

23.3.1.4.2 If sufficient data do not exist to calculate a reference level on the basis of either of the first two methods, or if the ISO determines that none of the three methods are applicable to a particular type of Bid component, or an attempt to

determine a reference level in consultation with a Market Party has not been successful, or if the reference level produced does not reasonably approximate a Generator's or Aggregation's marginal cost, the ISO shall determine a reference level on the basis of:

- 23.3.1.4.2.1 the ISO's estimate of the costs or physical parameters of an Electric Facility, taking into account available operating costs data, appropriate input from the Market Party, and the best information available to the ISO; or
- 23.3.1.4.2.2 an appropriate average of competitive bids of one or more similar Electric Facilities.
- 23.3.1.4.3 Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the reference level for Incremental Energy Bids for New Capacity for the three year and six month period following the New Capacity's first production of Energy while synchronously interconnected to the New York State Transmission System shall be the higher of (i) the amount determined in accordance with the provision of Section 23.3.1.4.1 or 23.3.1.4.2, or (ii) the average of the fuel price-adjusted peak LBMPs over the twelve months prior to the New Capacity's first production of Energy while synchronously interconnected to the New York State Transmission System of the New Capacity in the Load Zone in which the New Capacity is located during hours when Generators or Aggregations with operating characteristics similar to the New Capacity would be expected to run. For entities owning or otherwise controlling the output of capacity in the New York Control Area other than New Capacity, the provisions of this Section 23.3.1.4.3 shall

- apply only to net additions of capacity during the applicable three year and six month period.
- 23.3.1.4.4 Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, a reference level for a

 Generator's start-up costs Bid shall be calculated on the basis of the following methods, listed in the order of preference subject to the existence of sufficient data:
- 23.3.1.4.4.1 If sufficient bidding histories under the applicable bidding rules for a given Generator's start-up costs Bids have been accumulated, the lower of the mean or the median of the Generator's accepted start-up costs Bids in competitive periods over the previous 90 days for similar down times, adjusted for changes in fuel prices consistent with Section 23.3.1.4.6 below. However, accepted Start-Up Bids that incorporate anticipated costs of operating on the day after the Dispatch Day in which the Generator is committed in order to permit the Generator to satisfy its minimum run time shall not be used to develop Bid-based start-up reference levels;
- 23.3.1.4.4.2 A level determined in consultation with the Market Party submitting the

 Bid or Bids at issue and intended to reflect the costs incurred for a Generator to
 achieve its specified minimum operating level from an offline state, provided such
 consultation has occurred prior to the occurrence of the conduct being examined
 by the ISO, and provided the Market Party has provided data on the Generator's
 operating costs in accordance with specifications provided by the ISO; or
- 23.3.1.4.4.3 Generators committed in the Day-Ahead Market or via Supplemental

 Resource Evaluation that are not able to complete their minimum run time within

the Dispatch Day in which they are committed are eligible to include in their Start-Up Bid expected net costs of operating on the day following the dispatch day at the minimum operating level (in MW) specified in the Generator's Bid for the commitment hour, for the hours necessary to complete the Generator's minimum run time. The NYISO will calculate a start-up reference level that incorporates the net costs the Generator is expected to incur on the day following the Dispatch Day as follows:

23.3.1.4.4.3.1 Calculation of a start-up reference level that includes expected net costs of operating on the day following the Dispatch Day

The NYISO will use the following calculation to develop a reference level that incorporates the costs that a Generator is expected to incur on the day following the Dispatch Day.

$$LateDayAdjusted_{g,i} = StrtUpRef_g + \max \left(0, MinGenRef_{g,i} * BidMinGen_{g,i} * \sum_{h=0}^{Z_{g,i}-1} SR_{g,h,i}\right)$$

Where:

 $LateDayAdjusted_{g,i}$ = calculated start-up reference level for Generator g for hour i in \$ (reflects the applicable start-up reference level (StrtUpRef_g), plus the expected net cost of operating on the day following the Dispatch Day)

 $StrtUpRef_g$ = the start-up reference level for Generator g in \$ that is in effect at the time the calculation is performed (does not include the expected net cost of operating on the day following the Dispatch Day)

 $MinGenRef_{g,i}$ = the minimum generation cost reference level for Generator g for hour i in \$/MW that is in effect at the time the calculation is performed

 $BidMinGen_{g,i} = Generator g's Day-Ahead minimum operating level for hour i, in MW$

 $Z_{g,i}$ = the number of hours the Generator must operate during the day following the Dispatch Day in order to complete its minimum run time if it starts in hour i

 $SR_{g,h,i}$ = shortfall ratio for Generator g that is bidding to start in hour i which must run during hour h in order to complete its minimum run time, calculated in accordance with Section 23.3.4.4.3.2, below

23.3.1.4.4.3.2 Calculation of the shortfall ratio for use in Section 23.3.1.4.4.3.1, above

 $SR_{g,h,i}$ = the shortfall ratio calculated for Generator g that is bidding to start in hour i, and that must run during hour h to complete its minimum run time.

In all cases in which Generator g's Day-Ahead minimum operating level deviates from the average of the previous seven days' Day-Ahead minimum operating levels for the same hour by less than 5 MW (i.e., if $|AvgBidMinGen_{g,h,i} - BidMinGen_{g,i}| < 5MW$) or by less than 10% (i.e., if both $BidMinGen_{g,i} < 1.1 * AvgBidMinGen_{g,h,i}$ and $BidMinGen_{g,i} > 0.9 * AvgBidMinGen_{g,h,i}$),

Where:

 $AvgBidMinGen_{g,h,i}$ = The average minimum operating level submitted in the Day-Ahead Market for hour h on the seven days preceding the day containing hour i, in MW, excluding any days for which a minimum operating level was not submitted in the Day-Ahead Market for Generator g, for hour h; and

 $BidMinGen_{g,i}$ = The minimum operating level submitted in the Day-Ahead Market for Generator g for hour i, in MW

and in all cases in which $AvgBidMinGen_{g,h,i}$ cannot be calculated because minimum operating levels were not submitted for Generator g in the Day-Ahead Market for hour h on any of the seven days preceding the day containing hour i, the $SR_{g,h,i}$ value will be calculated using the primary method. Otherwise, the $SR_{g,h,i}$ value will be calculated using the alternative method.

