UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Version 5 Critical Infrastructure)Docket No.RM13-5-000Protection Reliability Standards)

COMMENTS OF THE ISO/RTO COUNCIL

The ISO/RTO Council ("IRC") submits these comments in response to the April 18, 2013 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "NOPR")¹ in which the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the "Commission") proposes to approve Version 5 of the Critical Infrastructure Protection ("CIP") Reliability Standards, CIP-002-5 through CIP-011-1 (collectively, the "CIP 5 Standards").

I. IDENTIFICATION OF FILING PARTY

The IRC is comprised of the Alberta Electric System Operator ("AESO"); California Independent System Operator Corporation ("CAISO"); Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. ("ERCOT"); the Independent Electricity System Operator ("IESO"); ISO New England Inc. ("ISO-NE"); Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO"); New York Independent System Operator, Inc. ("NYISO"); PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. ("PJM"); and Southwest Power Pool, Inc. ("SPP").²

II. COMMENTS

The IRC has three brief comments in response to the NOPR.

First, the IRC urges the Commission to approve the CIP 5 Standards. The IRC agrees with the Commission that the CIP 5 Standards represent a marked improvement over the CIP

¹ Version 5 Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards, 143 FERC ¶ 61,055 (2013).

² AESO and IESO are not FERC-jurisdictional. The AESO is not joining in these comments.

version 3 Standards, and – given the superiority of the CIP 5 Standards to the CIP version 4 standards – concurs with the Commission that it is appropriate to retire the CIP version 4 Standards without ever going into effect.

Second, the IRC acknowledges the "identify, assess, and correct" ("IAC")-related and other concerns raised by the Commission in the NOPR, and urges the Commission to direct NERC to take appropriate action to address those concerns, provided that such action is consistent with moving forward with the CIP 5 Standards.³ Consistent with this position, the IRC respectfully submits that the technical and substantive cyber security improvements and benefits represented in the CIP 5 Standards (relative to both versions 3 and 4) should not be delayed. The benefits of prompt implementation of the CIP 5 Standards with a direction to NERC to make supplemental filings, after an appropriate stakeholder process, to address the Commission's concerns clearly outweigh the cost of delaying the entirety of the CIP 5 Standards while these matters are further clarified. Moreover, the public interest clearly lies with the Commission authorizing the industry to begin taking steps to implement the CIP 5 Standards expeditiously as an improved means to address cyber security, as opposed to allowing the IAC issues noted by the Commission to delay implementation entirely. Thus, the Commission should direct to NERC to take appropriate action, prospectively, to address its concerns related to the IAC requirements while implementation of the CIP 5 Standards is underway.

Taking action consistent with the IRC request would: (i) allow implementation of the CIP 5 Standards without unnecessary delay, achieving the relative improved technical

³ The IRC takes no position on the timing of any such action, but notes that the more timely such matters are addressed, the less likely it is that the Commission's IAC concerns would arise in administration of Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program ("CMEP") activities.

substantive benefits of the CIP 5 Standards in a timely manner, and (ii) provide a path forward to address the Commission's concerns related to the IAC requirements.

Third, the IRC recognizes that if the Commission adopts the IRC's recommended course of action, the CIP 5 Standards may, pending Commission action on a curative NERC filing, be in effect for an interim period in a form that encompasses the IAC ambiguities and other elements about which the Commission expresses concern. To minimize the potential for these circumstances to result in ineffective CMEP administration and enforcement related to the IAC requirements and to ensure that the Commission's concerns regarding the unresolved ambiguities are promptly and appropriately mitigated, the Commission should include, in its order on the NOPR, a directive that NERC submit a compliance filing to the Commission addressing the Commission's concerns regarding the IAC language contained in the CIP 5 Standards, and detailing how it and the Regional Entities intend to monitor and enforce the IAC requirements in the CIP 5 Standards in the interim period.

III. CONCLUSION

The IRC respectfully requests that the Commission proceed in a manner consistent with the comments submitted herein.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Matthew Morais</u>

Matthew Morais Assistant General Counsel **Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.** 7620 Metro Center Drive Austin, Texas 78744

/s/Theodore J. Paradise

Raymond W. Hepper Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary Theodore J. Paradise Assistant General Counsel – Operations and Planning **ISO New England Inc.** One Sullivan Road Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

/s/ Stephen G. Kozey

Stephen G. Kozey Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary **Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.** P.O. Box 4202 Carmel, Indiana 46082-4202

/s/ Anna McKenna

Nancy Saracino General Counsel Roger Collanton Deputy General Counsel Anna A. McKenna Assistant General Counsel-Regulatory **California Independent System Operator Corporation** 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, California 95630

/s/ Craig Glazer

Craig Glazer Vice President – Federal Government Policy Robert Eckenrod Assistant General Counsel **PJM Interconnection, LLC** 1200 G Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

/s/ Paul Suskie

Paul Suskie Sr. VP – Regulatory Policy and General Counsel **Southwest Power Pool, Inc.** 415 North McKinley, Suite 140 Little Rock, AR 72205

/s/ Carl F. Patka

Carl F. Patka Assistant General Counsel Raymond Stalter Director, Regulatory Affairs **New York Independent System Operator, Inc.** 10 Krey Blvd Rensselaer, New York 12144

/s/ Jessica Savage

Jessica Savage Supervisor, Regulatory Affairs **Independent Electricity System Operator** Station A, Box 4474 Toronto, Ontario M5W 4E5

Dated: June 24, 2013