Primary Method of Calculating the Shortfall Ratio

$$SR_{g,h,i} = 1 - \frac{1}{7} * \sum_{d=1}^{7} \frac{LBMP_{g,h,i,d}}{MinGenRef_{g,h,i,d}}$$

Where:

 $LBMP_{g,h,i,d}$ = Day ahead LBMP at the location of Generator g in hour h of the Day-Ahead Market for the Dispatch Day that precedes the day containing hour i by d days, and

 $MinGenRef_{g,h,i,d}$ = minimum generation cost reference level for Generator g in hour h of the Day-Ahead Market for the Dispatch Day that precedes the day containing hour i by d days

Alternative Method of Calculating the Shortfall Ratio

$$SR_{g,h,i} = 1 - \frac{AvgLBMP_{g,h,i}}{\left(AvgRefRate_{g,h,i} * \frac{RefRate2_{g,i}}{RefRate1_{g,h,i}}\right)}$$

Where:

 $AvgLBMP_{g,h,i}$ = The average of the Day-Ahead LBMPs at the location of Generator g for hour h on the seven days preceding the day containing hour i, in \$/MWh, excluding any days for which a minimum operating level was not submitted in the Day-Ahead Market for Generator g for hour h

 $AvgRefRate_{g,h,i}$ = The average of the minimum generation reference levels for Generator g in hour h on the seven days preceding the day containing hour i, in \$/MWh, excluding any days for which a minimum operating level was not submitted in the Day-Ahead Market for Generator g for hour h

 $RefRate1_{g,h,i}$ = The minimum generation cost reference level in \$/MWh for Generator g for hour i, calculated using the most current reference data, and assuming that the minimum operating level submitted in the Day-Ahead Market for Generator g in hour i corresponds to the MWs reflected in the $AvgBidMinGen_{g,h,i}$

 $RefRate2_{g,i}$ = The minimum generation cost reference level in \$/MWh for Generator g for hour i, calculated using the most current reference data, and incorporating the minimum operating level submitted in the Day-Ahead Market for Generator g in hour i that corresponds to the MWs reflected in the $BidMinGen_{g,i}$

Notwithstanding the above, in all cases where the denominator of the equation for calculating $SR_{g,h,i}$ is not greater than zero, $SR_{g,h,i}$ shall be set to zero, under both the primary and alternative methods.

- 23.3.1.4.4.4 The methods specified in Section 23.3.1.4.2.
 - 23.3.1.4.5 The ISO is not required to calculate real-time reference levels for the three Operating Reserve products (Spinning Reserve, 10-Minute Non-Synchronized Reserves and 30-Minute Reserves) because Generators or Aggregations that are capable of providing these products and that are submitting Bids into the Real-Time Market are automatically assigned a real-time Operating Reserves

Availability Bid of zero for the amount of Operating Reserves they are capable of providing.

The ISO shall calculate real-time reference levels for Regulation Capacity in accordance with Sections 23.3.1.4.1.1, 23.3.1.4.1.3 or 23.3.1.4.2 of these Mitigation Measures. The ISO shall calculate real-time reference levels for Regulation Movement in accordance with Sections 23.3.1.4.1.3 or 23.3.1.4.2.1 of these Mitigation Measures and shall not calculate real-time Reference levels for Regulation Movement in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4.1.1.

The ISO shall calculate Day-Ahead reference levels for the three Operating Reserves products in accordance with Sections 23.3.1.4.1.1, 23.3.1.4.1.3 or 23.3.1.4.2 of these Mitigation Measures. The ISO shall calculate Day-Ahead reference levels for Regulation Capacity in accordance with Sections 23.3.1.4.1.1, 23.3.1.4.1.3 or 23.3.1.4.2 of these Mitigation Measures. The ISO shall calculate Day-Ahead reference levels for Regulation Movement in accordance with Sections 23.3.1.4.1.3 or 23.3.1.4.2.1 of these Mitigation Measures and shall not calculate Day-Ahead Reference levels for Regulation Movement in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4.1.1.

- 23.3.1.4.6 Reflecting Fuel Costs in Reference Levels. The ISO shall use the best fuel cost information available to it to adjust reference levels to reflect appropriate fuel costs.
- 23.3.1.4.6.1 ISO Reporting Obligation. If the ISO did not utilize the best fuel cost information available to it when it adjusted reference levels to reflect appropriate fuel costs, and the ISO's failure to utilize the best fuel cost information available

to it affected market clearing prices or had an impact on guarantee payments that cannot be corrected, then the ISO shall report any market clearing price and uncorrected guarantee payment impacts to FERC staff and to its Market Participants. The ISO is not required to report, or to otherwise act, if no market impact is identified.

- 23.3.1.4.6.2 Market Parties shall monitor Generator or Aggregations reference levels and shall endeavor to timely (as that term is defined in Section 23.3.1.4.6.8 below) contact the ISO to request an adjustment to a Generator's or an Aggregation's reference level(s) when the Generator's or Aggregation's fuel type or fuel price change.
- 23.3.1.4.6.2.1 Subject to the exceptions set forth in Section 23.3.1.4.6.2.1.2 below, the ISO shall not permit charges for unauthorized natural gas use to be included as a component in the development of a Generator's or an Aggregation's reference levels and Market Parties shall not be eligible to recover costs associated with unauthorized natural gas use.
- 23.3.1.4.6.2.1.1 What constitutes "unauthorized" natural gas use is specified in each natural gas pipeline's or local distribution company's ("LDC's") applicable tariff, rate schedule or customer contract. Unauthorized natural gas use may result from, but is not limited to, the following circumstances: (i) consumption of natural gas in violation of the terms of an Operational Flow Order ("OFO") issued by the relevant natural gas LDC or pipeline; (ii) violation of instructions issued by the relevant natural gas LDC or pipeline restricting consumption of natural gas or use of natural gas imbalance service, when such instructions are issued consistent

with the LDC's or pipeline's authority under a tariff, rate schedule or contract; (iii) consumption of natural gas during a period of authorized interruption of service by the relevant natural gas LDC or pipeline, determined in accordance with the terms of the applicable tariff, rate schedule or contract; or (iv) use of natural gas balancing services that are explicitly identified in the relevant natural gas LDC's or pipeline's applicable tariff, rate schedule or contract as unauthorized use or penalty gas.

- 23.3.1.4.6.2.1.2 If and to the extent a Market Party has obtained specific authorization from the relevant natural gas LDC or pipeline to use gas that would otherwise be unauthorized, such use shall not be considered unauthorized use by the ISO. Market Parties shall make every effort to clearly document authorization they obtain from the LDC or pipeline. Documentation obtained after the fact will be considered.
- 23.3.1.4.6.3 Screening of fuel type and fuel price information. The ISO may use automated processes and/or require manual review of fuel type and fuel price information submitted by Market Parties to test the accuracy of the information submitted in order to prevent market clearing prices and guarantee payments from being incorrectly calculated.
- 23.3.1.4.6.4 Consistent with the rules specified in this Section 23.3.1.4.6 of the

 Mitigation Measures and the procedures that the ISO develops to implement these
 rules, Market Parties shall notify the ISO of changes in fuel type or fuel price by

 (i) submitting revised fuel type or fuel price information to the ISO's Market
 Information System along with the Generator's or Aggregation's Bid(s), or (ii) by

directly contacting the ISO to request a reference level update consistent with ISO procedures, or (iii) by utilizing both of the available notification methods. Revised fuel type or fuel price information that exceeds, or is rejected based upon, the thresholds that the ISO uses to automatically screen fuel type or fuel price information that is submitted to the ISO's Market Information System along with a Generator's or an Aggregation's Bid(s) shall be submitted by directly contacting the ISO to request a reference level update, consistent with ISO procedures.

- 23.3.1.4.6.4.1 Exception—changes in fuel price or fuel type that are offered to support Incremental Energy or Minimum Generation Bids that exceed \$1,000/MWh must be submitted in accordance with Section 23.7.3 (for a Generator) or Section 23.7.4 (for a Demand Side Resource) of these Mitigation Measures.
- 23.3.1.4.6.5 Following the completion of the ISO's automated and/or manual screening processes, the ISO shall use fuel type and fuel price information that Market Parties or their representatives submit to develop Generator or Aggregation reference levels unless (i) the information submitted is inaccurate, or (ii) the information was not timely submitted, and the Market Party's failure to timely submit the information is not excused by the ISO in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4.6.8 below, or (iii) consistent with Section 23.3.1.4.6.9 below.
- 23.3.1.4.6.6 The ISO may not always have sufficient time to complete its screening of proposed fuel type or fuel price changes prior to the relevant Day-Ahead Market day or Real-Time Market hour. *If* fuel type or fuel price information (i) is timely submitted or, where untimely, the submission of fuel type or fuel price information is excused in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4.6.8 below, and (ii) the

fuel type or fuel price information that the Market Party submitted is proven to have been accurate or to have understated the actual cost incurred for that component, and (iii) the Bid(s) were tested using reference levels that reflected outdated fuel type and/or fuel price information and the Bid(s) were mitigated or a sanction was imposed pursuant to Section 23.4.3 of these Mitigation Measures, then the ISO shall (a) re-perform any test(s) that resulted in a sanction being imposed pursuant to Section 23.4.3 of these Mitigation Measures, using the accurate fuel type and/or fuel price information and use the revised results to calculate the appropriate sanction (if any), and (b) determine if the Bids for the Generator or Aggregation would have failed the relevant conduct test(s) if accurate fuel type and/or fuel price information had been used to develop reference levels. The ISO shall then restore any original (as-submitted) Bid(s) that would not have failed the relevant conduct test(s) if accurate fuel type and/or fuel price information had been used to develop the Generator's or Aggregation's reference levels, and use the restored Bid(s) to determine a settlement. Otherwise the ISO shall use the Generator's or Aggregation's correct or corrected reference level(s) to determine a settlement.

- 23.3.1.4.6.7 The ISO shall publicly post the thresholds it employs to automatically screen fuel type and fuel price information that is submitted to the ISO's Market Information System for potentially inaccurate fuel type and fuel price data inputs.
- 23.3.1.4.6.8 For purposes of this Section 23.3.1.4.6, "timely" notice or submission to the Real-Time Market shall mean the submission of fuel type and/or fuel price information using the methods specified in Section 23.3.1.4.6.4 of these

Mitigation Measures prior to market close for the relevant Real-Time Market hour. For purposes of this Section 23.3.1.4.6, "timely" notice or submission to the Day-Ahead Market shall mean the submission of fuel type and/or fuel price information using the methods specified in Section 23.3.1.4.6.4 of these Mitigation Measures at least 15 minutes prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market (i.e., by 4:45 a.m.). Market Parties are not expected to submit invoices or other supporting data with their Day-Ahead Market or Real-Time Market fuel type and fuel price information, but are expected to retain invoices and other supporting data consistent with the data retention requirements set forth in the Plan, and to be able to produce such information within a reasonable timeframe when asked to do so by the ISO or by its Market Monitoring Unit. It may not always be possible for a Market Party to timely update a Generator's or Aggregation's fuel type or fuel price to reflect unexpected real-time changes or events in advance of the first affected market-hour. Upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances, the ISO may retroactively reflect in Real-Time Market reference levels fuel type or fuel price information that was not timely submitted by a Market Party. While it should ordinarily be possible for a Market Party to timely submit updated fuel type and fuel price information for use in developing a Generator's or an Aggregation's Day-Ahead Market reference levels, the ISO may retroactively accept and utilize late-submitted Day-Ahead Market fuel type or fuel price information upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances.

- 23.3.1.4.6.8.1 Exception—changes in fuel price or fuel type that are offered to support Incremental Energy or Minimum Generation Bids that exceed \$1,000/MWh must be submitted in accordance with the submission deadlines specified in Section 23.7.3 (for a Generator) or Section 23.7.4 (for a Demand Side Resource) of these Mitigation Measures.
- 23.3.1.4.6.9 If (i) the ISO determines, following consultation with the Market Party and review by the Market Monitoring Unit, that the Market Party or its representative has submitted inaccurate fuel type or fuel price information that was biased in the Market Party's favor, or (ii) if a Market Party is subject to a penalty or sanction under Section 23.4.3.3.3 of these Mitigation Measures for submitting inaccurate fuel price or fuel type information, *then* the ISO shall cease using the fuel type and fuel price information submitted to the ISO's Market Information System along with the Generator's or Aggregation's Bid(s) to develop reference levels for the affected Generator(s) or Aggregation(s) in the relevant (Day-Ahead or real-time) market for the duration(s) set forth below, unless the Market Party demonstrates to the ISO that the questioned conduct is consistent with competitive behavior.
- 23.3.1.4.6.9.1 The first time the ISO ceases using the fuel type and fuel price information submitted to the ISO's Market Information System along with the Bid(s) for a Generator or an Aggregation to develop Day-Ahead or real-time reference levels for that Generator or Aggregation, it shall do so for 30 days. The 30-day period shall start two business days after the date that the ISO provides written notice of its determination that the application of mitigation is required.

- 23.3.1.4.6.9.2 Subject to Section 23.3.1.4.6.9.3 below, the second time the ISO ceases using the fuel type and fuel price information submitted to the ISO's Market Information System along with the Bid(s) for a Generator or an Aggregation to develop Day-Ahead or real-time reference levels for that Generator or an Aggregation, it shall do so for 60 days. The 60-day period shall start two business days after the date that the ISO provides written notice of its determination that the application of mitigation is required. Subject to Section 23.3.1.4.6.9.3 below, any subsequent time the ISO ceases using the fuel type and fuel price information submitted to the ISO's Market Information System along with the Bid(s) for a Generator or an Aggregation to develop Day-Ahead or real-time reference levels for that Generator or Aggregation, it shall do so for 120 days. The 120-day period shall start two business days after the date that the ISO provides written notice of its determination that the application of mitigation is required.
- 23.3.1.4.6.9.3 If the bidders of a Generator or an Aggregation that has previously been mitigated under this Section 23.3.1.4.6.9 becomes and remains continuously eligible to submit fuel type and fuel price information in the Day-Ahead or Real-Time Market (as appropriate) for a period of one year or more, then the ISO shall apply the mitigation measure set forth in Section 23.3.1.4.6.9 of the Mitigation Measures as if the Generator or Aggregation had not previously been subject to the mitigation measure.
- 23.3.1.4.6.9.4 Market Parties that transfer, sell, assign, or grant to another Market Party the right or ability to Bid a Generator or an Aggregation that is subject to the mitigation measure described in this Section 23.3.1.4.6.9 are required to inform

- the new Market Party that the Generator or Aggregation has been mitigated under this measure, and to inform the new Market Party of the expected duration of such mitigation.
- 23.3.1.4.6.9.5 For purposes of this Section 23.3.1.4.6.9, submitted fuel type information shall be considered biased in a Market Party's favor if (a) the Market Party submitted revised fuel type information for a Generator or an Aggregation for at least 100 hours during the previous 90 days, and (b) for at least one hour the fuel type that a Market Party submits for the Generator or Aggregation is not the most economic fuel type available to the Generator or the relevant component(s) of the Aggregation, taking into consideration fuel availability, operating conditions, and relevant regulatory or reliability requirements, and (c) as a result of the change(s) in fuel type, the fuel prices that the ISO uses to develop reference levels for a Generator or an Aggregation exceeded the fuel price that the ISO would have used to develop reference levels for that Generator or Aggregation by greater than the higher of 10% or \$0.50/MMBtu, on average, over the previous 90 days. For purposes of calculating the average, only hours in which the Market Party changed the Generator's or Aggregation's fuel type to a more expensive fuel type will be considered. The Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets shall be considered separately for purposes of this analysis.
- 23.3.1.4.6.9.6 For purposes of this Section 23.3.1.4.6.9, submitted fuel price information shall be considered biased in a Market Party's favor if (a) the Market Party submitted revised fuel price information for a Generator or an Aggregation for at least 100 hours during the previous 90 days, and (b) the fuel price that the Market

Party submitted to the ISO's Market Information System for use in developing reference levels for a Generator or an Aggregation exceeded the greater of the actual fuel price (as substantiated by supplier quotes or invoices) or the ISO's indexed fuel price, by greater than the higher of 10% or \$0.50/MMBtu, on average, over the previous 90 days. For purposes of calculating the average, only hours in which the fuel price submitted exceeds the ISO's indexed fuel price will be considered. The Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets shall be considered separately for purposes of this analysis.

- 23.3.1.4.6.9.7 The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in Section 23.3.1.4.6.9 of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.3 of the Plan.
- 23.3.1.4.6.10 In order to adjust (i) Bid-based incremental energy, minimum generation and start-up reference levels, and (ii) LBMP-based incremental energy and minimum generation reference levels to more accurately reflect fuel costs, the ISO may calculate distinct Bid- and LBMP-based reference levels for each fuel type or blend of fuel types that a Generator or an Aggregation is capable of burning, and shall fuel index each of the distinct Bid- or LBMP-based reference levels that it calculates for fuel types that are amenable to fuel indexing. Where a Generator or an Aggregation can draw on multiple natural gas sources that each have distinct, posted, market clearing prices, the ISO may calculate distinct Bid-Based or LBMP-based reference levels for each such available supply source.
- 23.3.1.4.7 Except as otherwise authorized in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4.6.8 above, Market Parties shall timely report significant changes to the cost

components used to develop their Generator's or Aggregation's reference levels to the ISO in order to permit the revised costs to be timely reflected in the Generator or Aggregation reference levels. However, if the ISO uses published index prices to fuel index a Generator's reference level when that Generator or Aggregation is burning a fuel type that is amenable to fuel indexing (which may include a blend of two indexed fuel types), the Market Party is not required to report fuel prices that are less than the published index price that the ISO relies on.

- 23.3.1.4.8 Reflecting opportunity costs in Reference Levels. The ISO shall use the information available to it to adjust reference levels to reflect appropriate opportunity costs.
- 23.3.1.4.8.1 Prohibition of duplicative and evasive cost submissions and Bids. Costs that are submitted or Bid as fuel costs shall not also be submitted or Bid as opportunity costs. A cost shall not be submitted or Bid in two parts, as both a fuel costs and an opportunity cost, in order to evade applicable screening thresholds. Fossil generators shall not submit or Bid fuel costs, including but not limited to balancing costs, as opportunity costs. Withdrawal-Eligible Generators and Aggregations containing Withdrawal Eligible Generators shall not submit or Bid the cost they expect to incur to withdraw Energy as a fuel cost.

If the ISO identifies a potentially duplicative or evasive Bid or cost submission that appears to violate this prohibition, it shall inform the Market Monitoring Unit of the potential Market Violation.

- 23.3.1.4.8.2 ISO Reporting Obligation. If the ISO did not adjust reference levels to reflect timely (as that term is defined in Section 23.3.1.4.8.9 below) submitted, appropriate opportunity costs, and the ISO's failure to adjust reference levels to reflect such opportunity costs affected market clearing prices or had an impact on guarantee payments that cannot be corrected, then the ISO shall report any market clearing price and uncorrected guarantee payment impacts to FERC staff and to its Market Participants. The ISO is not required to report, or to otherwise act, if no market impact is identified.
- 23.3.1.4.8.3 Market Parties shall monitor Generator or Aggregation reference levels and shall endeavor to timely (as that term is defined in Section 23.3.1.4.8.9 below) contact the ISO to request an adjustment to a Generator's or an Aggregation's reference level(s) when changes in opportunity costs are expected to impact the Generator's or Aggregation's reference levels.
- 23.3.1.4.8.4 Screening of opportunity cost submissions. The ISO may use automated processes and/or require manual review of opportunity cost submissions by Market Parties in order to prevent market clearing prices and guarantee payments from being incorrectly calculated.
- 23.3.1.4.8.5 Consistent with the rules specified in this Section 23.3.1.4.8 of the

 Mitigation Measures and the procedures that the ISO develops to implement these
 rules, Market Parties shall notify the ISO of changes in opportunity costs by

 (i) submitting revised opportunity cost information to the ISO's Market

 Information System along with the Generator's or Aggregation's Bid(s), or (ii) by
 directly contacting the ISO to request a reference level update consistent with ISO

procedures, or (iii) by utilizing both of the available notification methods.

Revised opportunity cost information that exceeds, or is rejected based upon, the thresholds that the ISO uses to automatically screen opportunity cost information that is submitted to the ISO's Market Information System along with a Generator's or an Aggregation's Bid(s) shall be submitted by directly contacting the ISO to request a reference level update, consistent with ISO procedures.

- 23.3.1.4.8.6 Following the completion of the ISO's automated and/or manual screening processes, the ISO shall use opportunity cost information that Market Parties or their representatives submit to develop Generator or Aggregation reference levels unless (i) the information submitted is inaccurate, or (ii) the information was not timely submitted, and the Market Party's failure to timely submit the information is not excused by the ISO in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4.8.9 below.
- 23.3.1.4.8.7 The ISO may not always have sufficient time to complete its screening of proposed opportunity cost changes prior to the relevant Day-Ahead Market day or Real-Time Market hour. If opportunity cost information (i) is timely submitted or, where untimely, the submission is excused in accordance with Section 23.3.1.4.8.9 below, and (ii) the opportunity cost information that the Market Party submitted is proven to have been accurate or to have understated the actual cost incurred for that component, and (iii) the Bid(s) were tested using reference levels that reflected outdated opportunity cost information and the Bid(s) were mitigated or a sanction was imposed pursuant to Section 23.4.3 of these Mitigation Measures, then the ISO shall (a) re-perform any test(s) that resulted in a sanction being imposed pursuant to Section 23.4.3 of these Mitigation Measures, using the

accurate opportunity cost information and use the revised results to calculate the appropriate sanction (if any), and (b) determine if the Bids for the Generator or Aggregation would have failed the relevant conduct test(s) if accurate opportunity cost information had been used to develop reference levels. The ISO shall then restore any original (as-submitted) Bid(s) that would not have failed the relevant conduct test(s) if accurate opportunity cost information had been used to develop the Generator's or Aggregation's reference levels, and use the restored Bid(s) to determine a settlement. Otherwise the ISO shall use the Generator's or Aggregation's correct or corrected reference level(s) to determine a settlement.

- 23.3.1.4.8.8 The ISO shall publicly post the thresholds it employs to automatically screen opportunity cost information that is submitted to the ISO's Market Information System for inputs that require manual review before they can be permitted to take effect.
- 23.3.1.4.8.9 For purposes of this Section 23.3.1.4.8, "timely" notice or submission to the Real-Time Market shall mean the submission of opportunity cost information using the methods specified in Section 23.3.1.4.8.5 of these Mitigation Measures prior to market close for the relevant Real-Time Market hour. For purposes of this Section 23.3.1.4.8, "timely" notice or submission to the Day-Ahead Market shall mean the submission of opportunity cost information using the methods specified in Section 23.3.1.4.8.5 of these Mitigation Measures prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market. Market Parties are not expected to submit supporting data with their Bids that include revised opportunity cost information, but are expected to retain a record of how the submitted opportunity cost was determined

and other supporting data consistent with the data retention requirements set forth in the Plan, and to be able to produce such information within a reasonable timeframe when asked to do so by the ISO or by its Market Monitoring Unit.

It may not always be possible for a Market Party to timely update a Generator's or an Aggregation's opportunity cost to reflect unexpected real-time changes or events in advance of the first affected market-hour. Upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances, the ISO may retroactively reflect in Real-Time Market reference levels opportunity cost information that was not timely submitted by a Market Party. While it should ordinarily be possible for a Market Party to timely submit updated opportunity cost information for use in developing a Generator's or an Aggregation's Day-Ahead Market reference levels, the ISO may retroactively accept and utilize late-submitted Day-Ahead Market opportunity cost information upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances.

23.3.2 Material Price Effects or Changes in Guarantee Payments

23.3.2.1 Market Impact Thresholds

In order to avoid unnecessary intervention in the ISO Administered Markets, Mitigation Measures shall not be imposed unless conduct identified as specified above (i) causes or contributes to a material change in one or more prices in an ISO Administered Market, or (ii) substantially increases guarantee payments to participants in the New York Electric Market. Initially, the thresholds to be used by the ISO to determine a material price effect or change in guarantee payments shall be:

- 23.3.2.1.1 an increase of 200 percent or \$100 per MWh, whichever is lower, in the hourly Day-Ahead or Real-Time Energy LBMP at any location, or of any other price in an ISO Administered Market; or
- 23.3.2.1.2 an increase of 200 percent, or 50 percent for Generators or Aggregations in a Constrained Area in Bid Production Cost guarantee payments to a Market Party for a Generator or an Aggregation for a day; or
- 23.3.2.1.3 for a Constrained Area Generator or Aggregation subject to either a Real-Time Market or Day-Ahead Market conduct threshold, as specified above in Sections 23.3.1.1.1, 23.3.1.2.2.1, or 23.3.1.2.2.3: for all Constrained Hours (as defined in Section 23.3.1.2.2.1 for the Real-Time Market and in Section 23.3.1.2.2.3 for the Day-Ahead Market) for the unit being Bid, a threshold determined in accordance with the formula specified in Section 23.3.1.2.2.1 for the Real-Time Market or Section 23.3.1.2.2.3 for the Day-Ahead Market.

23.3.2.2 Price Impact Analysis

23.3.2.2.1 When it has the capability to do so, the ISO shall determine the effect on prices or guarantee payments of questioned conduct through the use of sensitivity analyses performed using the ISO's SCUC, RTC and RTD computer models, and such other computer modeling or analytic methods as the ISO shall deem appropriate following consultation with its Market Monitoring Unit. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.4 of Attachment O.

- 23.3.2.2.2 Pending development of the capability to use automated market models, the ISO, following consultation with its Market Monitoring Unit, shall determine the effect on prices or guarantee payments of questioned conduct using the best available data and such models and methods as they shall deem appropriate. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.5 of Attachment O.
- 23.3.2.2.3 The ISO shall implement automated procedures within the SCUC for Constrained Areas, and within RTC for Constrained Areas. Such automated procedures will: (i) determine whether any Day-Ahead or Real-Time Energy Bids, including start-up costs Bids and Minimum Generation Bids but excluding Ancillary Services Bids and Bids that only violate the conduct thresholds specified in Sections 23.3.1.2.1.1.2(b) or 23.3.1.2.2.6(b) of these Mitigation Measures, that have not been adequately justified to the ISO exceed the thresholds for economic withholding specified in Section 23.3.1.2 above; and, if so, (ii) determine whether such Bids would cause material price effects or changes in guarantee payments as specified in Section 23.3.2.1.
- 23.3.2.2.4 The ISO shall forgo performance of the additional SCUC and RTC passes necessary for automated mitigation of Bids in a given Day-Ahead Market or Real-Time Market if evaluation of unmitigated Bids results in prices at levels at which it is unlikely that the thresholds for Bid mitigation will be triggered.

23.3.2.3 Section **205** Filings

The ISO shall make a filing under § 205 with the Commission seeking authorization to apply an appropriate mitigation measure to conduct that departs significantly from the conduct that would be expected under competitive market conditions but does not rise to the thresholds specified in Sections 23.3.1.1 through 23.3.1.3 above if that conduct has a significant effect on market prices or guarantee payments as specified below, unless the ISO determines, from information provided by the Market Party or Parties (which may include a Demand Side Resource participating in the Operating Reserves or Regulation Service Markets) that would be subject to mitigation, or from other information available to the ISO that the conduct and associated price or guarantee payment effect(s) are attributable to legitimate competitive market forces or incentives. For purposes of this section, conduct shall be deemed to have an effect on market prices or guarantee payments that is significant if it exceeds one of the following thresholds:

- 23.3.2.3.1 an increase of 100 percent in the hourly day-ahead or real-time energy

 LBMP at any location, or of any other price in an ISO Administered Market; or

 23.3.2.3.2 an increase of 100 percent in Bid Production Cost guarantee payments to
- 23.3.2.3.2 an increase of 100 percent in Bid Production Cost guarantee payments to a Market Party for a Generator or an Aggregation for a day, or an increase of 100 percent in any other guarantee payment over the time period used by the ISO to calculate the guarantee payment.

23.3.3 Consultation with a Market Party

23.3.3.1 Consultation Process

23.3.3.1.1 Consultation initiated by the ISO to determine if mitigation is appropriate:

Applies to Market-Party-specific, Aggregation-specific and/or Generator-specific mitigation, but

not to mitigation that is applied pursuant to Sections 23.3.1.2.3, 23.3.2.2.3, or 23.5.2 of these mitigation measures. If through the application of an appropriate index or screen or other monitoring of market conditions, conduct is identified that (i) exceeds an applicable threshold, and (ii) has a material effect, as specified above, on one or more prices or guarantee payments in an ISO Administered Market, the ISO shall, as and to the extent specified in Attachment O or in Section 23.3.3.2 of these Mitigation Measures, contact the Market Party engaging in the identified conduct to request an explanation of the conduct.

- 23.3.3.1.2 Consultation initiated by a Market Party when it anticipates that its

 Generator's or Aggregation's marginal costs or other Bid parameters may exceed the applicable reference level(s) by more than the relevant threshold(s). If a Market Party anticipates submitting Bids in a market administered by the ISO that will exceed the thresholds specified in Section 23.3.1 above for identifying conduct inconsistent with competition, the Market Party may contact the ISO to provide an explanation of any legitimate basis for any such changes in the Market Party's Bids.
- 23.3.3.1.3 Results of consultation process addressing Bids. If a Market Party's explanation of the reasons for its bidding indicates to the satisfaction of the ISO that the questioned conduct is consistent with competitive behavior, no further action will be taken. A preliminary determination by the ISO shall be provided to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and comment.
- 23.3.3.1.4 Consultation initiated by a Market Party regarding reference levels.

 Upon request, the ISO shall consult with a Market Party or its representative with respect to the information and analysis used to determine reference levels under Section 23.3.1.4 for that Market Party's Generator(s) or Aggregation(s). If cost data or other information submitted by a

Market Party's Generator(s) or Aggregation(s) indicates to the satisfaction of the ISO that the reference levels for that Market Party should be changed, revised reference levels shall be proposed by the ISO, communicated to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and comment and, following the ISO's consideration of any recommendations that the Market Monitoring Unit is able to timely provide, communicated to the Market Party, and implemented by the ISO as soon as practicable. Changes to the reference levels addressed pursuant to the terms of this Section 23.3.3.1.4 shall be implemented on a going-forward basis commencing no earlier than the date that the Market Party's consultation request is received. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.6 of Attachment O.

23.3.3.1.5 Information required to support consultation regarding Bids and reference levels. Market Parties shall ensure that the information they submit to the ISO, including but not limited to fuel price and fuel type information, is accurate. Except as set forth in Section 23.3.1.4.6.8, the ISO may not retroactively revise a reference level to reflect additional fuel costs if a Market Party or its representative did not timely submit accurate fuel cost information. Unsupported speculation by a Market Party does not present a valid basis for the ISO to determine that Bids that a Market Party submitted are consistent with competitive behavior, or to determine that submitted costs are appropriate for inclusion in the ISO's development of reference levels. Consistent with Sections 30.6.2.2 and 30.6.3.2 of the Plan, the Market Party shall retain the documents and information supporting its Bids and the costs it proposes to include in reference levels.

23.3.3.2 Consultation Requirements

- 23.3.3.2.1 The ISO shall make a reasonable attempt to contact and consult with the relevant Market Party about the Market Party's reference level(s) before imposing conduct and impact mitigation, other than conduct and impact mitigation imposed through the automated procedures described in Section 23.3.2.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures. The ISO shall keep records documenting its efforts to contact and consult with the Market Party.
- 23.3.3.2.2 Consultation regarding both real-time guarantee payment mitigation and mitigation of Generators and Aggregations committed outside the economic evaluation process in the Day-Ahead or Real-Time Markets to protect or preserve system reliability in accordance with Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures is addressed in Section 23.3.3.3, below. Consultation regarding Day-Ahead guarantee payment mitigation of Generators and Aggregations, other than mitigation imposed through the automated procedures described in Section 23.3.2.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures, shall be conducted in accordance with Sections 23.3.3.1 and 23.3.3.2 of these Mitigation Measures.

23.3.3.3 Consultation Rules for Real-Time Guarantee Payment Mitigation23.3.3.3.1 Real-Time Guarantee Payment Consultation Process

23.3.3.3.1.1 For real-time guarantee payment mitigation determined pursuant to

Sections 23.3.1.2.1 or 23.3.1.2.2, and 23.3.2.1.2 of these Mitigation Measures, the

ISO shall electronically post settlement results informing Market Parties of Bid(s)

that failed the real-time guarantee payment impact test. The settlement results

posting shall include the adjustment to the guarantee payment and the mitigated

- Bid(s). The initial posting of settlement results ordinarily occurs two days after the relevant real-time market day.
- 23.3.3.3.1.2 For real-time guarantee payment mitigation determined pursuant to

 Sections 23.3.1.2.1 or 23.3.1.2.2, and 23.3.2.1.2 of these Mitigation Measures, no
 more than two business days after new or revised real-time guarantee payment
 impact test settlement results are posted, the ISO will send an e-mail or other
 notification to all potentially impacted Market Parties that comply with
 Section 23.3.3.3.1.2.2 of these Mitigation Measures.
- 23.3.3.3.1.2.1 Although the ISO is authorized to take up to two business days to provide notification to all potentially impacted Market Parties that comply with Section 23.3.3.3.1.2.2 of these Mitigation Measures, the ISO shall undertake reasonable efforts to provide notification to such Market Parties within one business day after new or revised real-time guarantee payment impact test settlement results are posted.
- 23.3.3.3.1.2.2 A Market Party that desires to receive notification from the ISO must provide one e-mail address to the ISO for real-time guarantee payment mitigation notices. Each Market Party is responsible for maintaining and monitoring the e-mail address it provides, and informing the ISO of any change(s) to that e-mail address in order to continue to receive e-mail notification. E-mail will be the ISOs primary method of providing notice to Market Parties.
- 23.3.3.1.2.3 Regardless of whether a Market Party chooses to receive notification from the ISO, each Market Party is responsible for reviewing its

- posted real-time guarantee payment impact test settlement results and for contacting the ISO to request a consultation if and when appropriate.
- 23.3.3.3.1.3 The following notice rules apply to guarantee payment mitigation determined pursuant to Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures.
- 23.3.3.3.1.3.1 For mitigation of a Generator's or an Aggregation's Minimum Generation Bid, Start-Up Bid or Incremental Energy Bid resulting from its DARU or SRE commitment, the ISO shall send an e-mail or other notification to potentially impacted Market Parties that comply with Section 23.3.3.1.2.2 of these Mitigation Measures within ten business days after the relevant market day, and shall undertake reasonable efforts to provide notification to such Market Parties within two business days after the relevant market day. The e-mail shall identify the date of the proposed mitigation and the Bid(s) or Bid components that the NYISO proposes to mitigate for all or part of the relevant market day. As soon as it is able to do so, the NYISO will commence electronically posting settlement results informing Market Parties of Bid(s) that failed the Section 23.3.1.2.3 test and sending an e-mail or other notification to potentially impacted Market Parties that comply with Section 23.3.3.3.1.2.2 of these Mitigation Measures. The settlement results posting shall include the mitigated bid(s). The posting of settlement results ordinarily occurs two days after the relevant realtime market day.
- 23.3.3.3.1.3.2 For mitigation of a Generator's or an Aggregation's Minimum

 Generation Bid, Start-Up Bid or Incremental Energy Bid resulting from an Outof-Merit dispatch above the Generator's DARU or SRE commitment, the ISO

shall send an e-mail or other notification to potentially impacted Market Parties that comply with Section 23.3.3.3.1.2.2 of these Mitigation Measures within 10 business days after the relevant market day. The e-mail shall identify the date of the proposed mitigation and the bid(s) or bid components that the NYISO proposes to mitigate for all or part of the relevant market day.

- 23.3.3.1.3.3 For mitigation based on a Generator's minimum run time, start-up time, minimum down time, minimum generation MWs, or maximum number of stops per day, or for mitigation based on temporal or operating parameters related to the withdrawal and injection of Energy by Withdrawal-Eligible Generators or Aggregations containing Withdrawal-Eligible Generator(s), the ISO shall send an e-mail or other notification to potentially impacted Market Parties that comply with Section 23.3.3.3.1.2.2 of these Mitigation Measures within 10 business days after the relevant market day. The e-mail shall identify the date of the proposed mitigation and the conduct failing Bid(s) or Bid components.
- 23.3.3.3.1.4 Market Parties that want to consult with the ISO regarding real-time guarantee payment impact test results, or regarding mitigation applied in accordance with Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures, for a particular market day must submit a written request to initiate the consultation process that specifies the market day and Bid(s) for which consultation is being requested (for purposes of this Section 23.3.3.3.1, a "Consultation Request").
- 23.3.3.3.1.4.1 Consultation Requests must be received by the ISO's customer relations department within 15 business days after the ISO (i) posts new or revised real-time guarantee payment impact test settlement results, or (ii) either posts new or

- revised real-time guarantee payment impact test settlement results or sends an e-mail informing a Market Party of the results of a test performed pursuant to Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures for the relevant market day. Consultation Requests received outside the 15 business day period shall be rejected by the ISO.
- 23.3.3.1.4.2 The ISO may send more than one notice informing a Market Party of the same instance of mitigation. Notices that identify real-time guarantee payment impact test or Section 23.3.1.2.3 mitigation settlement results that are not new (for which the Market Party has already received a notice from the ISO) and that do not reflect revised mitigation (for which the dollar impact of the real-time guarantee payment mitigation has not changed) shall not present an additional opportunity, or temporally extend the opportunity, for the Market Party to initiate consultation.
- 23.3.3.3.1.4.3 If consultation was timely requested and completed addressing a particular set of real-time guarantee payment impact test results, or addressing a particular instance of mitigation applied in accordance with Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures, a Market Party may not again request consultation regarding the same real-time guarantee payment impact test results, or the same application of Section 23.3.1.2.3 mitigation, unless revised settlement results, that are not due to the previously completed consultation and that change the dollar impact of the relevant instance of mitigation, are posted.
- 23.3.3.3.1.5 The Consultation Request may include: (i) an explanation of the reason(s) why the Market Party believes some or all of the reference levels used by the ISO

for the market day(s) in question are inappropriate, or why some or all of the Market Party's Bids on the market day(s) in question were otherwise consistent with competitive behavior; and (ii) supporting documents, data and other relevant information (collectively, for purposes of this Section 23.3.3.3.1, "Data"), including proof of any cost(s) claimed.

- 23.3.3.3.1.5.1 Market Parties shall ensure that the information they submit to the ISO, including but not limited to fuel price and fuel type information, is accurate.
 Except as set forth in Section 23.3.1.4.6.8, the ISO may not retroactively revise a reference level to reflect additional fuel costs if a Market Party or its representative did not timely submit accurate fuel cost information. Except as set forth in Section 23.3.1.4.8.9, the ISO may not retroactively revise a reference level to reflect additional opportunity costs if a Market Party or its representative did not timely submit accurate opportunity cost information.
- 23.3.3.3.1.6 If the Market Party is not able to provide (i) an explanation of the reason(s) why the Market Party believes some or all of the reference levels used by the ISO for the market day(s) in question are inappropriate, or why some or all of the Market Party's Bids on the market day(s) in question were otherwise consistent with competitive behavior, or (ii) all supporting Data, at the time a Consultation Request is submitted, the Market Party should specifically identify any additional explanation or Data it intends to submit in support of its Consultation Request and provide an estimate of the date by which it will provide the additional explanation or Data to the ISO.

- 23.3.3.3.1.7 Following the submission of a Consultation Request that satisfies the timing and Bid identification requirements of Section 23.3.3.3.1.4, above, consultation shall be performed in accordance with Section 23.3.3.1 of these Mitigation Measures, as supplemented by the following rules:
- 23.3.3.3.1.7.1 The ISO shall consult with the Market Party to determine whether the information available to the ISO presents an appropriate basis for (i) modifying the reference levels used to perform real-time guarantee payment mitigation for the market day in question, or (ii) determining that the Market Party's Bid(s) on the market day in question were consistent with competitive behavior. The ISO shall only modify the reference levels used to perform mitigation, or determine that the Market Party's Bid(s) on the market day that is the subject of the Consultation Request were consistent with competitive behavior, if the ISO has in its possession Data that is sufficient to support such a decision.
- 23.3.3.1.7.2 A preliminary determination by the ISO shall be provided to the Market Monitoring Unit for its review and comment, and the ISO shall consider the Market Monitoring Unit's recommendations in reaching its decision. The ISO shall inform the Market Party of its decision, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable, but in no event later than (i) 50 business days after the new or revised real-time guarantee payment impact test settlement results for the relevant market day were posted, or (ii) 50 business days after the earlier of the posting of new or revised Section 23.3.1.2.3 mitigation settlement results for the relevant market day, or the issuance of an e-mail in accordance with Section 23.3.3.3.1.3, above. If the ISO does not affirmatively determine that it is appropriate to modify the

- Bid(s) that are the subject of the Consultation Request within 50 business days, the Bid(s) shall remain mitigated. The responsibilities of the Market Monitoring Unit that are addressed in this section of the Mitigation Measures are also addressed in Section 30.4.6.2.7 of Attachment O.
- 23.3.3.1.7.3 The ISO may, as soon as practicable, but at any time within the consultation period, request Data from the Market Party. The Market Party is expected to undertake all reasonable efforts to provide the requested Data as promptly as possible, to inform the ISO of the date by which it expects to provide requested Data, and to promptly inform the ISO if the Market Party does not intend to, or cannot, provide Data that has been requested by the ISO.
- 23.3.3.3.1.8 This Section 23.3.3.3.1 addresses Consultation Requests. It is not intended to limit, alter or modify a Market Party's ability to submit or proceed with a billing dispute pursuant to Section 7.4 of the ISO Services Tariff or Section 2.7.4.1 of the ISO OATT.
- 23.3.3.2 Revising Reference Levels of Certain Generators and Aggregations Committed Out-of-Merit or via Supplemental Resource Evaluation for Conducting Real-Time Guarantee Payment Conduct and Impact Tests and Applying Mitigation in Accordance with Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures
- 23.3.3.3.2.1 Consistent with and subject to all of the requirements of Section 23.3.3.3.1 of these Mitigation Measures, Generators and Aggregations that (i) are committed Out-of-Merit or via a Supplemental Resource Evaluation after the DAM has posted, and (ii) for which the NYISO has posted real-time guarantee payment impact test settlement results, or identified possible mitigation under Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures may contact the ISO within 15 business

- days after new or revised impact test settlement results are posted, or possible mitigation under Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures is identified, to request that the reference levels used to perform the testing and mitigation be adjusted to include any of the following verifiable costs:
- 23.3.3.2.1.1 procuring fuel at prices that exceed the index prices used to calculate the Generator's or Aggregation's reference level;
- 23.3.3.2.1.2 burning a type of fuel or blend of fuels that is not reflected in the Generator's or Aggregation's reference level;
- 23.3.3.2.1.3 permitted gas balancing charges;
- 23.3.3.2.1.4 compliance with operational flow orders;
- 23.3.3.2.1.5 purchasing additional emissions allowances that are necessary to satisfy the Generator's or Aggregation's Supplemental Resource Evaluation or Out-of-Merit schedule, and
- 23.3.3.2.1.6 demonstrated opportunity costs that exceed the opportunity cost used in calculating the Generator's or Aggregation's reference level.
- 23.3.3.2.2 The six categories of verifiable costs specified above shall be used to modify the requesting Generator's or Aggregation's reference level(s) subject to the following prerequisites:
- 23.3.3.2.2.1 the Generator or Aggregation must specifically and accurately identify and document the extraordinary costs it has incurred to operate during the hours of its Supplemental Resource Evaluation or Out-of-Merit commitment; and
- 23.3.3.2.2.2 the costs must not already be reflected in the Generator's or Aggregation's reference levels or be recovered from the ISO through other means.

As soon as practicable after the Market Party demonstrates to the ISO's reasonable satisfaction that one or more of the five categories of extraordinary costs have been incurred, but in no event later than the deadline set forth in Section 23.3.3.3.1.7.2 of these Mitigation Measures, the ISO shall adjust the affected Generator's or Aggregation's reference levels and reperform the real-time guarantee payment conduct and impact tests, or the Section 23.3.1.2.3 test, as appropriate, for the affected day. Only the reference levels used to perform real-time guarantee payment mitigation and/or mitigation pursuant to Section 23.3.1.2.3 of these Mitigation Measures, will be adjusted.

- 23.3.3.2.3 If, at some point prior to the issuance of a Close-Out Settlement for the relevant service month, the ISO or the Commission determine that some or all of the costs claimed by the Market Party during the consultation process described above were not, in fact, incurred over the course of the Out-of-Merit or Supplemental Resource Evaluation commitment, or were recovered from the ISO through other means, the ISO shall re-perform the appropriate test(s) using reference levels that reflect the verifiable costs that the Generator or Aggregation incurred and shall apply mitigation if the Generator's or Aggregation's Bids fail conduct and impact, or the Section 23.3.1.2.3 test, at the corrected reference levels.
- 23.3.3.2.4 Generators and Aggregations may contact the ISO to request the inclusion of costs other than the six types identified above in their reference levels. The ISO shall consider such requests in accordance with Sections 23.3.1.4, or 23.3.3.3.1 of these Mitigation Measures, as appropriate.